File No PWD 6 BRA 66.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

~~Notes~~ / Correspondence / ~~Collection~~

Department Public Works. Section Special Irrgn.

Subject :— List of long pending Cases between Governments of A.P. and Mysore.

Earlier References :—

Later References :—

(Classification A / B / C)

Notes pages.....

Correspondence pages.....

For/Not for Section note Book

To be destroyed after.....

Under Secretary to Government.

NOTE

Notes pertaining to the subjects to be discussed between the Andhra Pradesh and Mysore in the Inter-State Meeting to be held on 25-4-1967 as placed below.

M Channamma 24/4/67

(M. Channamma)
Deputy Secretary to Govt.
P.W.D. (Irrigation)

D.S.I.

LIST OF LONG PENDING CASES BETWEEN ANDHRA PRADESH AND MYSORE -- DISCUSSIONS AT INTER-STATE CONFERENCES.

(A) Subjects proposed by the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh.

		Page.
1.	Division of Assets and Liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Low Level-Canal.	4.
2.	Power benefits from the Munirabad Power House for Andhra.	5.
3.	Extension of irrigation from Left Bank Canal to areas in Andhra.	5.
4.	Final sharing of Tungabhaura waters at - Mallapuram.	6.
5.	Rajolibunda Headworks transfer of control of the Head works and the 1st 27 miles of canal to Tungabhadra Board.	7.
6.	Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tungabhadra Board.	26.
7.	Irrigation - Andhra Pradesh - Repairs to Agali Anicut - Regarding.	28.
8.	Irrigation - Mysore State - Construction of Anicut across Chitravathi river near Tirumani and opening new feeder channel to feet 7 tanks- Deficiency in Bukkapatnam Tank of Andhra Pradesh.	33.
9.	Tanks in Hindupur Taluk - Lepakashi Village - Investigation of supplies - Raising the weir of Nagargeri Tank by Mysore.	35.
10.	Inter-State problems - Anantapur District - Tammada Halli Tank - Interception of supplies - Regarding.	37.
11.	Inter-State Waters - Mysore State - Diversion of Waters of Jayamangali River at Rampur Anicut to feed Rampur Tank.	39.
12.	Upper Pennar Project of Andhra Pradesh - Acquisition of submergible lands in Mysore territory by Mysore State.	44.
13.	Utilisation in Tungabhaara Basin - working tables of Lakkavalli Reservoir - Furnishing of by Mysore Govt.	46.
14.	Inter-State matters - construction of new Irrigation schemes on Pennar and Jayamangali rivers by Mysore - Regarding.	47.
15.	Inter-State problems - construction of a Dam across Pennar at Nagalamadaka village in Mysore State - Regarding.	48.
16.	Irrigation-Anantapur District - Pennar Kumudavathi Project - Draft Final Agreement.	51
17.	Irrigation - Construction of Gayatri Reservoir across Swarnamukhi river by Mysore which affects supplies to Bhairuanitippa Project in Andhra - Pradesh.	
18.	Irrigation - Anantapur Dist. - Medakasira Taluk- Raising of water weir of Muddalore Tank in Mysore State.	

(Contd....2)

19. Irrigation - Major - Upper Krishna Project - Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur Taluks. — 56.

20. Irrigation - Major - Malaprabha Project - Extension of benefits to restrict the scope as originally contemplated.

21. Irrigation - Major - Ghataprabha Project - Particulars Regarding the .

(b) Subjects proposed by Mysore Government.

1. Rehabilitation in Tungabhadra Project area. — 57.

2. Shortages of supplies to Spring Channels on the River Hagari in District Bellary, Mysore State on construction of Bhairavanitippa Project on the river Hagari in the Upper reaches in Andhra Pradesh. — 64.

3. Supplying copies of files and other documents in respect of Tungabhadra Project as transferred by the Ex.Madras State to Andhra Pradesh in October 1953. — 66.

4. Abolition of the Tungabhadra Board. — 67.

5. Apportionment of landed properties of Ex-Hyderabad situated at New Delhi and Bombay.

6. Allotment of Sandhi trees, Andhra Pradesh State in 1956-57 - reimbursement of tree tax.

7. Transfer of value of machinery purchased from funds meant for the Tungabhadra Project in Raichur District by the Andhra Pradesh Government under Section 87 of the States Re-organisation Act, 1956.

8. Tungabhadra Steel Products Limited.

Notes in respect of Subject Nos. 16, 20 and 21 of Statement 'A' have not been furnished by the Andhra Pradesh Government even though they had desired to discuss this matter at Inter-State conference. Item No.8 has already been discussed between the Chief Secretaries of Andhra - Pradesh and Mysore Government. Except the above 4 subjects, notes in respect of the other 17 subjects are enclosed herewith.

(Contd....3)

In respect of the subjects under list 'B' above Sl.No.6 relates to Revenue Department. Sl.No.7 to D.P.C. Sl.No.8 to C. & I. Department.. Sl.No.5 has already been discussed and views of the Government of India on the matter has been received. Notes in respect of subjects 1 to 4 relating to P.W.D. are enclosed herewith.

Sd. (M. Channappa)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
P.W.D. (Technical).

OUTSTANDING ISSUES CONNECTED WITH TUNGABHADRA PROJECT

a) Division of assets and liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Low Level Canal.

b) Power benefits from the Munirabad Power House for Andhra Pradesh.

c) Extension of irrigation from Left Bank Canal to areas in Andhra.

d) Final sharing of Tungabhadra waters at Nallapuram.

The above items have been discussed for more than once between the officers and ministers of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore. Since no decision could be arrived it was agreed to leave the matter for the decision of Government of India. Memorandum explaining the stand of Mysore Government was framed and sent to Government of India and vide PWD.32 BRA 59 dated 8.9.1960. Similarly Govt. of Andhra Pradesh too have furnished the Memorandum on their stand to Govt. of India, copies of which are received from Govt. of India Vide 46(12)60-LM-IV dated 7.9.1960, a meeting of the two State representatives under the Chairmanship of the Union Minister for Irrigation and Power was proposed but that could not take place so far. Since there is no meeting ground on these issues it is not known how far if it could be advisable to discuss the issues again.

The issues involved are explained in brief as below.

I) Assets and Liabilities under L.L.C.(PWD.637-TBP 57)

The Tungabhadra Right Bank Low Level Canal runs in Mysore Territory upto 116 mile. Beyond this mile and upto mile 155-5-10 the canal runs in Andhra area but is common to the two States since area in Mysore State is fed by distributaries taking off in this reach. Beyond mile 155-5-10 the canal runs entirely in Andhra Pradesh and serves the area in Andhra Pradesh.

The issue involved is with regard to the sharing of the costs of construction of this common reach of the canal from 0.0 to 155-5-10 miles. The contention of Govt. of Andhra Pradesh has been that the localised areas under the canal in each State be converted into equivalent wet area by adopting an agreed duty and the cost shared in proportion to this equivalent areas. The contention of Govt. of Mysore has been that the only rational method should be

..2

cusec mile basis which is agreed to in the case of H.L.C. The Centention of Andhra has been that LLC.is an old canal and hence the procedure adopted under H.L.C. cannot be accepted here. The ratio if sharing of cost in the case of equivalent wet ayacut and cusec mile ratio will be 1: 1.06 and 1:3 between Mysore and Andhra respectively.

Power benefits from Munirabad Power House.

The claim is for a share of power from the power generated at the Left Bank Dam Power House and from the proposed power houses along the Left Bank Canal. The memorandum presented by Mysore shows that as per the final proposals before the Hyderabad Govt.regarding Munirabad Power House, provided for the using up of the power generated in this area itself. As regards their claim for power from schemes to be taken up still under the Left Bank Canal, it is shown in the note that such a claim can never be accepted.

Extension of Irrigation from Left Bank Canal to areas in Andhra.

The issue involved is whether the Left Bank Canal project as sanctioned by Govt. of Hyderabad contemplated irrigation in the Gadwal and Alampur taluks of Andhra Pradesh which lie beyond the border of Mysore. The project as sanctioned did not provide for this. The contention of Andhra Pradesh is as orginally envisaged the Left Bank Canal Project provides for this. But this report was only a report prepared at the time of investigation, and therefore not the final one in the matter. The SRC act also has been invoked to obtain this benefit of extension. But since the project as sanctioned by Govt.of Hyderabad did not provide for this, the plea of Andhra Pradesh cannot be accepted. Further, it is also seen that as conemplated by the then Govt of Hyderabad the entire 580000 acres under the Left Bank Canal was located in the present Mysoreareas zone.

...3

Final sharing of Tungabhadra Waters at Mallapuram.

In 1944 the Govt. of Hyderabad and Madras, entered into an agreement for a partial utilisation of 65 T.M.Cft by each State from T.B.Reservoir. The dependable flow at the Dam site was assessed as 336 T.M.Cft. The present utilisations existing at Tungabhadra Dam can be briefly detailed as below:

1. Total yield as assessed at T.B.dam in 1949	336 T.M.Cft.
2. Existing utilisations upstream of T.B.Dam.	
Bhadra Reservoir.	61.0 T.M.cft
Tunga Anicut	11.5 "
Bhadra Anicut	3.0 "
Anjanapura Project.	2.2 "
Jambadahalla	0.6 "
Dharma.	1.4 "
	69.7 "
3. Existing utilisation at T.B.Dam.	
Left bank canal.	93.0 "
Right Bank Canal.	43.0 "
High Level Canal.	50.0 "
	186.0.
Evaporation losses.	18.00
	204.00 "
4. Yield available at Mallapuram after meeting upstream utilisation, as per 1944 agreement.	256.5 "
5. Balance available at @ T.B.Dam.	52.5 "

The question is regarding the sharing of these balance waters. The above calculations do not take into account the pre-Tungabhadra. Irrigation below T.B.dam, which according to us does not need any assistance from Tungabhadra Reservoir and for which A.P. have been contending.

Our stand in this regard is very clearly explained in the memorandum.

..5

Transfer of control of Munirabad power House to Tungabhadra - Bhadra Board.

The Munirabad power House on the Left Bank below Tungabhadra Dam is under the control of Mysore Govt. The right bank power house is under the control of Tungabhadra Board. The contention of A.P. is that this Power house also should come under the control of T.B.Board. This request is linked up with their claim for share in the Left Bank Pow r Supply. Since we cannot accept that proposal, this automatically is turned down. This also was previously discussed in the Inter-State conference in 1969 and the Govt.of Andhra Pradesh have take up this issue with Govt.of India. A meeting to discuss all these outstanding issues with the Government of India is still to be held.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT'S MEMORANDUM ON THE ALLOCATION OF COST ON THE TUNGABHADRA LOW LEVEL CANAL (BELLARY SIDE) BETWEEN MYSORE AND ANDHRA PRADESH STATES.

Section 66 (1) of the Andhra State Act provides for an Agreement being reached between the States of Andhra and Mysore within a period of two years from 1-10-1953, in regard to their rights and liabilities in connection with Tungabhadra Project. Agreement has been reached between the Govts. of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh on some of the outstanding issues relating to Tungabhadra Project at the two Inter-State Conference that were held at Ministerial Level In October 1957 and February 1959, and discussions are going on n in respect of others at different levels. However, no agreement on the allocation of cost of the Tungabhadra Lower Level Canal on the Bellary side between the two States has been reached.

2. At present, the expenditure on the irrigation side of the T.B. Project including the Low Level Canal has been allocated provisionally in the proportion of 4:5 between Mysore and Andhra Pradesh States. This is on the basis of the issues of water in the Low Level Canal for the two States as worked out by the former (composite) Madras State in 1953, just before the merger of Bellary with Mysore. An extent of 92,359 acres has been localised for Irrigation in the Bellary District and about 1,68,000 acres in Andhra Pradesh State. In view of the difference in the crop pattern in the two States, neither the discharges in the canal for the two States nor the extent of the areas localised in the two States could obviously be the proportion in which expenditure on the common portion of the Low Level Canal could be shared between them. It has been suggested by Andhra State that the allocation should be on the "Equivalent Wet Ayacut" i.e., in the proportion of the areas after converting the entire localised ayacut in each State into an equivalent wet areas on the basis of agreed duties for the different kinds of crops. The suggestion made

(Contd...2)

on behalf of Mysore State is that the allocation of cost should be on the cusec-miles or the water-volume basis. This suggestion is based not only on the fact that it has been accepted in the case of the High Level Canal Scheme but also becuase it is considered to be the most rational and scientific method of apportionment of conveyance costs. This method is already in vogue in the Bhakra Canal system between Punjab and Rajasthan States.

3. During the course of the discussions, the representatives of Andhra Pradesh State have objected to this on the following pleas:-

a) This method cannot be applied to the Low Level Canal Scheme, which had been conceived as a unitary scheme by the composite Madras State, even though it would be applied to the High Level Canal which is a new Project.

b) Andhra Pradesh Govt. have already agreed to bear the entire cost of the length of the main canal beyond the common reach serving both the States.

c) In as much as the same quantity of water would be carried throughout the common reach for the lower riparian State of Andhra, while progressively diminishing quantities would be carried for the Upper riparian State of Mysore in the reach, the adoption of the cusec-mile basis will be an undue burden on the Andhra State.

The Govt. of Mysore would observe as follows:-

Plea (a) of Andhra Pradesh Govt. - whether a scheme is new or old, has nothing to do with the method for appointment of costs. The basis of approach to the determination of the method has no relation to the determination to the oldness or newness of the scheme. When an unitary scheme is split the cost apportionment has to be made between the split units on a rational basis. The cusec mile is themost rational one where the two irrigated areas are distinct and separate and the water is delivered at the boundary of the upper unit.

Plea (b) - The fact that the Andhra Govt. has to bear the cost of the portion which is entirely in her territory does not absolve her from her liability to bear a portion of the cost of the common reach. Mysore has also to bear the cost of distributaries and branches that lie entirely in its territory.

(Contd ....3)

Plea (c) - The very fact that the capacity progressively dwindles, necessitates the adoption of the cusec-mile basis as the most scientific and rational basis of approach for distribution of costs.

4. This is reiterated by the Special Chief Engineer (Irrigation), Andhra Pradesh, himself as recorded in the discussions on various subjects held in April 1956, which is extracted below:-

"(5) Apportionment of Costs:-

"It was agreed that the costs may be divided in proportion to water volumes including losses carried for either Govt. in the reaches."

Therefore, the present reluctance on the part of Andhra Pradesh Govt. to agree to share the cost of the common reach on cusec-mile basis cannot be understood. Mysore Govt. presses for an early settlement of cost apportionment of the Low Level Canal on the cusec-mile basis for the reasons stated supra.

Government of Mysore

Memorandum on Andhra Pradesh Claims on Powor Generatol on the Left Bank - Canal of Tungathadra Project

Mysore Government's Memorandum on Andhra Pradesh Claims on Power Generated on the Left Bank Canal of Tungabhadra Project.

-:-

The sanctioned project for generation of Power has 27 M.W. of installed capacity capable of yielding 18 M.W. of firm power. Allowing for the line losses, etc., net power available for industrial use is only 16 M.W. The allotment thereof as envisaged in the sanctioned project is mainly within the present Mysore territory and a fraction in the neighbouring Telengana villages. As per the provisions of 1956 States Reorganisation Act. Mysore is prepared to allow Andhra Pradesh the use of this power, provided the problems of transmission and cost apportionment can be solved to mutual satisfaction.

2. However, the Andhra Pradesh have based their claims to a much larger quantum of power to be utilised in Hyderabad and North Eastern Part of Telngana, in accordance with some alleged past intentions of Hyderabad Government during 1938-51 It is however pointed out that the total generation of power at Tungabhadra Project as envisaged by Hyderabad during the period 1938-51, was fantastically high and has no relationship to the final possibilities. In those days, they were planning on large scale flows to the tune of 90 T.M.C.ft. of Krishna contribution from Tungabhadra for power production. Ulitimately the Krishna contribution was fixed at 26 T.M.C.ft. out of which a part only could be utilised by Hyderabad on the left side for power production. The state of affairs with regard to Hyderabad's original intentions of power generation is aptly described in Part V of letter No.

3044-48-10 dated 18th January 1949 from the Chief Engineer (Special), Madras, to P.W.D. Secretary, Madras, which reads as below.-

> "Attention is now drawn to paragraph 4 of my letter No.36/47-2-7 dated 10th March 1947 Hyderabad have not so far given details of their schemes. They gave a figure of 6.5 lakhs acres as irrigation on their side in 'Hyderabad information' of February 1946. This 6.5 lakhs acres should require at least 90 T.M.C. It is also given in the same "Hyderabad information" that 1.0 lakhs units of firm power would be developed. The Tungabhadra waters afters developing electricity have to come back to the river valley and I cannot see how within a distance of 15 or 20 miles they could gain any more head than about 150 feet which is the head that we gain on our side. With an avergage head than about 150 feet which is the head that we gain on our side. With an average head of 150 feet, the continuous flow required for developing 100,000 K.W. will be 8,000 cusecs. A firm power of the order of 100,000 K.W.Throughout the year would mean 240 T.M.C. feet. draw off for power alone. I therefore feel that there must be some confusion in the various figures of irrigation power etc., that Hyderabad are expected to develop.
>
> Hyderabad however have been reluctant to give me their canal report that I asked for, though I feel that they have got most of our figures."

The above extract confirms the fact that their original intention was fantastically high.

3. But after 1951 the Krishna contribution was more or less cameto be regarded as 26 T.M.Cft. a fraction of which Hyderabad was to utilise for power generation. This is the main reason for provision of much lower power potential in the finally sanctioned Project. Therefore, it is seen that the claims of Andhra Pradesh are based on in-tentions which could never be fulfilled in actual practice.

4. Andhra Pradesh has also been claiming power from the auxilliary power stations on the left Bank Canal. This auxilliary power production has not been surveyed or determined. Discussions or consideration of this matter will be only academic. Besides, Andhra Pradesh cannot have any claim or say in the matter on these

Canal stations as these are not part of the sanctioned scheme at the time of reorganisation of States nor can they have any say in any subsequent development that Mysore may take up."

5.. The inadmissibility of the claims made by Andhra Pradesh Chief Engineer for share in power at Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal has already been established in detail in the reply given by the Chief Engineer, Hydro-Electric Construction Projects, Mysore State, to the Chief Engineer, Electricity(Andhrya Pradesh). The Gadkary Committee appointed by the Ministry of Irrigation and Power, to go into the question of power production at the Tungabhadra Left Bank and its utilisation, have clearely reported that the power produced at Tungabhadra should be utilised in that area and not transmitted to Hyderabad, etc., and that the latter should get their power from Ramagundam Thermal Station.

6. Andhra Pradesh also wants that the operation and maintenance of the power houses on the Left Bank Canal of the Tungabhadra Project should vest with the Tungabhadra Board. Mysore cannot agree to this proposal as all these power houses lie in Mysore and it is feasible to sell and buy power by mutual agreement.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT'S MEMORANDUM ON IRRIGATION UNDER LEFT BANK CANAL OF TUNGABHADRA PROJECT

The description of the command of the Left Bank Canal as per the sanctioned Project is available at Para 9 of the Project Report. The same is reproduced below:-

9" Commanded area & Irrigation.

"The total area commanded by the Canal on Hyderabad side is 15,02,000 acres, but as the supply available from the Tungabhadra reservoir will not be sufficient for such a big command it is proposed to restrict the irrigation under the Tungab adra canal upto a point a little beyond the bifurcation of the North and South Gadwal Branches which will give a net command of 10,80,000 acres. The remaining area of 4,22,000 acres will be served by the Upper Krishna Canal which, when constructed, will joint the Tungabhadra canal at mile 107 before the bifurcation of north and south Gadwal branches.

"Out of the net command of 10,80,000 acres allotted to the Tungabhadra Canal it is proposed to irrigate 6,50,000 acres. The cropping scheme is fixed keeping in view the nature of the soil and the crops grown at present. The tract comprise mostly of B.C. ~~Kiki~~ Soil and as such, crops requiring light waterings such as cotton, Rabi and Khariff are proposed. In red soils mostly paddy will be grown. Sugercane, ligat paronniels and garden crops will also be grown in both black and red soil.

"In the Richur District which is commanded by the Canal there is great scarcity of forest fuel and pasture. It is therefore, proposed to grow fuel reserves and pasture on 1,35,000 acres out of the land left out from Irrigation".

From the above it is clear that:-

(a) Even though the canal could command 15.02 lakh acres, irrigation potential is limited to a command of 10.8 lakhs.

(b) Out of 10.8 lakhs acres, it is proposed to irrigate 5.85 lakh acres- 4.85 acres of food grains etc., and 1.35 lakh acres of fuels and pastures, thus limiting ~~the~~ the intensity of irrigationtto a little over 50%.

2. Further, in order to limit the command referred to above, the sanctioned project Report mentions the following at Page11 (1st para):-

"The South Gadwal Branch will be constructed upto about 14 miles and the north Gadwal branch will be altogether deleted.".

Cont...2

From the above it is clear that the total length of the Canal according to the sanctioned Project was 127 plus 14=141 miles which is well within the boundaries of the present Mysore State. As per States Re-organisation Act only the sanctioned intentions and obligations are to be respected.

3. In spite of the above facts, the Andhra Govt. have been frequently claiming irrigation benefits beyond 141st Mile. on the plea that 35 T.M.C.ft. of water meant for extension over and above 65 T.M.C.ft. agreed to under 1944 Agreement between Madras and Hyderabad and for Gadwal and Alampur area. However, this is not quite correct as the sanctioned project itself convisaged utilisation of 100 T.M.C.ft. against an original intentionof 65 T.M.C.ft. This fact is confirmed in very clear terms by the Chief Secretary, Government of Hyderabad, in his letter No.2287 dated 25-7-1953 addressed to the Secretary, Public Works Department Govt.of Madras extract of which is reproduced below:-

> "The Tungabhadra Project on the Hyderabad side for an eventual utilisation of 100 TM.CFt.has been fully investigated, estimated and approved by the Govt.of Hyderabad and the works is proceeding accordingly."

And, this sanctioned Project being only up to 141st mile which is wholly within the present Mysore limits, no irrgation in Gadwal and Alampur was ever intended as now being contended by Andhra State.

4. During the course of discussion, in the past, the Andhra representatives have stated that the original Scheme prepared by Sri M.Gopalan in 1934 provided for irrigation in Gadwal and Almapur and therefore regardless of subsequently sanctioned Project, water should be made available to this area. Mysore would point out that they can give no weight to the unsanctioned report of 1934. Apart from this even that report of 1934 did not provide for the irrigation of Gadwal and Alampur area from the Tungabhadra Canal(vide extract enclosed).

cont...3

5. The 1952 Project also [illegible] for these areas. There is thus no case for the Andhra State's claim. This subject is one figuring in the Inter-State Conferences between the two States. In the Conference held at Bangalore on the 5th and 6th June 1959, Mysore reiterated her stand that there could be no basis for the claim made by the Andhra Pradesh for supply of water from the Tungabhadra Project of areas in Gadwal and Alampur Taluks. It was, however, decided that this matter would be further considered, if so indicated by any fresh data. This subject was discussed again in the Inter-State Conference during November 1959. No fresh date was furnished by Andhra Pradesh Government to Substantiate their claims.

EXTRACT FROM 1934- TUNGABHADRA PROJECT

HYDERABAD

(Prepared by Sri M.Gopalan).

Part I of 1934 Report.

16. COMMANDED AREA:

The main canal will be 139½ miles long up to Sangapur, a village two miles to the west of Raichur. At this point, it bifurcates into two, called the North Gadwal and the South Gadwal branches. These join again at Mangampet in the Gadwal samasthan and run as the tail channel passing through a part of the Gadwal samasthan and the Allampur Taluk. The total lengthof these branches will be 115½ miles. A canal from the proposed Upper Krishna Reservoir will join the Tungabhadra canal at Morat. From this point of junction, the canal will be common to both the Projects. The Construction of the North Gadwal channel will be restricted to the Gadwal frontier as enough land will be available in that reach. The tail portion of this branch South Gadwal Alampur channels will not be taken up in this estimate and will be a charge on the Upper Krishna Scheme.

xx xx xx

The total area commanded by the canal, including that in Koppal and Anegundi, will be 12,12,761 acres(gross command), Deducting from these the high lands, the net command will be 11,71,410 acres.

The areas commanded are tabulated below by taluqs:

Distribution of areas commanded

(Con ...2)

Talukas.	Gross Command.	High lands.	Net Command.
Koppal Taluq	16,953	4,480	12,473
Anagundi Smasthan	9,500	7,078	2,422
Gangawathi Taluk	1,61,584	8,013	1,53,571
Sindhanur	2.81.670	6,682	2,74,988
Lingasugur	2,170	Nil	2,170
Manvi	3,25,969	12,876	3,13,093
Deodrug	1,83,910	2,202	1,81,708
Raichur	2,30,985	Nil	2,30,985
Totals	12,12,741	41,331	11,71,410

27. Description of the canals

The canal enters the plain country beyond mile 26. There are in all 9 minor ridges to be cut through between miles 27 and 108, and though the depths of cut vary between 25 and 34½ feet, their lengths are not great. At mile 109 it enters the Morat Valley where it is joined by the canal from the Upper Krishna Reservoir. There will be a common canal from here carrying a discharge of 8,098 cusecs, of which 5,240 cusecs will be from Upper Krishna. At mile 120 the canal gets on to the parting ridge between the Tungabhadra and Krishna. The canal then runs on the water-shed line for a distance of 19½ miles and at Rampur 8 miles to the West of Raichur, it bifurcates into two branches one running towards the North and the other towards the South of Raichur. These branches will not be excavated to their full lengths till the Upper Krishna Reservoir Scheme is taken up. For the present only the north branch will be excavated for a length of about 26 miles terminating at Gadwal frontier.

Part II of 1934 Report.

38 Alignment.

The main canal will be 439½ miles long, of which the

Cont...3

last 30½ miles from Gorur, where the Upper Krishna Right Bank Canal joins it, will be a common canal. The total area commanded will be 18,71,749 acres and, for reasons to be stated later in Para 6 Part III, only 11,71,410 acres have been allocated under the Tungabhadra Scheme. This area comprises the Koppal Jaghir, Gangavathi, Sindhanur, Manivi, Deodrug and Raichur Taluks. In all, lands belong to 566 villages will be irrigated.

Part III of 1934 Report.

6. Irrigation and how fixed.

The District of Raichur, situated as it is between the two great rivers Krishna and Tungabhadra, is wholly commanded by them. If the whole of this tract is to be brought under irrigation it will be necessary to construct two reservoirs one across each of the rivers. The questionof apportioning the extent of irrigation under each of the two schemes, is however a matter depending upon the capacities of the reservoirs, river supply and other features

For purpose of irrigation under the Tungabhadra, an area of 11,71,410 acres has been apportioned to it, consistent with the supply, economical length of the canal and minimum transmission losses. This area comprises lands situated in Koppal Jaghir, Angundi Samasthan, Gangawathi, Sindhanur, Doodrug, Manvi and Raichur Taluks.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MEMORANDUM ON THE USE OF TUNGABHADRA WATERS AT MALLAPUR (TUNGABHADRA DAM)

MEMORANDUM ON THE USE OF TUNGABHADRA WATERS AT MALAPURAM (TUNGABHADRA DAM)

After protracted negotiations between the erstwhile States of Hyderbad and Madras, the dependable yields at Malapuram (Tungabhadra Dam Site) came to be tentatively assumed as 336 T.M.C. Ft.

The upper requirements were assumed by Hyderbad and Madras as 79.5 T.M.C.Ft. (vide. 1944 agreements between the States and the 1951 Planning Commission allocation of Krishna waters) as detailed below:-

	T.M.C.Ft.
(a) Ex-Bombay (now part of Mysore).	1.0
(b) Lakkavalli.	57.0
(c) Tunga Anicut.	11.5
(d) Proposed new works in(Old Mysore)	10.0
Total:	79.5

Therefore the net quantity of waters available at Malapuram has been taken as 336-79.5 = 256.5.

The main utilisations at Tungabhadra agreed to between Hyderbad and Madras without prejudice to final apportionment of flows are given in the 1944 agreement as follows:-

(a) Each State to utilise 65 T.M.C.ft. but design the canals for higher utilisations each State taking its own risk to use additional waters that may fall due in the event of final apportionment. The support required by Pre-Moghul channels, Kurnool Kadapah channel of Madras and Rajolibunda canal of Hyderabad to be debited to the 65 T.M.C. ft. the share of each State.

(b) The contribution to the Kistna will be met by regulated flows from the reservoir and such waters may be used to develop power by the respective Governments and will not be debited to the quantity of 65 T.M.C.ft. mentioned at (a) above.

(c) Among other things, the determination of the exact quantity of Krishna contribution of Bhima as well as amount of weightage that should be given to Hyderabad until a Krishna Reservoir comes to function, was left for further negotiation.

With the above partial agreement the construction of the work was taken up in 1947-48 and negotiations on the unsettled questions were continued between the Hyderabad and Madras States.

Andhra Pradesh appears to claim certain waters of Tungabhadra for utilisation by them. They are:-

(a) 26 T.M.C.ft. which is known as Krishna Contribution from Tungabhadra which gets released after Krishna reservoir comes into being. Krishna contribution being only a temporary accommodation until Krishna Reservoir was to come into being, these waters must revert for utilisation in the basin above Tungabhadra reservoir and particularly in the areas that have now come into Mysore after Re-organisation of States.

(b) Limiting utilisation of waters on the Left Bank Canal to 85 T.M.C.Ft. instead of 100 T.M.C. ft. as decided by Hyderbad Government. The Ex-Hyderabad Government definitely sanctioned in 1952 the utilisation of 100 T.M.C.ft. under the Left Bank Canal comprising the areas which now are entirely within the Mysore territory and Mysore presses to stick to that scope. The question of limiting the utilisation to 85 T.M.C.ft. does not therefore arise.

(c) Utilise all the surplus flows totalling to 46 + 19.5 = 65-.5 T.M.C.ft. below the Tungabhadra Dam, mostly on Kurnool Cadapah Canal etc., Andhra Pradesh wants that Tungabhadra should supplement the Kurnool Cuddpah Canal, Rajolibunda Canal and other Pre-Moghul Channels to the extents indicated below:-

	T.M.C. ft.
1. Assistance to Kurnool Cudapah Canal.	18.0
2. Assistance to Rajolibunda.	8.5
3. Pre-Moghul Channels (right).	6.0
4. Pre-Moghul Channels (left).	2.0
	34.5

Government of Mysore have to observe the following in respect of each of the above:-

(i) Karnool Cudapah Canals utilisation was 10 T.M. C.ft. (vide page 6 of 1951 Planning Commission Award). Assistance to Karnool Cudapah Canal Cannot therefore be contemplated from the Tungabhadra waters. It may also be pointed out that the exact extent of such an assistance needed by Karnool ~~from~~ Cudapah from

Tungabhadra is 7.3. T.M.C.Ft. only vide Chief Engineer (Special), Madras, letter No.122/46-P-8 of 29-11-1947 addressed to the Secretary to Government of Madras, Public Works Department (vide. Para 3 of the letter given at Page 188 of published correspondence regarding Tungabhadra Project Volume II for 1946-51). Therefore the present demand of 18 T.M.C.ft. of assistance put up by Andhra at Mallapuram is highly inflated and cannot therefore be admitted to any extent. Further any legitimate assistance that the Kurnool Cudapah Canal may require for sustaining their old irrigation under that canal can easily be met from the regenerated flow in the Tungabhadra below Mallapura. They are bringing on both sides of the river below Mallapuram an extent of nearly a million acre of irrigation.

(ii),(iii) and (iv):- These small quantities required for Pre-moghul channels on either side and for the Rajolibunda Canal can easily be obtained from the ample yield of the river below Mallapuram which will include steady regenerated flows.

Mysore Government, therefore, contends with full Justification that there is no case either to curtail the utilisation on Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal or to allocate part of the 46 T.M.C. ft. to the Andhra Channels below Mallapuram.

Finally Mysore Government wishes to point out that this concerns the use of 336 T.M.C. ft. of waters hitherto assumed as dependable flows at Mallapura by Ex.Madras and Ex.Hyderabad and that any additional flows over and above 336 T.M.C.ft. belongs to Mysore in its entirety.

Scb*

CONSTRUCTION OF AN ANICUT ACROSS CHITRAVATHI RIVER NEAR THIRUMANI, BAGEPALLI TALUK.

-:-

The Government of Mysore have been contemplating since January, 1950, to provide a right bank canal to the existing Anicut across Chitravathi River near Thirumani to feed 7 existing tanks with an atchkat of 540 acres and to provide additional irrigation to 250 acres which are now dry. Under the terms of the 1892 Agreement, the Government approached the then Government of Madras for necessary permission. Madras insisted that waters of the Chitravathi should be guaged with a view to finding out how far the proposals would affect the lower interests in Madras. The correspondence in this regard which is summarised below is dragging on for more than a decade so far, without obtaining any tangible results:-

1) The P.W.D. Minister, Mysore approached his counterpart in Madras on 12.1.1950 to allow Mysore to (i) reconstruct Thirumani Anicut and to (ii) add feeder channel of 8 miles in length on the Right Bank side to feed 7 tanks with an atchkat of 540 acres and to irrigate a fresh area of 250 acres enroute.

2) The Government of Madras demanded technical details of the existing anicut and the proposed anicut as also the 7 tanks to be fed vide their letter dated 27.2.1950. The Govt. of Mysore supplied all this information as per Chief Engineer's letter of 11th July, 1951 at Page 30 to 33 c.f. under Government letter dated: 30th September 1953 at page 37 c.f.

.. contd --

3) The Chief Engineer forwarded an estimate for Rs. 3½ lakhs with a provision of Rs. 1 lakh in the first five year Plan, vide letter at page 39 c.f.

4) In their letter dated 29th January, 1954 at page 47 47 C.f. the Government of Andhra Pradesh requested for additional data including the gauge flows at the anicut site and asked this Government to do nothing that would prejudice the issue by excavating the Right Bank channel and by improving the Anicut. Government of Mysore furnished the information on 15th July, 1954 vid letter at page 59 c.f as no guages were maintained, approximate average flows as per local enquiry were furnished.

5) The Government of Andhra Pradesh in their letter dated 30th May, 1955 vide page 83 c.f. contended that their Govt. consid red that flows based on merely local enquiries cannot be taken as reliable guides to fix the available flows at the anicut and that it is necessary to conduct guagings for atleast 3 seasons. They also suggested that the guaging party may also determine the extent of existing irrigation in the river above the anicut and below. Under letter dated 21st May, 1956 at page 103 c.f this Government informed Andhra Pradesh thag guagings need not be conducted which will delay the project and the observed flows as already informed may be accepted.

6) Government of Andhra Pradesh in their letter dated 18th July, 1956 at page 105 c.f. contended that there

-- Contd ..

were already complainte of insufficient supplies to their Bukkapatnam and Dharmavaram tanks and therefore it is highly essential to conduct guaging operations at the anicut site.

7) Government of Mysre, und r their letter dated 5th December, 1957 at page 118 c.f., informed Andhra Pradesh that this Government agreesto the joint guagings but the work may be started at least for feeding the existing tanks without extending any irrigation pending guaging operations. In their letter dated 13th September, 1958 at page 127 c.f., the Government of Andhra Pradesh reiterated their earlier stand that joint guagings are nocecsary before they could consider our project.

8) In the Inter-State discussions at Minister's level held in June 1959, it was agreed thatjoint guagings should bo conducted to verify if theThirumani Anicut project of Mysoro should be taken up vide pages 128-B and c(c.f). Accordingly the Chief Engineers had a joint inspection vide inspection note at page 138 to 139 c.f wherein they agreed to have the joint guagings but the Andhra Pradesh Chief Engineer contended that the cost of the guagings must be met by Mysore as it is in the interest of Mysore.

contd ——

Under the circumstances, the entire issue was examined and it was decided vide letter dated 16.12.1962 to Chief Engineer (General) to take the following course of action.

a) Thirumani Anicut may be reconditioned with an additional outlet and the feeder on the Right Bank to feed the seven tanks.

b) Careful guagings of the flows at Thirumani Anicut may be recorded for a couple of years.

c) Detailed data about the existing irrigation on the river Chitravathi beyond our borders in Andhra Pradesh as existing now and 20 years back may be collected unofficially so that we have an idea of the consumption of water there at and theprescriptive rights that Andhra Pradesh may be entitled to.

d) In case we are satisfied that there is enough water for our intentions of extra irrigation we may proceed therewith.

As an off-shoot of the proposal of econditioning the Anicut, the Government of Madras with their letter dated 5053 dated 23.12.55, complained that there was dimunition of supplies in the Chitravathi river and consequently dimunition of supplies to Bukkapatnam tank in Ananthapur District, which is directly fed by this river. In the Inter-State Conference on 18.11.1959, it was agreed that the ChiefEngineers should jointly inspect and examine this. They agreed ~~to~~ on having guagings at Thirumani Anicut but failed to come to any agreement with regard to the sharing of cost as already explained in Para supra above.

And with letter No.PWD 19 BRA 59 dated 24.1.1963 the Government of Andhra Pradesh was informed that -

contd .. 5

a) the Joint guaging of the Chitravathi river at Thirumani Anicut will not help - verifying the allegation.

b) Therefore our Govermment is not agreeable to conduct any Joing guagings at Thirumani Anicut.

c) After joint inspection of Bukkapatna Tank statistics of the works of Chitravathi in Andhra Pradesh may have to be collected for verification of the allegations.

The Andhra Pradesh Govt. in reply with letter dated 29.5.63, informed us that in case joint guagings are not accepted then they could not consent to the proposal of reconditioning Thirumani anicut and taking off the right bank feeder channel. Further they were not agreeable to the Joint inspection of Bukkapatnam Tank at all since the deficit supplies were due to construction of lift irrigation schemes along n the river course and also due to Hampasandra anicut across Kushavathi.

Again with their letters dated 10.10.63 and 23.11.63, the Andhra Pradesh Government pointed out that they had learnt that the anicut at Thirumani was being raised in height and that the capacity of the left side channel was also increased. Government of India have also called for a n report on the same.

An estimate amounting to Rs. 6 lakhs for the reconditioning of the anicut and for the construction of the right Bank. feeder channel is received from Chief Engineer (G) for sanction. A portion of the work amounting to Rs. 50,000/- is also stated to have already executed on piece work system.

The Chief Engineer(General) has been asked vide letter dated 6.3.65 to clarify as to:

a) the need for a feeder channel when no extra area is proposed for irrigation.

b) whether it means that the water in these tanks is not sufficient to irrigate the area commanded by them.

Reply in this regards is still awaited from the Chief Engineer (General).

of water of Rayarhalla into Gowdanakere by Ex.Madras. However, he also stated that these 31 Kattes were in existence since 60 years or more.

In view of this, since there was no agreed report from the two Chief Engineers, the Government Mysore informed the Government of Andhra Pradesh vide letter dated 19.11.1962 that under the circumstances the Kattes have got their own right to exist and that they are merely diverting the water do not pond up any storage. Therefore it was requested that the case be treated as closed.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh therefore complained to the Government of India on this issue and a report was called for fromthe Government of India, with their letter dated the 4th October, 1963. It was made clear to the Government of India with our letter dated 22.9.64 that the real cause for the shortage of supply of Thammadihally tank is diversion of Rayarhalla to feed the Gowdankere tank and that out of the 31 Kattes in Mysore there is irrigation under only 13 Kattes, the atchkat under them being only 49 Kattes, the atchkat 49 acres. It was also stated that these kattes are mostly in the nature of soil and moisture. Construction works stituated in arid region and are more than 6 to 7 decades in age.

It can be seen that nearly 4 decades have already passed since the first complaint was mooted out by the Government of Madras. In 1941 it was found that only 13 Kattes had a storage of only 2.9 M.C.F.T. which fact remains true even now. The storage or diversion under 6 kattes and the area irrigated under these 13 kattes is insignificant and further joint inspections may only result in waste of time and money.

Note on Diversion of waters from Jayamangali river at Rampur Anecut (PWD 16 PRA 59)

A An anecut across Jayamangali at Rampur was constructed by the Mysore Government. Since this was said to be in contravention of 1892 Agreement there were discussions between the two Governments of Mysore and Madras. In 1933 an agreement was concluded between the two States in which it was agreed to allow the Anecut to remain as constructed on the understanding that the size and number of vents in it and the head sluices of the channels will be altered by the Mysore if this is found necessary after examination of the figures of irrigation under the Anecut lower down the river both in Mysore and Madras limits.

These figures of irrigation were examined and in 1944 another agreement was concluded between the Chief Engineers of these two State laying down the priorities of irrigation under the Anecut. According to these priorities the last preference is given for the Ayacut under the Rampur Tank. It was also agreed that with a view to assess the supply in the river guagings at the Anecut and at the frontier should be done during June and December for a period of 5 years.

After this there has been series of letters exchanged between the two Chief Engineers regarding the site for guaging etc., With letter dated 9.12.52 the Government of Madras was informed that it would be desirable to establishment the guagings at Parigi Anecut instead of at the frontier. The Government of Madras however did not agree to this and insisted on guagings being conducted at the frontier. It was again represented to the Government of Madras with letter

[illegible] that there were proper gauging sites at the Kattina point, to the wide [illegible] river course, low banks of the river, shifting river [illegible] etc., it was therefore reiterated that gauging at the Kattina point may not be reliable, accurate and that [illegible] site may be selected by joint inspection. It was agreed to put the joint inspection. It was also agreed to put the joint inspection some how did not take place. In the Inter-State Conference held on 6th June 1959 it was agreed that suitable site be selected jointly by the Chief Engineer and guaging conducted. Joint inspections of the Chief Engineers were held in July, 1960. There was no agreement between the two Chief Engineers regarding the site for guaging and therefore no positive conclusion could be reached. The Government of Mysore with letter No. WRD 16 IPA 59, dated 20.11.62 informed that Government of Andhra Pradesh that under the above circumstances and also in view of the fact that the irrigation under Rampur Tank was 304 acres and represents hardly 3% of about 8500 acres of irrigation of River Jayamangali in both Mysore and Andhra Pradesh, there is no need to establish any elaborate guaging arrangements. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, however, did not agree to this and have insisted on having guagings conducted.

Chand;

Subject:-Irrigation - Mysore State- Nagaragere Tank- Raising the surplus weir.

In their letter dated 25-4-1957, the Government of Andhra Pradesh raised an objection that due to raising of the surplus weir of Nagaragere Tank (in Gowribidanur Taluk), some of their tanks in Hindupur Taluk were getting inadequate water supply and requested this Government to intimate the circumstances under which the surplus weir of the tank was raised, as was required under 1933 Agreement between old Mysore and Ex.Madras.

In Letter dated 24th September 1958, Government of Mysore replied that as the tank got heavily silted up causing reduction in the original capacity to 266 units the waste weir was raised by only 2 feet to increase the the capacity of tank to 366 units so as to irrigate an area of 678 acres and 16 guntas and this was done after obtaining the concurrence of Ex.Madras Government. It was also intimated that though as per clause 1 of 1892 Agreement, the Government is entitled to restore the tank to its original capcity i.e., 472 units with an atchkat of 701 acres, further raising of weir of the tank was not taken up as it would entail submersion of lands and Andhra Pradesh.

At the instance of the Government of Andhra Pradesh the matter was discussed at the Inter-state Conference held on 25-2-1959 when the representative of the Government of Mysore agreed to furnish the full details of certain lands adjoining surplus weir of Nagaragere Tank which are alleged to have been brought freshly under cultivation and also to have a joint inspection of the work. Accordingly a joint inspection by S.E. Bangalore Circle (Mysore and the S.E.Anantapur Circle (Andhra Pradesh) was conducted on 15-9-59 and later by the Chief Engineers of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh on 14-11-1959.

During inspections, the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh Raised a number of new issues and demanded:

1) Joint survey and levelling to determine the raise in F.T.L.

...contd.

2) Village Officers of Nagarageri Tank and Kanchisamudram (Andhra Pradesh) may jointly see that the right side weir is not tampered by ryots.

3) History of left side surplus course to know whether it was feeding the Kanchisamudram big tank,

4) to examine jointly whether the small channel taking off from the left weir sluice, could feed Kanchisamudram tank,

5) to enquire whether any lands in A.P. is being submerged by the foreshore of the Nagaragere Tank.

To all these demands the Chief Engineer, Mysore had agreed to make enquiries and supply information to Andhra Pradesh.

The matter was examined in the Secretariat and the Government of Andhra Pradesh was replied in letter dated 30th November 1962 as under:

1) As the figures of capacity reported to Government of Andhra Pradesh on 24-9-58 were based on regular survey there is no need for carrying out joint survey of the tank bed,

2) there is no need for joint super visicn as it is not established that there has been tampering of the waste weir.

3) & 4) The left side waste weir channel is a small one which feeds the atchkat under the tank; it cannot carry any surplus as its capacity is limited; therefore any proposal as its capacity is limited; therefore any proposal to divert the surplus to Kanchisamudram big tank would amount to tampering with the existing conditions,

5) As already intimated that there is no submergence in Andhra Pradesh.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh in their letter dated 15-5-64 have again insisted that there should be joint survey and that the Government of Mysore may reconsider and concur to the recommendations made by the Chief Engineers in their joint inspection notes and issue orders for their implementation.

XXXXXXXX

Repairs to Agali Tank-

In 1935 an agreement was concluded between the Governments of Mysore and Madras on the arrangements to be made with regard to the usine of Suvarnamukhi River for feeding Agali and Kittagali tanks. Agali tank lies in Madras State and Kittagali tank lies in Mysore State. Agali and Kittagali feeder channels take off from the Agali Anecut across Suvarnamukhi River.

Some time in 1950 it was reported that the people of Agali and other villages of Ananthapur District crossed over into Mysore State and diverted the waters [illegible] of Suvarnamukhi River towards Agali tank thereby obstructing the flow of water below Agali Anecut to feed the Madlur tank in Mysore State. The matter was referred to Govt.of Madras who in turn furnished a counter complaint that the Mysore ryots had put up temporary bunds and were drawing more water into Kittagali channel. A joint inspection of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur and Executive Engineer took place and it was found that the allegations were baseless.

The Andhra Pradesh Govt. successor to Madras Govt. suggested another conference again. A joint inspection was held in April 1955 and it was found that the River bed had silted up heavily at the Anecut and therefore the Anecut had ceased to function. The Govt.of Andhra Pradesh in the May 1958 suggested therefore that under the circumstances the Agali ryots should be permitted to raise the crest of Agali Anecut by 2-feet and that there should be a detailed survey of the entire locality by the Engineers of the two states and fresh proposals drawn up to suit the present conditions. This subject was discussed again in the Inter-State conference held in June 1959 and it was agreed that there should be a joint inspection of the two Chief Engineers. The Chief Engineers inspected the Anecut in November 1959. The Andhra Pradesh Chief Engineer suggested that the Anecut

..contd.

height be raised by 2-Ft. temporarily. It was agreed by the two Chief Engineers to have a detailed survey of the area and collect necessary details jointly. This matter was examined by Government in detail and Andhra Pradesh Govt. was informed in May 1961 that the real source of trouble has been; (a) non-provision of securing vents by Andhra Pradesh in the masonry weir which has resulted in silting up of the river bed above the weir and non-removal of silt in the Agali channel by Andhra Pradesh authorities. It was pointed out that these two conditions laid down in the 1935 Agreement had not been implemented by the Andhra Pradesh and therefore the present situation. The Andhra Pradesh Govt.was therefore asked to first take steps to implement the 1935 agreement. In reply it was pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Govt. that according to the 1935 agreement the breadth and depth of Kittagali had to be fixed with the agreement of the two States and that certain observations were made in this regard by the Madras Chief Engineer in his letter dated 29-3-46 which was not replied to by the Mysore Chief Engineer. In view of this and also the present conditions it was suggested by the Andhra Pradesh that the Anecut be raisedby 2-feet and joint surveys taken. In reply it was pointed out by Mysore in December 1964 that the letter of Chief Engineer, Madras was only a letter of suggestion and as such no action was considered necessary. It was again pointed out that what was essential was the implementation of the 1935 Agreement by Andhra Pradesh. The Andhra Pradesh Govt.again pointed out that the provision of 1935 agreement regarding the breadth, width etc. of Kittagali tank had not been fixed in consultation ~~with~~ or under supervision of the two States and therefore they do not agree with the condition that the agreement had been complied by the Mysore State in full. It was again requested by them that joint surveys be conducted and the weir raised tempoarily by 2-ft. The correspondence stand at that.

UPPER PENNAR PROJECT - SUBMERSION OF LANDS IN MYSORE

The Government of Madras with their letter dated 10th January 1949 requested the Government Myaore to consent to the acquisition of 37 acres of Patta land besides about 155 acres of river bed within Mysore State which would be submergod by the construction of a reservoir across Pennar near Perur village in Anantapur District. The Reservoir according to them would submerge this area at a level of +1760. After some Correspondance this was agreed to by the Government of Mysore and certain conditions were stipulated as is found froum PWD No.7005/IRN/133-48-35, dt.22.11.50.

The conditions laid down were.-

i) the extent of land to be acquired comes to about 47 acres, 34 Guntas excluding the Perambok land. The cost of acquisition comes up to Rs.17,100/=. This together with any enhanced compensation awarded by Court has to be borne by Madras Government.

ii) In the event of Vodlorevu Village becoming un-healty due to its location being in close proximity to water storage the cost of shifting will have to be borne byx the Madras Government.

iii) ~~Construction of~~ Lands will be leased for a period of 999 years on payment of certain rental charges by the Government of Madras.

iv) Construction of fresh roads, culverts, bridges in place of those affected by inundation should be borne by Madras.

v) Existing rights and privileges in regard to diversion of river water into irrigation wells to be safeguarded and due compensation given if there is to be any dimunition of facilities and privileges consequent on the construction of the Project.

.....2.

The conditions 1 i [illegible] were generally agreed to by the Karnataka Government vide their letter [illegible] dt. [illegible] regarding the condition No.(ii) i.e., about the shifting of the village it was stated that the Government of Madras would suggest that provisions may be made in the agreement for Mysore to decide and intimate within a period of 5 years that is, not later than end of 1956 whether the village should be shifted. Such stipulation according to them was necessary since if shifting of the village was proposed to be accepted [illegible] later areas of the village would have grown into a large town. Further it was also proposed that a limit of 10 miles from the present site for the locations of the new village should be laid down. Further regarding condition No.(v) mentioned in the above paragraph it was requested that the number of wells in question their location and the areas irrigated by them should be specified in the agreement.

Accordingly a draft agreement was asked to be prepared by the Government of Mysore and furnished to Madras for their acceptance. This agreement some how was not finalised. With the formation of Andhra Pradesh Government as Successor to the Madras Government, this agreement was kept back since another agreement between Government of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore regarding Pennar-Pundavathi project was not finalised by the Government of Andhra Pradesh.

The Andhra Pradesh Government with their [illegible] dated 30.9.1957 requested that the lands

.....3.

coming under submersion upto 1763 may be acquired and handed over to them. The Minister for Irrigation & Power, Andhra Pradesh, also addressed Government of Mysore on 20.12.1957 for taking urgent steps to acquire the land and hand over the same to them. As per the statement furnished along with the letter of the Ministeer, Andhra Pradesh the total land submerged at +1763 was 282.78 acres out of which Patta land was about 91 acres.

Meanwhile the Dam had been under construction and water was impounded in the Reservoir from 1958 itself. Again in a meeting of the Chief Engineer ofAndhra Pradesh and Mysore State held in February 1959 this subject was discussed and the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh wanted that the land up to F.L which according to him worked out to nearly 260 acres be acquired once for all and as in the case of Bhairavanithippa Project he suggested that the loss of Revenue be calculated and capitalised once for all instead of there being any lease. Again in the Inter State Conference of the Chief Minister of the two States held in June 1959 this subject was discussed and it was stated that Mysore would examine the question of capitalisation of the Revenue and regarding the shifting of Voddarevu village it would re-examine the necessity or otherwise. Since then there has been correspondence between the two States regarding this matter and with letter No. PWD 22 BRN 59. dated 20.10.60 the Andhra Pradesh Government was informed that the area under submersion as reported from time to time was at variance. Originally it was stated as 47 acres, 37 Guntas, of Patta lands

informed by the Divisional Commissioner, Bangalore, that the Executive Engineer, P...I. Anantapur, in whose budget provision had been made for the land compensation and Rehabilitation for this Project is proposed to be deleted since the Government of Mysore have not settled this matter since so many yearss Further the Divisional Commissioner, has also represented that in the circumstances till final settlement of the question of land compensation, land revenue in this case should to suspended.

The highest and lowest level of Vodrevum village is 1770 and 1772 respectively. The villagers have represented when Doraji tank upstream breached and water rushed into their village and subsequently the villagers are alarmed during floods. Further the proximity of the halla and the fluctuation of the water level when impounding is done upto 1763 necessities the shifting of the village to a higher place in the opinion of the District Health Officer. The reported cost of the shifting and the rehabilitation of the village is about 2 to 3 lakhs.

The people of Vodrevu have about 475 acres of land on the other bank of the river. The villagers have to skirt round nearly 5-10 miles around the water spread at RL 1760 to go to their lands. This is a great inconvenience to the villagers. A bridge connecting the other side is therefore necessary. The cost of this bridge is estimated at about 3 to 4 lakhs.

Working tables for Lakkavalli Reservoir.

In 1944 an agreement was concluded between the Govts. of Madras and Mysore regarding the utilisation of waters by

.....7

with the letter dated 15.3.65 contended that there was not need to furnish the project report as prepared by the Government and regarding shifting of Todarave village they were not agreeable to the same as it was 11 ft. about the F.R.L. and since the original demand of Mysore was time-barred and it was also requested that to avoid un-ending trouble and correspondence regarding settlement of Revenue and compensation for loss of crops due to submergence in Mysore State, the capitalisation of loss of Revenue may be agreed to. The entire question was re-examined in consultation with the Chief Engineer (General) and the Government of Andhra Pradesh informed with letter No.PWD 9 ERA 64 dated 7.1.66 that the proposals made by them cannot be agreed to and therefore the Government of Andhra Pradesh was requested to pay damages caused to the villagers.-

a) Due to crops damaged by floods or reason of crops being made impossible.
b) to shift the village to higher ground;
c) to provide a bridge across river;
d) to provide lift irrigation facilities to enable villagers to grow one crop during November-June when water recedes in the submerged lands.

With their latest letter dated 18.3.1966 the Government of Andhra Pradesh have stated that the matter is under examination. By a.D.O. letter dated 15.4.66 the Chief Engg Minister, Andhra Pradesh has been asked by the Chief Minister to expedite the matter and it has also been suggested that this issue may be discussed on 13th May at Hyderabad, if they met.

Meanwhile there have been representations from the people that the villagers have becomed helpless and the question of compensation should be settled at the earliest. It has also been -.....

Mysore above Hallaouram (Tungabhadra) Dam. Among other things this agreement provided for the construction of Lakkavalli Reservoir across Bhadra. In the agreement it was laid down that the necessary details of this project and its working ta table should be furnished to Madras.

In 1948 the Govt. of Madras requested Mysore to furnish details of Lakkavalli Reservoir before starting the works. There has been correspondence in this regard between the two States, Mysore contending that since Madras has started the Tungabhadra Dam, there was no need to furnish details of Lakkavalli Reservoir etc., and that with the formation of Andhra Pradesh in 1953, the entire position has changed.

With the formations of Andhra Pradesh, that Govt. has taken up this matter and have been asking for the working tables of Bhadra (Lakkavalli) Reservoir. And in 1957, the Planning Commission too asked for this to enable the Tungabhadra Board to prepare in turn the working table for Tungabhadra Reservoir. The working tables based on both carry over and non-carry over were got prepared and these we examined by the S.T.A.C. and the one with carry over was approved by that body in its meeting held on 22.3.1959.

This set of working tables was furnished by C.E. IP. Mysore to his counterpart in Andhra Pradesh. The CE., IP. Andhra Pradesh, wanted some particulars such as ayacut map, capacity tables, plans and drawings etc.,

These were not furnished and Andhra Pradesh was informed that the working tables furnished should not be treated as final and that the final tables will be prepared after all projects above Tungabhadra Dam in Mysore State were finalised.

Sub: Construction of new irrigation schemes on Pennar and Jayamangali river by Mysore (Ref. 151 GIY 63)

In April 1963 the Government of Andhra Pradesh informed that Government of Mysore that it was learnt by them that the construction of the following works were being contemplated in Mysore State.-

1) Reservoir across Jayamangali River near Kit- village of Chintamani Taluk.

2) Construction of a reservoir across Jayamangali near Ir[illegible] village.

3) Construction on [illegible]tion of the [illegible]ed tank near Venkatapur village.

Again in May 1963 the Government of Andhra Pradesh [illegible]ed one more tank proposed to be constructedxxxxxxx across Minahukalonabattathoro near Venkatapur village. The contention of Andhra Pradesh Government has been that these works proposed across Jayamangali River in Mysore State will adversely affect irrigation interest in their State and jeopardise their reparian rights. They therefore requested that these works may not be executed further unless and until the full particulars of these works were examined by them. They therefore requested for full hydraulic particulars of all these works. The entire issue was examined and it was found that all these 4 works referred to by the Government of Andhra Pradesh were situated well above the limits stipulated in the 1892 Agreement between the Madras and Mysore laying down the conditions for new irrigation works across these streams. It was therefore intimated to the Government of Andhra Pradesh that the demand of Andhra Pradesh State to stay the construction of these works was unwarranted.

.....9.

Now it is seen from the notes furnished by the Andhra Pradesh Government that even though these tanks are situtated well above the limites specified in 1892 agreement their construction will mean reduction in the flows in the River entering into their State.

It is seen that while specifying the limits for construction of new irrigation works in the 1892 agreement it has been clearly laid down that the River above the limits specified is of minor importance to Madras. It is evident therefore the present compliant from Andhra Pradesh is not quite genuine and their request cannot be complied with.

Construction of a dam across Pennar at Nagalamadike village.

The Uttarapinakini Project across Pennar near Nagalamadike village in Pavagada Taluk of Tumkur District. is a Project proposed for inclusion in the Fourth Plan.

The Project envisages the construction of an earthen Dam to impound 514 M.C.ft. of water and to irrigate 3600 acres on either banks. The crop pattern proposed is all dry-cum-wet. The utilisation is about 540 T.M.Cft. Submersion is estimated as 1375 acres of which 889 acres are irrigated lands. Submersion in Andhra Pradesh will be 139 acres out of which 84 acres are cultivable land. The project is estimated to cost Rs.80 lakhs and is awaiting scrutiny of M.I.P.C. Technical Sub-Committee.

The contention of Andhra Pradesh is that according to the 1892 agreement between Madras and Mysore. Uttarapinakini is a scheduled river across which no construction can be taken up without the previous consent of Madras (now Andhra). Further the construction of this project according to A.P.

.....10

will reduce the inflow into the projects in the State, especially of Nagalamadike. They have therefore even consulted about this to Government of India and a report is due from us in this regard to Government of India.

In this regard it may be stated that in case we have to send our project to Andhra Pradesh and obtain their consent, it is very much doubtful if the project can materialise early.

---:---

Gowthri Reservoir [illegible]
[illegible] Government of the [illegible]
([illegible])

The Government of Mysore have constructed [illegible] the river Suvarnamukhi, a tributary to river Pennar, near Gowribidanur to irrigate 2020 acres of Paddy, utilising 500 M.c.ft of water. The Government of Andhra Pradesh have been objecting to this project and asking for full details of the project since, 1957, as the project that their Bhairavanathipam project lower down would be affected. They are also enquiring whether this project is counted against 10 T.M. Cft. allocated to Mysore in the so-called 1951 award.

Though the Suvarnamukhi river is a non-schedule river and we are not bound to supply the details, the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh were supplied with the information about survey and construction details of the project with a map in our letters dated 14.11.57 and 8.4.59. It was also mentioned therein that the figures of 10 T.M. Cft. mentioned in 1951 award requires a substantial upward revision as a result of the states Re-organisation.

Being not satisfied with these details, the Government of Andhra Pradesh again raised this issue at the Inter-state Conference of February, 1959 when the representative of Government of Mysore agreed to furnish the details of the project. But in our letter date 7.11.59 the Government of Andhra Pradesh were

.....11

were informed that this Government saw no reason to furnish details, as the Suvarnamukhi river is not covered by 1892 agreement or any other agreements. Thereafter Government of Andhra Pradesh complained to the Government of India who in their letter dated 17.10.63 asked for the comments of the State Government. Again in their letter dated 18.11.64 they have raised the following issues.-

1) According to 1948 agreement, the F.S.L.Discharge drawn through the Narayangapur Channel shall not exceed 60 cusecs for the irrigation of 2210 acres.

2) According to 1948 agreement, Mysore should furnish everyday the details of regulations and daily water reports of water drawn in cusecs at the Narayanapur anicut.

3) Mysore should furnish the full information of new Projects in accordence with 1892 agreement including that of Gayathri Reservoir project.

4) Though Mysore is entitled to utilise on y 10 T.M.Cft. according to 1951 award, the total utilisation by Mysore comes to 11.7 T.M. Cft. including 3.7 T.M. Cft. of evaporation losses in Vedavathy and Tungabhadra Sub-basin Rm. The allocation of 600 T.M. Cft. made by the Government of India in March, 1963 should take note of the 1944 agreements so far as the waters of the Tungabhadra sub-basin is concerned and the utilisation contemplated in that basin should strictly conform to the allocations made in the 1944 agreement to different work.

This was replied with our letter PWD-4 BRA 60, dated 16.1.65 stating that there is no agreement of 1948 as such. Only there is a statement of conclusions reached at the Chief Engineer's conference of 1948 re: Bhairavanithippa Project which has not been ratified by the Government of Mysore. Further it was indicated at this conference that the effective storage capacity of the Bhairavanithippa reservoir would be 15 T.M. Cft. Now it is learnt that the F.R.L.,

of the reservoir [illegible] ing in [illegible] F. . Cft. [illegible] to Nagari spri[illegible] ch[illegible] f of ellaiy [illegible]. [illegible] [illegible] very badly. [illegible] before raising the [illegible] .T. roject the [illegible] rt [illegible] should [illegible] ve consult [illegible], if it respected the Chief Engineers conclusions. [illegible] love stated that there was no agreement restricting the [illegible] of water in Mysore State. Our villagers under Nagari spring channels are complaining every year about the shortage of supplies and arrangements are being made to draw the waters from Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal and Bhairavanithippa Project by approaching Tungabhadra Board and Andhra Pradesh Government. every year. The Government of Andhra Pradesh have not furnished the Project, report of Bhairavanithippa inspite of repeated requests though they agreed to furnish the same at the conference of 1959.

Sometime in January, 1943, the then Government of Madras complained that the weir of Maddalur tank xx in Sira taluk had been raised by about 1 foot by Mysore State. Consequently causing submergence of an extent of 50-100 acres in Madras territory. The status-quo ante by lowering the weir was requested or in the laternative compensation for the damage caused by inundation.

The Government of Mysore informed the Resident in Mysore with their letter dated 25.4.1944, that the level of the weir was much less than the level stated by the Government of Madras in their letter and as such there was no apprehension of any submergence.

The Government of Madras with their letter dated 23.8.46, reiterated t eir complaint and again sought for the lowering of the crest of the weir and restore the old conditions.

With letter dated 13.8.47, the Government of Madras was informed that the tank had not discharged after the left flank weir was r ised and that there had been no case of submergence so far. It was also informed that it was proposed to completely block up the left flank weir and extend the right flank weir suitably.

The Government of Madras asked in turn for the plans of t.is new proposed, while reminding that the relevant issue was the restoration of status-quo-ante.

There began a long drawn out correspondence and finally a reply was furnished to Madras Government on 15.11.52. It was st:ted therein that the left flank weir was raised from RL.50.00/ to 51.00 so as provide relief to Madlur village situated close by. It was also st.ted therein that

~~that the left flank weir was raised~~
that the tank had rarely filled and this was due to unauthorised diversion of the river supplies by the ryots of Agali, Mudhodi and Irgambally tanks in Madras State. It was also intimated that the previous proposal of blocking up the left flank weir and extending the right flank weir had been dropped on further examination. It was also stated that the left flank and right flank weirs with discharging lengths of 446 feet and 250 feet and at ~~X~~ elevations of RL.51.00 and ~~RL.50.00~~ RL.50.00 have adequate capacity to pass surplus floods and therefore the lands of the Madras State are not affected at all.

With letter dated 9.7.1953, it was pointed out by the Government of Madras that the discharging length of the weirs now furnished were at variation with the details furnished in 1944. (This plan is not available in the file). According to the Government of Madras previously the lengths furnished were 340 feet and 220 feet. They therefore desired to have this discrepancy verified and wanted discharge calculations.

The Chief Engineer, Mysore furnished his letter dated 5.1.54, the hydraulic particulars of this tank and argued out that the maximum flood anticipated at this tank as per Ryve's formula would be 6646 cusecs, whereas the discharging capacities of the two weirs as existing was 7926 cusecs, which was more than required. It is noticed in these calculations the Chief Engineer has assumed a discharge of 3 feet over right flank weir, which has a crest at RL.50.00.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh (Successor to Government of Madras) was informed on 14.10.54, that since right flank weir at RL.50.00, the full tank level is not raised.

With ~~their~~ their letter dated 20.5.55, the Andhra Pradesh

Government contended that it was not FTL that was at dispute but the MWL consequent on the alterations in weir levels and therefore asked for detailed plans of the tank along with hydraulic particulars for examination.

This subject was discussed in a meeting of the two State Chief Engineers in February, 1959 and it was agreed that the particulars of the tank as existing prior to the alterations and as existing after the alterations would be furnished to Andhra Pradesh Government.

This information was furnished at the time of the Inter-state Ministers conference held in June 1959 and the same was proposed to be examined by the Andhra Pradesh Government and that the matter as closed.

The particulars furnished in the meeting are.-

a) Length of waste weirs prior to 1941:-

Right	..	224 ft at RL 50.00
Left	..	270 ~~346~~ ft at RL 50.00

b) Length of waste weir after 1941 (after alteration)

Right	..	224 ft + 26' by Westent RL 50.00
Left	..	346 ft +100' by Westent RL 51.00

c) Maximum water level before 1941 .. RL 52.75

Maximum water level after 1941 .. RL 52.75

The Government of Andhra Pradesh with their letter dated 19.10.62 informed that from the particulars of the waste weir after the alterations in 1941, as furnished it was seen that the two weirs can discharge now 6209 cusecs as against their discharging capacity of 6758 cusecs existing prior to 1941. Therefore they contended that the submersion experienced by the Naidi Village during 1956 must have been caused due to this. And according to them the total land submerged during 1956, when the tank surplussed was 186.31 acres including 91.87 acres of Parambolle. It was requested therefore that the weirs be suitably extended.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh was requested to furnish the ~~&~~ details of the Calculations made by them

thon for arriving at the discharges over the weirs. In reply it was intimated that the discharges were arrived at by applying the such weir and by usual formulae for the particulars furnished by us and it was however desired that the necessary plans as previously requested may be furnished or in the alternative, the right flank weir suitably extended.

Meanwhile the Chief Engineer (G), furnished a report showing that the discharging capacity prior to 1941 was 7503 cusecs and the present capacity was 7455 cusecs, the difference being negligible. It is from this letter of Chief Engineer (General) that crest level of left flank weir is given as 50.75 as against the previously mentioned level of 51.00.

Based on this report of Chief Engineer (G), Government of Andhra Pradesh was informed on 23.8.64, that the discharge obtaining now due to improvements to the crest is not less than the original and hence there may not be any extra submergence in Andhra Pradesh and the case may therefore treated as closed.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh was not agreable to (treat) that the matter be closed and therefore requested for the plans etc., for a further examination.

Again based on Chief Engineer (G), report dated 10.3.65, the Government of Andhra Pradesh was informed on 20.3.65 that the discharging capacity prior to repairs was 7508 cusecs and the discharging capacity after the repairs was 7255 cusecs. The difference being may small it was contended that there could not be any appreciable extra submergence due to raising of the weir and therefore the case could be treated as closed.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh with xxxxx their letter dated 14.2.1966 have intimated that they are not ~~agreeable~~ (agree) to close the case, since according to them by an

they by actual experience there is additional submergence of about 90 acres and therefore they have requested acquisition of this land or in the ~~x~~alternative increase the discharging capacity of the tank.

The entire case can be summed as below.-

We increased the crest level of the left ~~g~~ flank weir by about 1 foot while keeping the crest level of the right flank weir at its original level. This alteration in the levels had certainly caused certain alterations in the flow characteristics. ~~Where~~ Ther the present discharging capacity is less than the original discharging capacity by 500 cusecs or 200 cusecs, it has to be accepted that there has been a reduction. The question to be examined whether this reduction has caused any damage to the lands by the heading up of water. And if so, what is the extent and the period for which such a damage can occur. The Andhra Pradesh Government have stated in their letter that such damage occurred in 1956. But they have not stated for how long such a stage existed. From the nature of stream. One can certainly say that floods rarely occur and if at all they occur it can will be only for a very short duration of possibly a day or so. Such an inundation cannot be of much damaging consequence. Therefore if we know for what period and when the tank has surplussed at all during the last two decades, we can assess the real damage. That could have occurred. ~~But I am not sure if such details are available for minor tanks like this.~~ Information may have to obtained from the local villagers, whose observations may not be reliable.

Another aspect, is that the maximum flood discharge that can be anticipated from the catchment of this tank as per usual formulae is 6646 cusecs, and the present waste weir and byewash can discharge 6885 cusecs.

UPPER KRISHNA PROJECT - EXTENSION OF BENEFIT TO ANDHRA PRADESH.

Upper Krishna Project as now envisaged by Government of Mysore provides for irrigation to 12 lakh acres in the districts of Bijapur, Gulbarga and Raichur. The Stage I of the Project has received the clearance from the Planning Commission in 1963 and provides for irrigation of @ 6 lakhs acres.

The contention of Andhra Pradesh has been that the erstwhile Government of India, which had investigated the Upper Krishna Project had envisaged irrigation to the taluks of Gadwal and Alapupu now in Andhra Pradesh and therefore the benefits of Upper Krishna Project should be extended to these areas and have laid claim to this from the S.R.C.Act. This project even if it was investigated by the then Government of Hyderabad, it never came to the stage of sanction or finalisation of the estimates. As such A.P. cannot claim any benefit from this project which was only in the investigation stage in those days. Moreover, the area of Bijapur has now come over to Mysore from Bombay due to SRC and the Upper Krishna Project has therefore to provide for this area first.

NOTE ON 'REHABILITATION IN TUNGABHADRA PROJECT AREA'.

The Tungabhadra Reservoir has been designed for storing water up to 1633 level. Allowing 2 feet for wave action, lands have to be acquired up to 1635 level. The lands of sub-ergence lie in the Districts of Raichur, Bellary and Dharwar. Originally the lands were acquired upto 1630 contour. It was subsequently decided by the Board (in May 1955) to raise the level to 1635 contour. The work of acquisition of lands and villages rehabilitation of theeffected people in the District of Raichur is being attended to by the Government of Mysore and that of Bellary and DharwarDistricts by the Tungabhadra Board through the agency of the Revenue Dept. of Mysore State.

When the Project was taken up for execution on the Madras side, the composite State of Madras adopted a certain pattern for rehabilitating the affected people. This consisted of two central colonies with necessary amenities, around which a large number of families have been rehabilitated. One of these is at Hanari-Bommanahalli and the other at Mariammanahalli. The rest of the families were accommodated in the nearby existing villages. In addition to the payment of compensation and exgratia to the people affected by 1630 contour, the amenities as per details in Statement No.I enclosed herewith were contemplated to be given by the Govt. of Madras as per their order No.3059 dated 27-11-1950

Except in the above two central colonies no Special amenities seem to have been provided for the other small colonies except setting apart sites to the extent necessary for a school with playground, a chavadi, a village reading room, a village panchayat office and a Burial Ground to be made available when these institutions come into existence, there appear to be no other amenities created except sinking wells at the rate of one for every fifty families displaced.

p.to.

From the above it is seen that the amenities provided on the Bellary side are not adequate and that in most cases only the barest minimum viz., a site to construct houses and a drinking water well were provided. Most of the essential amenities like school building, community centres, Road, burial ground etc., are not provided in all the colonies.

The Govt. of Mysore have recently considered comprehensively the question of placing on a uniform basis/of Policy decision taken in this behalf, have the following uniform pattern of facilities to the displaced person affectedby the Major projects in the state. *The Provision of Rehabilitation and Persuance*

1. Providing for selection, acquisition if necessary of a suitable site for the village, jungle clearing levelling and lay out etc.,
2. Providing free sites of suitable size for dwellings, hithals and manure pits.
3. Construction of internal roads with cross drainage works in the sites selected for colonisation;
4. Providing drinking water well for wells as the case may be;
5. Providing a village Panchayat or a community Hall;
6. Providing a primary school building of required dimensions;
7. Providing temple or temples or places of worship in place of those that existed in the submerged or affected villages.
8. An approach road to the village with cross drainage works.
9. Providing a burial ground;
10. Providing an Irrigation tank or Gokatte to meet the needs of the cattle, if such facilities are not available in the neighbourhood of the villages site;
11. providing free transport to salvaged materials;
12. Providing credit facilities to house building, land/development;
13. providing land for cultivation;
14. creating necessary facility for the development of Rural Industries likely to develop there with a view to providing employment to the landless;
15. Providing for any other amenity which was available for the community in the submerged or affected villages;
16. Providing and prescribing procedures for the payment of compensation speedily;
17. Providing for payment of compensation for unauthorised structures.
18. Providing for payment of compensation for lands granted under G.M.F. or any other rules in force where the grantees have acquired a right to get the lands

.....4

STATEMENT NO. I

AMENITIES GRANTED IN MADRAS GOVT. ORDER NO.3059,dt.27-1-1950

1. Formation of re abilitation colonies.

2. Disafforestation of 2962 of forest reserves for assigning either 5 acres of dry or 1 acre of wet and 3 acres of dry land, as the case may be, to each displaced family.

3. Acquisition of 768 acres at a cost of Rs. 32,300/- for providing house sites for displaced families.

4. Grant of house sites at the following scales:

(i) 10 cents free to all displaced families, including those who lived in rented houses, for house sites.

ii) ~~From~~ 5 cents in addition, freeto all agriculturists for use as rick yards and manure pits.

iii) free sites for shops and godowns up to 5 cents each to all the merchants who had the same in the original villages.

iv) Free sites for all factories to be shifted to the extent had by them in the original village.

v) Grant of reasonable extents under the above categories over and above the limits specified above to the displaced families, or merchants or factory owners on payment of cost. Any additional extent upto 25 cents required by a displaced family should be paid for.

vi) The grant of house sites to displaced families is subject to the following conditions.

(a) the grant (free as well as on payment) should be subject to a maximum limit of 25 cents in each case.

(b) the sites all not be alienated for a period of 10 years, and.

(c) in the case of sites for shops, factories etc., they should be constructed within 2 years.

5. Supply of building materials like iron, steel and cement to the displaced families at controlled rates.

6. Permission to the displaced families to remove the essential parts of their houses to be acquired. on payment of 25 per cent of the actual value of the materials.

7. Grant of timber upto a limit of Rs. 100/- in each case, to the displaced families and upto a limit of Rs. 500/- for village communities.

8. For displaced families who have to move to distant rehabilitation colonies, provision of thatched dormitories on a communal basis.

22. Shifting of the post office at M.L.Keri to Mariammanhalli and that at Tambrahalli to the unsubmersible part of the same village and the branch post office at H.B.Halli being made a sub-office.

23. Construction of buildings for Police Stations and quarters for the Police at Mariammanahalli and Tambrahalli and construction of Prohibition station in Tambrahalli Rehabilitation Colony.

24. Construction of Inspection Bungalow at Mariammanahalli centre and shifting of Inspection Bungalow at Bellahunsi to H.B.Halli Centre.

25. Employment of Special Revenue Staff to attend to the rehabilitation work.

Shortages of supplies to Spring Channels on the River Hagari in District Bellary, Mysore State on construction of Bhairavanthippa Project on the River Hagari in the Upper reaches in Andhra Pradesh.

There are six channels taking off from the River Hagari in District Bellary, Mysore State as under:-

Sl.No.	Name of Channel	Area irrigation(acres)
1.	Asundi	303
2.	Chaganur	174
3.	Moka.	600
4.	Tolamamidi	212
5.	Kuntanahal	39
6.	Rupangudi	303
		1631.

There has been wet cultivation(mostly paddy) and even second crop thereof on all these spring channels from time immemorable . However since 1957-58 shortages started being felt at these spring channels.Since the Moka spring channels is commanda-ble by the Tungabhadra Project(Low Level canal) the ryots of this spring channel started demanding compensation of the shortages from the Tungabhadra waters. Such supplies are being allowed by the Tungabhadra Board for the last few years eversince, on the condition that these supplies are counted against Mysore's share of Tungabhadra waters for the low level canal.When this stipulation was laid the exact cause of shortages was not yet known.

In March 1959 as well as in March 1960 the ayakatdards of all the remaining spring channels also complained abo-ut shortages and withering o. their crops. It was noticed that the Bhairavantippa Dam which was under various stages of construction for a few years by then was practically completed and had started impounding even the surface flows in adition to the sub-soil flows through the river bed. It has been ascertained that the river gorge has been provided with a cut off consisting of a series of wells sunk to a depth of 40 ft.or so. The Minister.P.W.D. Mysore approaches his counterpart to let down waters from the Bhairavanathippa Project so that the crops on these spring channels could be raised successfully. Accordingly, water was let down in 1959. Since then, practically every year such requests have been repeated.

One of the agreements reached during the inter-state Ministers' Conference held on 5th and 6th June 1959 was that Andhra Pradesh should supply to Mysore a copy of the Bhairavanathippa Project report to facilitate examining what provision has been made in the Project to protect lower irrigation vide relevant extract of proceedingsof the conference given below:-

> "Viii)(d) with regard to irrigation in Mysore State below Bhairavanathippa Reservoir,it was agreed that a copy of the Bhairavanathippa Project Report would be furnished by the Government of Andhra Pradesh to Mysore in order to enable the latter to examine as to what provision had been made in the approved project to protect lower irrigation.

However, Government of Andhra Pradesh have not furnished the project report inspite of repeated reminders.

The fact that the Bhairavanathippa Project was planned by Ex-Madras Presidency who also administeredall the spring channels on Hagari lower down goes to show that Bhairavanathippa Project could not have been prepared by Madras without making due allowance for the flows required by the pre-existant irriga tion on the spring channels lower down. in Bellary district which incident ally was part of the same Madras State. In any case irrespective of the fact of providing or not providing for these flows in the Bhairavanathippa Project,no new upper project can extinguish the rights of the pre-existant irrigation down below. Therefore it is incumbent on Andhra Pradesh Govt. to allow sufficient flows from the Bhairavanathippa Project to enable successful raising of all the irrigation in vogue on the spring channels from time immemorable.

Under the circumstances ,Andhra Pradesh Govt.may please be pursuaded to agree to joint control on Bhairavanathippa Project by Mysore and Andhra Pradesh so that the irrigation interest on the spring channels lower down are properly safeguarded.

Supplying copies of files and other documents in respect of Tungabhadra Project as tranferred by the Ex-Madras State to Andhra Pradesh in October 1953.

--

Consequent on the formation of the Andhra State in 1953, The Govt. of Madras sent all the records relating to Tungabhadra project to Andhra State, on the plea that the interests of Andhra Govt. in Tungabhadra Project are larger than those of the Mysore Govt. They intimated in their letter No 6293/53.2 dated 7.11.1963/that the records have been taken over by Andhra Govt. and that Govt. will furnish copies to Mysore Govt. and to the Tungabhadra Board. Even after a period of 10 years, copies of the records have not been furnished to this Govt. by the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh. As the Govt. of Mysore is also a beneficiary of the Project, it is necessary that this Govt. have all the files of Composite Madras and orders relating to the Project.

When the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh was addressed for furnishing copies of the records relating to Tungabhadra Project they have informed that the Govt. of Mysore might depute staff for the purpose. The Govt. o Mysore even agreed with this suggestion and requested the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh for furnishing a list of files as sent by the Govt. of Madras. The Govt. of Andhra Pradesh have furnished a list of files relating to the years 1952-1953 only. The Govt. of Andhra Pradesh should furnish an exhaustive list of records, right from the inception of the project as transferred by the Govt. of Madras. Copies of relevant correspondence between the two Governments/enclosed.

In this connection it is relevant to mention that subsequent to Re-organisation of States in 1956, when the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh wanted a free exchange of records relating to Tungabhadra Project on the Left Bank the matter was considered at the Inter-State Conference held in 1957 and 1959 along with other subjects and it was agreed that both the State Governments should make available all the records relating to projects to one another for study and taking copies. Accordingly Mysore made available all the records required by the Andhra Pradesh. Such a facility inrespect of the records relating to the Tungabhadra Project on the Bellary side still remains to be aforded by the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh.

In view of importance of the matter and the time lag, it appears quite essential that the matter is brought before the Inter-Stat Conference so as to arrive at a settlement by which the records relating to Tungabhadra Project are made available to this Govt. expeditiously.

Sd/- Superintendent
7AD. (Political)

"Copy"

objection to the three states agreeing to the changesin the project 6,
from time to time: It was also agreed that as regards the agency for
the construction and subsequent maintenance of the dam

NOTE ON TUNGABHADRA BOARD - ITS CREATION AND NEED FOR ITS ABOLITION

Tungabhadra Project was taken up as a joint venture by the States of Madras and Hyderabad. The construction of the right half of the dam and the irrigation benefits on the right flank came within the province of Madras State. The construction of the left half of dam and the ~~of~~ irrigation benefits on the left flank came within the province of Hyderabad.

2. With the formation of Andhra on 1.10.1953 the seven taluks of Bellary on the right bank if Tungabhadra cam over to the jurisdiction of Mysore State and the Tungabhadra Project became the concern of three states viz., Andhra Mysore and Hyderabad. The Govt. of India therefore held a meeting in May 1953 at Delhi of the three states. It was agreed at this meeting that the sanctioned project as existing then will be binding on the three states; and that there would be no objection to the three states agreeing to the changesin the project from time to time. It was also agreed that as regards the agency for the construction and subsequent maintenance of the dam and regulation of store supplies. the three states would need further discussions

3. Again on 9th July 1953, another Inter-State Conference was held at Delhi and the following proposals were agreed to in that meeting.

a) There will be a Board consisting of Chief Engineers of Mysore, Andhra and Hyderabad charged with the task of completing the construction of the irrigation side of the project. The Board will have a Chairman appointed by the Central Govt. There will also be a Vice-Chairman who will be selected by the members of the board and will hold office for three months and this will be by rotation among members. The Superintending Engineer on the irrigation side of the project will be the ex.officio Secretary.

b) Board will frame its own rules of business.

c) Matters on which there is difference of opinion among members will be referred to Chairman whose decision will be final except in matters affecting rights or involving questions of policy, which shall be referred to Govt. of India. And decision of Govt. of India will be binding on all the States.

d) Existing staff will continue and the participating states may replace the existing staff as the need arises in proportion to be mutually agreed upon.

e) These arrangements will be in force for 18 months from 1.10.53. unless the project is completed earlier, in which case they will terminate earlier.

f) Similar proposals regard ng the electrical side would be discussed and agreed to as soon as possible.

4. In pursuance of these discussions, Tungabhadra Board was constituted by Govt. of India vide Notification dated 29th Sept. 1953 and a full time Secretary was appointed for the Board. The Headquarters of the Board was located at Delhi and tfor the conduct of the day-to-day work two sub Committees one for ~~x~~ jurisdiction and the other for electricity were constituted. The Hyderabad Govt. however ~~continued to construct~~ continued to construct operate and maintain the project in so far as it fell within the State of Hyderabad subject to over all control of Tungabhadra Board.

5. The Board as constituted was exercising the powers of the Chief Engineer under the Madras P.W.D. & Electricity Codes and the powers of the State Govt. under these codes were vested with the Central Govt. due to this there was delay in disposal of work. Therefore the question of reconstituting the Board was thought of and on 30.6.1954., a meeting was held at Bangalore between the Chief Ministers of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore and the union Minister for Irrigation & Power.

6. According to the agreement reached in this meeting:-

~~a) The Tungabhadra Board was to be reconstituted and made more compact. The Board was to consist of a Chairman~~

a) The Tungabhadra Board was to be reconstituted and made more compact. The Board was to consist of a Chairman and four members of whom one was to be nominated by Centre and one each by the three participating states, Andhra, Mysore and Hyderabad. The Chairman was to be appointed by the Central Government.

b) The Board was to have thepowersof a State Government.

c) The Board will appoint one or more executives for the Executives for the execution of works of common interest. It will also appoint its own Secretary.

i) The Headquarters of the Board will be at the dam side and the Board will be incharge not only of construction but also of regulation of supplies of water and power and maintenance of the project after completion.

7. And accordingly the Tungabhadra Board was reconstituted vide Govt. of India notification dated 10th March 1955.

8. The Tungabhadra Board is a statutory Body constitudted by the President of India, in pursuance of Sub-Section (4) of Section 66 of the Andhra States Act, by a Notification dated 29.9.1953. The Board as reconstituted by the Govt. of India with Notification dated 10.3.1955 consists of :-

1) Shri.B.K.Gokhale, ICS(Retd.)	Chairman.
ii) The Addl.Secy.to the Govt.of Andhra Pradesh, P.W.D.	Member.
iii) The Secretary to the Govt.of Mysore, P.W.D.	Member.
iv) The Jt.Secy.to the Govt.of India, Ministry of Finance, or his representative.	Member.

9. In accordance with para (4) of the Govt. of India Notification dated 10.3.55, reconstituting the Board, its powers and functions are as enumerated below:-

4. (1) The Board shall take charge of, and deal with, all matters relating to works on, or connected with the Tunga-

..4

Tungabhadra Project which are common to both the States of Andhra and Mysore,but nothing in this sub-paragraph shall be deemed to authorise the Board to deal with any matter in respect of works which relate to only one of the States or in which only one State is interested.

ii) In particular,and without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing powers,the functions of the Board shall include-

a) the completion of the construction of the sanctioned Tungabhadra Project;

b) the regulation of supplies of water and power in accordance with such rules as may be made in this behalf by the Board;

c) the maintenance of the main canal and of other work common to both the States of Andhra and Mysore;

bd) maintenance of the dam and reservoir of the project;

e) the granting of leases of fisheries in the reservoir and in the main canal;

f) the proper utilisation of land acquired for the purposes of the project and.

g) any other functions incidental to, or connected with, the functions specifiedin clauses(a) to (f).

10. With the reorganisation of states in November 1956 the Left half of the Tungabhadra dam and the left bank canal,which was in the province of Hyderabad State,came over to the Mysore State. The reconstituted Mysore State therefore had complete territorial jurisdiction over the Tungabhadra Reservoir. The left bank canal and part of the Right Bank canal.

11. With the agreement between the States of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh in June 1956 regarding the takingup of the High Level canal scheme on the right bank, the execution of all the common works in respectof H.L.C.was entrusted to the Tungabhadra Board.

12. The Board has been in existence from 1st October,1953 uptil now. During this period, the Board has completed the construction of the sanctioned Tungabhadra Project both the irrigation portion and the Hydro Electric scheme. The TBP.High level canal scheme - Stage I, approved by the Govt.of India,the common works of which were entrusted to the Board, is also nearing comp

and the same is schedule to be completed in all respects by June 1966. The Board has also been regulating supplies of water and power, maintaining the Dam,main canal,and other common works, only in respect of the righ half of the project. It is also attending to the construction works, both irrigation and Hydro Electricity, the only work remaining for the Board to do, is the maintenance of the common works on the right bank and the development of fisheries.

13. The staffex of the Board is drawn from the two participating States of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh. The establishment of the several offices under the Board is purely temporary and sanction to its continuance is renewed from year to year. The funds of the Board are provided by both the participating states of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore- in the ratio of 5:4(tentative) in the case of Low Level Canal; 80:20 in the case of Hydro Electric scheme,5:13 on fisheries, and on cusec mile basis in the ratio of 2.42:1 in respect of the High level canal works.

14. With a view to effecting economics in the expenditure of the Board and also with a view to examine the possibility of abolishing the Board and taking over the works under its control, to the control of this State, information on the following points were called for from the Secretary, T.B. Board:-

i) figures of year-wise expenditureincurred by the Tungabhadra Board on the several works executed by its

ii) figures of year-wise expenditure incurred by the Board on the establishment maintained by it.

15. The particulars furnished by the Board were forwarded to the Chief Engineers(IP),H.E.C.P and M.S.E.B. for furnishing their views regarding the reasonableness or otherwise of the establishment costs and how much could be saved either (a) by a suitable reduction of staff of the Board,or (b) by abolishing the Board altogether with an taking over the works to the control of this State.

16. In this connection, the Chief Engineer,HECP.has reported that in respectof the capital works,the percentage of establishment charges are reasonable. In so far as maintenance expenditure is concerned, the establishment charges are nearly 20%. It is not

It is not clear as to what items of expenditure, the remaining 90% comprises of. It is also stated that the capital works of the Hydro Electric scheme are over. Therefore the establishment for the construction works would be wound up in any case. With the completion of the capital works, some of the posts, which are now common both to construction as well as maintenance could conveniently be abolished. As regards maintenance staff, substantial savings can be effected by abolishing the Tungabhadra Board and taking over the maintenance complete by Mysore State. The Mysore State Electricity Board has already sanctioned permanent establishment for the Left Bank Power House at Munirabad and a Superintending Engineer is incharge of the Station. Maintenance and operation of the Right Bank Power House as well as the Hampi Power Houses also under the control of the Superintending Engineer(Elecl.) Munirabad, it will be possible to do away with a number of posts which are now existing in the Tungabhadra Board such as the Board Secretariat, personnel in the office of the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh, and the Superintending Engineer(Elecl.) T.B.Dam, and the general establishment in the Divisional Engineer's office both at the Dam and the Hampi power House. The annual savings on this account would be to the extent of Rs.4 lakhs. The Chief Engineer, MECP. has further stated that the Right Bank Power House and Hampi power house being situated within territorial limits of the Mysore StateControl over the same should be in the hands of Mysore Govt. The supply of water and power to Andhra Pradesh could be guaranteed by Mysore Govt. By this, there will be unified and integrated operation of all the three Stations which will be of great advantage in maintaining uninterrupted supply as well as economy. It is also sta ted that the main object of setting up the Tungabhadra Board was the completion of the Tungabhadra Dam and power stations There having been completed there is no longer any justification for the continuance of the Board and the question of its abolition requires to be taken up at the highest level.

12. ~~The Chief Engineer(General), M.P.B. has reported that~~

17. The Chief Engineer (General),MSEB. has reported that it cannot be denied that there is a good case for combining the two organisation(on both banks of the river)into one and having a common control (of this State)when considerable economy is likely to result. If this is done, the most apparent economy will be by winding up the Tungabhadra Board and itsoffice.The next step will be that all the stations(on both banks) can be placed under the control of one Superintending Engineer. It is not unlikely that appropriate reduction will also result in the other executive and ministerial staff. The Chief Engineer General) MSEB. has fully agreed with the views of the Chief Engineer,T.C.P. that all steps should be taken to see that the control of all the stations is taken over by our Govt.as in the very nature of things all the installations lie in Mysore State and that the Tungabhadra Board should be abolished.

18. The Chief Engineer(I·P) has stated that the percentage of establishment expenditure incurred by the Board inrespect of capital outlay on the low level canal is slightly higher than the normal laid down in Mysore State. The percentage of establishment chargesis well within accepted limits; while the percentage on maintenance of Low Level Canal is high. The Chief Engineer(IP) has not furnished any remarks regarding the abolition of the Board.

19. As regards fisheries in the Tungabhadra Reservoir, it has to be stated that the Board has control over the right half of the Dam and the reservoir; the left half being under the exclusive control of the Mysore State Therefore the interest of Mysore is predominant and the ratio for sharing the cost and revenue between Mysore and Andhra Pradesh is 13:5. Here a-gain, the reservoir being situated within the territorieal limits of Mysore and the Director of Fisheries, Mysore,being in a better position to manage the development of fisheries in T.B.Reservoir there is no need for the separate organisation maintained by the Board for that purpose. Mysore can easily take over, and manage economically, the fisheries development work in the Reservoir

Andhra Pradesh, of course getting the debit towards expenditure and credit towards revenue in the agreed ratio. From this angle also, the continued existence of the Tungabhadra Board is not justified.

20. In the circumstances explained above the question of abolition of the Tungabhadra Board requires serious consideration. In this context it is relevant to mention that the question of abolition of the Board was informally discussed at one of the previous Inter-State conferences between the Chief Ministers and Ministers for Public works of the two States of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore and the subject was dropped.

21. In this connection an extract from the record of discussions held with the Govt. of India officers(on the utilisation of irrigation potentials under TBP) in the chambers of the Chief Secretary on the 18th August 1965 is also given below.

"1. At the outset the Chief Secretary explained the administrative set up of the project. It was stated that regulation of the discharge from the Reservoir maintenance of low level canal × was the responsibility of the State Govt. It was explained that when the Project started, three States were involved including one princely state. Whatever the circumstances than the justification ⊗ for the setting up of the Board to look after the Dam, reservoir and major portion of the canal lay in Mysore State. Subject to the assurance of the Mysore Govt. for making available the agreed quantity of water to Andh Andhra Pradesh, the entire administration, head works reservoir and canals should be made-over to Mysore and the Board dissolved".

× and Rehabilitation of the fore-shore area was being attended T.B. Board the maintanance of the dam and the high Level Canal

⊗ interests of all States did not any more exist since.

22. Therefore the Govt. of India in the Ministry of Irrigation and power were addressed in this Govt. letter No. PWD.8 MTB 63 dated 22.11.1965 to place the matter before the conference of Irrigation and power Ministers held on 26th and 27th November, 1965 for consideration. However, the matter did not come up for discussion at that conference. But the officers of the Ministry of Irrigation & Power informed the Minister for Public Works, Mysore, that the matter was receiving attention. In response to the subsequent reminders the Ministry of Irrigation & Power, Govt. of India have intimated that the matter is still under examination

..9.

further communication will follow in due course. Therefo
tion of abolition of the Tungabhadra Board and the maintenan
roject as also the supply of water and power therefrom to
the Govt. of Andhra pradesh on the basis of agreement reached,
being entrusted to the Govt. of Mysore is a matter for consideration.

23. When with the reorganisation of states in November 1956 the territorial jurisidction over the reservoir, the entire left bank canal, part of the right bank canal and major part of irrigation under this project came to lie over with Mysore State, it was certainly necessary that the Tungabhadra Board should have been abolished and the management and maintenance of the project entrusted to Mysore State, which was the major beneficiary and had territorial control over the head works. But possbly because the execution of the common portion of High level canal by the Tungabhadra Board had already been agreed to, this was not discussed. Now with the nearing completion of the High level canal stage I, the question of Tungabhadra Board deserves consideration.

24. A counter question could be raised as to-

a) the coupletion of the High level canal stage II.

b) the regulation of drawals from Tungabhdra Reservoir.

If the Tungabhadra High Level canal stage II is sanctioned and agreed to, this could easily be executed by the Mysore State. The regulation of drawals from Tungabhdra Reservoir will be according to the Project reports as sanctioned by the Govts. of Hyderabad and Madras and as per agreement if any between Mysore and Andhra pradhsh.

25. The Govt. of India, Ministry of Irrigation & power, in their recent letter No.41/4/65-IM.I dated 6.6.66 have intimated that the question of abolition of the Tungabhadra Board will be considered only after the High Level Canal scheme is completed and satisfactory arrangements are made for the proper maintenance and operation of this Inter-State Project.

LIST OF CASES PROPOSED TO BE DISCUSSED WITH CHIEF MINISTER, MYSORE.

Sl.No.	Subject.
1.	Division of Assets and Liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Low Level Canal.
2.	Power benefits from the Munirabad Power House for Andhra.
3.	Extension of irrigation from Left Bank canal to areas in Andhra.
4.	Final sharing of Tungabhadra waters at Mallapuram.
5.	Rajolibunda Headworks Transfer of control of the Head works and the 1st 27 miles of canal to Tungabhadra Board.
6.	Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tunga Bhard Board.
7.	Irrigation - Andhra Pradesh - Repairs to Agali Anicut - Reg.
8.	Irrigation - Mysore State - construction of anicut across Chitravathi river near Tirumani and opening new feeder channel to feed 7 tanks - Deficiency in Bukkapatnam tank of Andhra Pradesh.
9.	Tanks in Hindupur taluk - Lepakshi village - Investigation of supplies - Raising the weir of Nagargeri tank by Mysore.
10.	Inter-State problems - Anantapur Dist. - Tammada Halli tank - Interception of supplies - Reg:
11.	Inter-State waters - Mysore State - Diversion of waters of Jayamangali river at Rampur anicut to feed Rampur tank.
12.	Upper Pennar project of Andhra Prdesh - Acquisition of submergible lands in Mysore territory by Mysore Govt.
13.	Utilisation in Tungabhadra Basin - working tables of Lakkavalli Reservoir - Furnishing of by Mysore Govt.
14.	Inter-state matters - construction of new irrigation schemes at Nagamangala on Pennar and Jayamangali rivers by Mysore - Reg.
15.	Inter-State problems - construction of a dam across pennar at Nagalamadaka village in Mysore State - Reg.
16.	Irrigation - Anantapur Dist. - Pennar Kumudavati project - Draft final agreement.
17.	Irrigation - construction of Gayatri Reservoir across Swarnamukhi river by Mysore which affects supplies to Bhairvanitippa project in Andhra Pradesh.
18.	Irrigation - Anantapur Dist. - Medakasira taluk - Raising of water weir of Muddalore tank in Mysore State.
19.	Irrigation - Major - Upper Krishna project - Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur Taluks.
20.	Irrigation - Major - Malaprabha project to restrict the scope as originally contemplated.
21.	Irrigation - Major - Ghataprabha Project - Particulars Regarding th

D.O.letter No.CMI.195/64 dated 25/26th February 1964 from the Chief Minister of Mysore, Bangalore, to the Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

--

Shri Sanjiva Reddy had written to me in September1963 (letter No. D.O.5009-I/63-1 dated 4th September 1963) enclosing a list of subjects for mutual discussion and settlement. He however had not specified the points for decision under each item. As I was busy for one reason or the other, I could not attend to the matter so far. I feel that it will be advantagenous if the specified points for decision under each items are listed out. I have also a few subjects for consideration. I am enclosing the list along with notes on each subject. It will be desirable to have the various subjects discussed at the official level in the first instance. We may meet later. I am very anxious that all the outstanding issues are settled early. As soon as I received the notes on the items proposed from your end, I will depute the concerned officers to Hyderabad for a discussion with their counter-parts. I hope you will agree with this arrangement.

With kind regards.

Enclosure.

List of subjects proposed to be discussed with Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh.

--

1. Rahabilitation in Tungabhadra project area.
2. Shortages of supplies to Spring channels on the River Hagari in District Bellary, Mysœ State on construction of Bhairavanithippa project on the river Hagari in the Upper reaches in Andhra Pradesh.
3. Supplying copies of files and other documents in respect of Tungabhadra Project as transferred by the Ex.Madras State to Andhra Pradesh in October 1953.
4. Abolition of the Tungabhadra Board.
5. Apportionment of landed properties of Ex.Hyderabad situated at New Delhi and Bombay.
6. Allotment of Sandhi trees, Andhra Pradesh State in 1956-57- Reimbursement of tree tax.
7. Transfer of value of machinery purchased from funds meant for the Tungabhadra Project in Raichur District by the Andhra Pradesh Govt. under Section 87 of the States Reorganisation Act,1956.
8. Tungabhadra Steel products Ltd.,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Letter No.5009-I2/63-34.

From

Sri A.Krishnaswamy, I.A.S.,
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore. (WE)

Hyderabad::Dated:26.7.1965.

Sir,

JOINT SECRETARY TO GOVT. No. 6 3-AUG 1965 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Sub:- List of long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore - Discussions at our Inter-State Conference - Notes furnished.

Ref:- D.O.Lr.No.CM.I/195/64 dated 25.2.64 from the Chief Minister, Mysore, addressed to Chief Minister, Andhra Pradesh.

****

I am directed to invite reference to the letter cited and to enclose notes on 18 items which are proposed by this Government for discussions at a meeting of Officers of the Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh. Of the 18 items referred to above, the subject relating to transfer of control of Head Works of Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme to Tungabhadra Board, and also items 6 and 7 of the list proposed by Government of Mysore in their letter cited were discussed during the meeting of Chief Secretaries of Government of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore held at Hyderabad on 7.4.1965, and the minutes drawn up at the meeting are awaiting confirmation of the Chief Secretary of Mysore.

2. I am to request you kindly to suggest a suitable date for convening the meeting of the concerned officers of both the Governments, at Hyderabad.

3. I am to add that all items proposed by the Government of Mysore in the letter cited, will also be discussed at the proposed meeting except item 5 relating to the apportionment of landed properties of ex-Hyderabad, situated in New Delhi and Bombay, in respect of which a final reply was already sent to the Government of Mysore in this Government's letter No.1311-U1/64-3, PW, dated 7.8.64 stating that the further discussion on the subject was not necessary in the circumstances mentioned in Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs letter No.F7/16/62 SR/R/ dated 2.6.1964.

4. I am to request an early reply in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

D.VENKATADRI
for Secretary to Government.

Rajesh/26765*

-:ooo:-

NOTES ON ITEMS PROPOSED FOR DISCUSSION

I N

THE INTER-STATE CONFERENCE

WITH

M Y S O R E.

LIST OF ITEMS PROPOSED FOR DISCUSSION IN THE INTERSTATE CONFERENCE WITH KARNATAKA.

I. ITEMS SUGGESTED BY GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH.

Sl.No.	Short title of case pending	Page No.
1.	Division of Assets and Liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal (ie., from head to 155.5 miles).	1
2.	Power benefits from Munirabad Power House for Andhra Pradesh.	2-3
3.	Control of Munirabad Power House.	4
4.	Extension of Irrigation from Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal to areas in Andhra.	6-7
5.	Final sharing of Tungabhadra waters at Nallapuram	10
6.	Rajolibunda Reservoir and 1st 27 miles of canal transfer to Tungabhadra Board.	Same deleted 12
7.	Irrigation - Andhra Pradesh - Repairs to Agali Anicut	13
8.	Irrigation - Mysore State - Construction of an anicut across Chitravathi River near Tirumani and opening new feeder channel to feed 7 tanks - Deficiency in Dubbapatnam tank of Andhra Pradesh.	14
9.	Tanks in Hindupur taluk - Lepakshi (village) Investigation of supplies reaching the weir of Nagargiri tank of Mysore - Regarding.	16
10.	Anantapur District - Tummadahalli tank - Interception of supplies - Regarding.	16
11.	Diversion of water from Jayamangali river at Rampur anicut to feed Rampur tank.	17
12.	Upper Pennar Project of Andhra Pradesh - Acquisition of submergible lands in Mysore territory by Mysore Govt.	18
13.	Utilisation of Tungabhadra Basin - Working tables of La Lavalli Reservoir - Furnishing of by Mysore Govt.	18
14.	Construction of new irrigation schemes on Pennar and Jayamangali rivers and tributaries upstream of Perigi tank of Andhra Pradesh.	20
15.	Construction of a dam across Pennar at Nagalamadaka (Village) - regarding.	21
16.	Irrigation - Construction of Gajanthri Reservoir across Swarnamukhi river by Mysore which affects supplies to Bhairavanitippa Project in Andhra Pradesh.	22
17.	Irrigation - Anantapur District - Madakasira taluk - raising of waste weir of Muddalore tank in Mysore State	23
18.	Irrigation - Major - Upper Krishna Project - Extension of benefits to Gadwal & Alampur.	24

BRIEF NOTES ON THE POINTS FOR DECISION ON THE OUTSTANDING WHICH HAVE BEEN PENDING SINCE LONG TIME BETWEEN ANDHRA PRADESH AND MYSORE.

1. Division of assets and liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal:-

The subject relating to the division of assets and liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal scheme was discussed during the Interstate Conference of Chief Ministers and Ministers in charge of Irrigation & Power of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore held at the Tungabhadra Dam site on the 5th and 6th October, 1957 and no agreement could be reached in the matter.

The subject was again considered during the Interstate Conference of Chief Ministers and Ministers in charge of Irrigation & Power of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore held at Hyderabad on the 25th and 26th February, 1959 and it was decided that as no agreement could be reached in regard to the basis for a portion in the liability it was decided to refer the matter to the Government of India for a decision.

Based on this decision the Government of Mysore have sent their Memorandum to the Government of India. Vide Government of India's Letter No.46(12)/60-D.IV dated 6th September, 1960 sending copies of the Memoranda to the Government of Andhra Pradesh. In response to this the Government of Andhra Pradesh have sent their detailed Memoranda - Vide Government of Andhra Pradesh letter No.34352 I/59-62 dated 14.9.1960.

From this it is seen that the question of division of assets and liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Low Level Canal between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore has been pending for a very long time. In 1953 the Composite Madras Government provisionally proposed for sharing the expenditure in the ratio of 4:5 between Mysore and Andhra on the basis of inches of water in the Low Level Canal to the two States. But Mysore insist that the cost should be

divided on cusec mile basis, as was agreed to by Andhra Pradesh for High Level Canal. The Low Level Canal was executed long ago and the ayacut has been localised whereas High Level Canal is a new Project where localisation is just taken up. Hence the division on cusec-mile basis will not therefore be equitable. The ratio based on equivalent wet ayacut which works out to 6:7 (Mysore : Andhra Pradesh) is the appropriate basis. Alternatively the ratio of 1:5 based on the utilisation of water by the two States as recommended by Madras in 1953 may be decided upon.

2. Sharing of power benefits from the Munirabad Power House:

This subject came up for discussion during the Chief Ministers Conference held at Tungabhadra Dam on the 5th and 6th October, 1957. It was agreed that the information and records pertaining to this project be furnished by either State to the other and that the discussions will take place between the Chief Engineers (Civil and Hydro-electric) of the two States with a view to putting up proposals as soon as possible for the consideration of the two State Governments.

This subject was again considered during the Chief Ministers Conference held at Hyderabad on 25th and 26th February 1959 and it was decided that as no agreement could be reached in this behalf it was decided that the matter be referred by the State Governments to the Government of India for a decision.

The erstwhile Government of Hyderabad State formulated certain proposals in regard to the utilisation of the power available at Munirabad Power House on the left bank of the Tungabhadra Reservoir, ie., at the dam, on the canal drops etc. Under these proposals certain areas were to be served with the power developed at the Power sites referred to above. Some of the areas which are to benefit under this scheme were transferred to Mysore State and the others merged with Andhra Pradesh consequent on the reorganisation of the States in 1956. This Government claimed 16% of power from the first two units of Munirabad Power House and 38% of the total power when the installation of the 4th Unit was completed.

Section 100(2) of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956 makes the following provisions in regard to cases of this nature:

"Where a Project concerning one or more of the existing States affected by the provisions of Part II has been taken on hand, but not completed, or has been accepted by the Government of India for inclusion in the II Five

Year Plan before the appointed day, neither the scope of the Project nor the provisions relating to its administration, maintenance or operation or to the distribution of benefits to be derived from it shall be varied.

a) In the case where a single successor State is concerned with the project after the appointed day except with the previous approval of the Central Government and b) in the case where two or more successor States are concerned with the project after that day, except by agreement between those successor States or if no agreement is reached, except in such manner as the Central Government may by order direct, and the Central Govt may from time to time give such directions as may appear necessary for the due completion of the Project and for its administration, maintenance and operation thereafter."

It will be seen from the foregoing, that the areas now within the Andhra Pradesh and which were to have been served by the Left Bank Power Stations under the schemes formulated by the erstwhile Hyderabad Government have still to receive the same benefits as a statutory right not withstanding the fact that the headworks and the power station have gone to a different State under the States Reorganisation Act.

Details of the share of the output of the Nunirabad Power House and other power sites on the left bank have been worked out in detail in the Memorandum submitted to the Government of India. Now that the Nunirabad Power House has been commissioned, it is desirable to decide this issue soon.

The Govt. of Andhra Pradesh have submitted detailed Memoranda to the Govt. of India in their letter No.3(6)52 I, 59-62 dated 14.9.1960. Further this is one of the subjects proposed to be discussed with the State Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore by the Government of India. The correspondence resting with Govt. of India Lr.No.45(1)/60-WPI dated 27.6.1962.

(3). Control of Munirabad Power House:

The subject relating to the vesting of control of Munirabad Power House with the Tungabhadra Board came up for discussion during the Interstate Conference of Chief Ministers of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh held at Hyderabad on the 10th November, 1959. The view points of the two Governments were explained and no agreed conclusion could be reached. The Government of Andhra Pradesh have already taken up the issue with the Government of India in their letter No.34352 I/69-60 dated 6.8.1962. The Government of India have already included this subject as one of the items for discussion in their letter No.46(12)60-DU.I dated 27.6.1962. The meeting is yet to be convened by the Government of India.

At present Tungabhadra Board is in charge of Right side of the Tungabhadra Hydro-Electric Scheme which is the joint scheme of the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore. As Munirabad Power House also relates to the Tungabhadra and as Mysore and Andhra Pradesh are interested in this Power House, it is only meant this power house also is controlled by the Tungabhadra Board

The Government of India who were addressed in the matter for their decision under Section 108(2) of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956 have advised that the matter might be discussed at the Interstate Conference which was proposed to be convened shortly for bringing about a settlement between the Government of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore of the various issues relating to the Tungabhadra Project. The Government of India have expressed the view that it would be more advantageous to attempt a settlement of the issue by discussion at the conference rather than by correspondence. The point for decision is that the Munirabad Power House may be placed under the control of the Tungabhadra Board since the right side Power House is under its control and since Andhra Pradesh is claiming a share in the Munirabad Power Ho so on the strength of the proposals formulated by the

former
~~the~~ Hydorabad Covornment ~~intended to do the same.~~

Unless the regulation of water on the left side is also vested with one common agency viz., Tungabhadra Board it will not be possible for proper and effective regulation of water under the Tungabhadra Project as a whole. Further the rules of regulation and the working tables for the operation of the Tungabhadra Reservoir, which are absolutely necessary to regulate the water according to the working table for the purposes of irrigation and power can also work in to be vested with one common agency viz. Tungabhadra Board.

~~Items (d) and (e) are at present being attended to by the Tungabhadra Board.~~ The Government of India have moved the matter with the Governments of Mysore, former Hydorabad and Andhra State Governments and they are in full concurrence with the suggestions made by the Government of Andhra viz., that the Tungabhadra Board should have over all control in respect of all matters relating to the works connected with the Tungabhadra Project including the regulation of supplies of stored waters from the Reservoir. They have held the view that the control over the regulation of water supplies from the Tungabhadra Reservoir by the Board is a step in the right direction and is in the interests of all the participating State Governments including Mysore (Vide Government of India letter No.34(5)/56-D.V.VI dated 30.6.1956). Paragraph 4 of the Notification No.D.V.VI.4(3) dated 10.3.1955 issued by the Government of India in pursuance of Section 66 of the Andhra State Act of 1953 (Act 30 of 1953) empowers the Tungabhadra Board to deal with the following matters connected with the Tungabhadra Project.

a) the completion of the construction of the sanctioned Tungabhadra Project;

b) the regulation of supplies of water and power in accordance with such rules as may be made in this behalf by the Board;

c) the maintenance of the main canal and of other works common to both the States of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore;

d) maintenance of the dam and reservoir of the project;

e) the granting of leases of fisheries in the reservoir and in the main canal;

f) the proper utilisation of land acquired for the purposes of the Project; and

g) any other function incidental to, or connected with, the functions specified in clauses (a) to (f).

Of the above maintenance of the dam and reservoir of the project in its terms mean:

a) Maintenance of the entire length of the dam inclusive of the earthen dam, Composite dam and the reservoir;

b) regulation of water through the penstock pipes in the irrigation canals;

c) regulation of water through river sluices either in the river or in the irrigation channel not connected with the penstock pipes;

d) operation of spillway gates;

e) exploitation of fisheries in the Reservoir.

So far as item (a) is concerned the Tungabhadra Board is at present in charge of the right bank of the dam and the reservoir and the left half is under the control of Mysore Government.

Similarly the Tungabhadra Board is in charge of only the regulation of water through the penstock pipes and irrigation canals, on the right side mentioned under items (b) and (c).

It is to be pointed out in this connection that the Tungabhadra Reservoir is under the control of Tungabhadra Board and to have effective and proper regulation of water it is desirable that the control of the Hunimbad Power House be vested with the Tungabhadra Board.

4. Extension of irrigation from Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal to area in Andhra Pradesh:

The subject relating to the sharing of irrigation benefits from the Tungabhadra Project Left Bank Canal Scheme was one of the items considered during the Interstate Conference of Chief Ministers and Ministers in charge of Irrigation & Power of the Mysore and Andhra Pradesh States held at Hyderabad on the 25th and 26th February, 1959. It was agreed that the matter be discussed in the first instance between the Chief Engineers of the two States and that the results of their discussions be laid, after consideration at a Secretariat level meeting, before the next Interstate Conference of Ministers of the two States.

This was again considered during the Interstate Conference of Chief Ministers held at Bangalore on 5th and 6th June 1959 and it was decided that the matter be considered further after the examination of the records by the officers concerned.

This subject was again considered during the Interstate Conference of Chief Ministers of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh held at Hyderabad on 18.11.1959 and it was decided that the matter be further discussed between the Ministers in charge of Irrigation and Power of the two States and that the Union Minister for Irrigation & Power be invited by the two Ministers to be present at their discussion and assist them in reaching an agreement. The Government of Andhra Pradesh have sent a detailed Memorandum on this subject setting forth therein the claims of Andhra Pradesh for a share in irrigation benefits from the T.B.P. Left Bank Canal to the Govt., of India in Andhra Pradesh Government's letter No.34352 I/59-62 dated 14.9.1960.

The contention of Mysore is that the Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal cannot be extended beyond mile 141 where the boundary of Mysore ends and of the Andhra begins and that this is as per the sanctioned project report of the Left Bank Canal.

The former Hyderabad Government originally intended to run the left side canal along side into the Gadwal and Alampur Taluks to irrigate lands in the entire Raichur District now partially redistributed into Karnataka (Mysore) and Telangana (Andhra Pradesh) areas, Gadwal and Alampur forming the latter. The Scheme investigated by Sri Gopalan, Special Superintending Engineer, of the former Hyderabad Government in 1936 provided for a Canal on the left bank of the Tungabhadra river for irrigating the certain or a commandable by the Tungabhadra Project canals in the doab between Tungabhadra and Krishna rivers. A verification of the old Hyderabad Government's records made available by the Mysore Government clearly set forth the right of Andhra Pradesh for 20 Tmcft., from out of the 92 Tmcft., of water for which the left bank canal was designed and constructed by the old Hyderabad Government. Out of the designed capacity of 92 Tmcft., of the left Bank Canal the utilisation increased upto mile 141, of the canal as per the cropping scheme, approved by the Old Hyderabad Government in January, 1955, is only 72 Tmcft., and as such it follows that the balance of 20 Tmcft., was intended for utilisation beyond mile 141 of the canal which is within Andhra Pradesh.

It is the view of the Andhra Pradesh Government that lack of final sanction of the former Hyderabad Government for any estimate of the scheme for extending Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal beyond mile 141 prior to 1.11.1956, is not material since the extension of irrigation facilities to the Taluks of Gadwal and Alampur was actually included in the scope of the full Project as originally envisaged by the former Hyderabad Government.

The point for decision is that Mysore to agree for extension of irrigation under T.B.Left Bank Canal to areas in Andhra Pradesh.

It may also be mentioned that Section 108 of the S.R.Act (Act 37 of 1956) lays down that Irrigation and Power Projects either completed already or under execution should serve the areas for the benefit of which they were originally conceived irrespective of territorial changes brought about by the States Reorganisation and that the benefits originally contemplated may not be lost by the unilateral action on the part of either State. Hence the subject comes under the purview of the S.R.

(5). Final sharing of Tungabhadra waters:

The subject relating to the final sharing of Tungabhadra waters between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore at Hollapuram was one of the items discussed during the Interstate Conference of Chief Ministers and Ministers in charge of Irrigation and Power of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh States held at Bangalore and it was agreed to as follows:

"It was decided that the points of difference arising from the discussions held between the Chief Engineers of the two States at the Conference of 29th and 30th April, 1959 as detailed at pages 21 and 22 of the Agenda notes for the Secretaries' Conference (para 14) be discussed once again between the two Chief Engineers and the conclusions reached by them laid before the two State Governments."

The subject was again discussed during the Interstate Conference of Chief Ministers held at Hyderabad on 18.11.1959 and it was decided that the matter be further discussed between the Ministers in charge of Irrigation and Power of the two States and that the Union Minister for Irrigation & Power be invited by the two Ministers to be present at their discussion and assist them in reaching an agreement.

The Government of India have already included this subject for discussion with the representatives of the Mysore and Andhra Pradesh Governments - vide Government of India's Letter No.~~2662~~ 46(12)/60-DO.I dated 27.6.1962. The meeting is yet to be convened by the Government of India.

The Tungabhadra waters at Hollapuram between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore has now to be redistributed as a result of the States Reorganisation. Only minor adjustments have now to be made within the frame work

stipulated in the 1944 Agreement and with reference to the change in boundaries of the States. Since the dependable flow in Tungabhadra has been decided by joint gaugings done by Madras, Mysore and Hyderabad in 1957 and since the Krishna-Godavari Commission only accessed the quantum of available flow in the Krishna, it has nothing to do with allocation of waters to works in any State. The point to be decided is that the final sharing of Tungabhadra waters must be done with reference to gauged flows at Kallapuram with suitable changes made in them to suit the transfer of territories consequent on the Reorganisation of States.

6. Note on the transfer of control of Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme Headworks and First 27 miles of canal to Tungabhadra Board.

As a consequence of the June, 1944 Agreement between Composite Madras and erstwhile Hyderabad Govts., the R.D.S. canal was treated on an equal status to Kurnool-Cuddapah Canal. Based on this the former Hyderabad Govt., had prepared a project for utilisation of 17 tmcft.

The original project envisaged construction of an anicut across Tungabhadra river near Rajolibanda village and excavating a canal from the left bank to carry a discharge of 850 c/s. at head for irrigating areas in Manvi, Gadwal, and Alampur taluks of Raichur district. The cost was estimated as Rs.1.90 crores, then. The execution of the scheme was taken up by the erstwhile Hyderabad Govt. in 1945 and the work on the Headworks and the canal was practically complet by the time the Andhra Pradesh was formed in 1956, except a few gaps here and there and the entire expenditure there for was borne totally by the Ex-Hyderabad Government.

A draft agreement between Hyderabad and Andhra Governments regarding construction of Rajolibanda anicut and appurtenant works was drawn up and discussed on 27.6.56 by the Deputy Chief Minister of Andhra Government (Sri N.Sanjeeva Reddy) with the Chief Minister (Sri B.Ramakrishna Rao) and Minister for Public Works Department (Sri Melkote) of the Hyderabad Government and the question of acceptance of the Agreement was held over pending consultation with the Mysore Government by the Chief Minister of Hyderabad (Sri B.Ramakrishna Rao) before the States Reorganisation and that thereafter the Agreement may be accepted and finalised. It was also tentatively agreed that "Irrigation sluices and scouring sluices on the Hyderabad side and the river sluices on Andhra side shall be under the joint control of the both the States."

Consequent on the Reorganisation of States some parts of Raichur District of erstwhile Hyderabad were transferred to Mysore and some portions to Andhra Pradesh. As such half of the anicut on the left side and first 27 miles of left bank canal went to Mysore State.

The scope of the Project due to the Reorganisation of the States got altered and as per the latest details available as per A.G.Commission, Mysore is having 5,900 acres with utilisation of 1.1 Tmcft., as against 87,000 acres and 15.9 Tmcft., going to Andhra Pradesh.

The necessity to bring the headworks of T.D.S. anicut and the first 27 miles of the canal under the control of the T.B.Board was brought to the notice of the Government of India in the Andhra Pradesh Government letter No.4189-I/57-6 dated 21.1.1957 as the Mysore's interest was limited to very little area compared to the area provided for irrigation under the scheme. The Government of India in their letter No.34/57 D.W.Vi., dated 17.6.1957 suggested that the Government of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore should in the first instance attempt to arrive at an agreement and that the question of Central Government issuing a directive would arise only if such an agreement was not reached. In purusance of the above, this subject was discussed at the Interstate Conference of Chief Ministers held on 5th and 6th October, 1957, 25th and 26th February, 1959 and 5th and 6th June, 1959. In the Conference held in June, 1959 it was suggested by the representatives of the Andhra Pradesh Govt., that the headworks and the first 27 miles of the canal in the Mysore territory may be handed over to the Andhra Pradesh Government for maintenance, operation and control subject to the condition that water required in the Irrigation area provided for in Mysore be supplied in accordance with the rules of regulation. As the Mysore representatives did not agree to this suggestion it was ultimately agreed that the existing arrangements namely the maintenance of the

2

headworks and the common portions of the canal, regulation of water by Mysore be continued for a period of one year from 1st July, 1959 subject to the condition that the regulation of water at the head reach may be done by the officers concerned in consultation with Executive Engineer concerned of Andhra Pradesh or his representative who would be contacting Mysore Officer at the Headworks either on telephone or otherwise. This arrangement is now being continued year after year.

As an alternative, an exchange of the 19 villages in Mysore State comprising the ayacut of Rajolibunda anicut in the first 27 miles of the canal with an equal area of territory was also suggested by Andhra Pradesh in Govts., Lr.No.12697 P2/58-20 P.W. dated 11.1.1961. But the Mysore Government in their Lr.No.P.W. 4 MRD 61 dated 19.12.1961 did not accept the proposal of this Government for the exchange of territories.

However, it was agreed in the Interstate Conference of Chief Ministers and Ministers of the P.W.D. held on 18.11.1959 that the liabilities on account of head works be shared in the ratio of the quantities of the waters allocated for use by the two States.

In view of the above i.e. since the entire cost of head works has already been borne by erstwhile Hyderabad Government and if the cost is apportioned between the Andhra Pradesh and Mysore Governments as agreed to in the Interstate Conference mentioned above the Andhra Pradesh Government being the successor State its share will be 94% of the total cost of the Head works.

As the present arrangement is not a permanent one and expeditious action has to be taken to provide for permanent and assured measures for effective regulation of water supply to the ayacut served by the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme and the lower down irrigation below Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme.

In view of the fact that the Andhra Pradesh Government is by far the major beneficiary of the project, and as the entire expenditure on the project was fully met by Ex-Hyderabad Government of which the Andhra Pradesh Govt., are the principle successors, the Andhra Pradesh has a legitimate right to have control over the headworks. Such a control by Andhra Pradesh will ensure supplies uniformly and in a coordinated way so as to make the optimum use of the waters under this project.

Experience alll these years has shown that the lack of control of Andhra Pradesh over the headworks and the first 27 miles of the canal, has resulted in an unhappy situation in inadequate supplies, stoppage of supplies, unsatisfactory maintenance of the headworks and canal, difficulty in water regulation which consequently had led to retardation of the development of ayacut.

To overcome these difficulties and to find a lasting solution to this long standing problem the following alternatives are suggested:

Alternative i): Transfer 19 villages in Manvi and Raichur taluks of Raichur distfict in exchange of 12 villages in Makthal taluk so as to bring the headworks and first 27 miles into Andhra Pradesh which also satisfies considerations of geographical contiguity, cultural and linquistic affinities and parity in area and population.

Alternative ii) To hand over to Andhra Pradesh the Headworks and the first 27 miles of the Canal in the Mysore territory for maintenance, operation, and control subject to the condition that the water required in the irrigable area provided for in Mysore be supplied in accordance with the rules and regulations.

Alternative iii):

To place the headworks and common portion of the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Canal under the control of the T.B.Board for maintenance, operation and control.

The subject was one of the items considered during the Interstate Conference of Chief Secretaries to the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore held at Hyderabad on 7.4.1965.

7. Irrigation - Andhra Pradesh, Anantapur Distri Reparis to Agali Anicut:

This anicut is across the River Swarnamukhi in territory. The right side channel taking off from the anicut feeds the Agali tank in Medakasira taluk Anantapur district, Andhra Pradesh. The left side channel taking off from the Anicut feeds Kittagali tank of Mysore State

An Agreement was entered into on the year 1935 between Mysore and Madras (Now Andhra Pradesh) for the repairs to the Agali anicut. But the provision of the Agreement were not implemented so far by both States.

The reasons for non-implementation of the provisions of the 1935 Agreement so far are:

1. The Mysore Chief Engineer has not so far replied to the specific proposals of the Chief Engineer, Madras, made in his letter No.3305/45-M-7 dated 29.3.1946 wherein concrete proposals for implementing the several clauses by Madras Government were forwarded for acceptance by the Chief Engineer, Mysore.

   The Mysore Government themselves had to implement clauses 8 to 13 of the 1935 Agrrement.

   This

/continued on next page/

~~was not~~ this was not only attended to by the Mysore, but also certain clauses were said to have been violated e.g., putting up sand bank of more than 3 feet height for the ~~division~~ diversion bund in the river to feed Kittagali channel etc.,

iii. The concerned Collectors of the Two States and the Chief Engineers have unanimously opined that the Agali anicut has ceased to function as an anicut in as much as its effect is not being felt now and that no water can be sent down at any time into Agali channel till its crest is raised.

As the bed of the river got obliterated and based on the joint inspection of the Chief Engineers of the two States the Andhra Government wanted the Government of Mysore

i) To enter into a fresh Agreement under clause 14 of the 1935 Agreement.

ii) To institute action for making site surveys and collection of necessary hydraulic and hydrological data.

iii) To make temporary arrangement to provide irrigation facilities to the long suffering ayacut under Agali and Madhudi tanks as suggested by the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh in the joint inspection notes.

The point for decision is that Mysore Government to accept the joint inspection notes of the two Chief Engineers of the two States and make arrangements to take up the works required to be done as per the joint inspection notes of Chief Engineers as mentioned above.

3. <u>Irrigation construction of anicut across Chitravati near Thirumani anicut and opening new feeder channel-deficiency in Bukkapatnam tanks-regarding.</u>

In 1950, Mysore Government wanted Madras Government to permit the restoration of the Tirumani anicut across Chitravathi in Mysore State and for opening a new feeder channel on the ~~xixxx~~ right side of the anicut. The permission of the Madras

Government was sought for with reference to clause II of the 1892 Agreement as Chitravti was one of the rivers included in the Schedule of the above Agreement, according to which the consent of Madras(now Andhra Pradesh) was required before Mysore could undertake the restoration of old works or construction of new works. Madras Government wanted the particulars of discharges in the river and since the data was not available, it was suggested by Andhra Pradesh to conduct joint gaugings in the river to study the availability of supplies at Tirumani Anicut. Subsequenly in the Inter-state Conference held in June 1959, between Chief Engineers of Mysore and Andhra pradesh it was decided thatjoint gaugings should be taken up. These joint gaugings were suggested in the interest of the works taken up for which Mysore wanted permission of Madras and to assess the water in the river and to know how much water could be used by Mysore without detriment to lower riparian rights. The Government of Mysore have not so far come forward for conducting the joint gaugings.

Subsequently it was learnt that the Tirumani Anicut on Chitravathi was improved by the Government of Mysore resulting in the increased withdrawal capacity of of the head sluices feeding the left side channel and that the construction of the right canal ~~was also contemplated~~ to feed number of tanks was also contemplated. As a result of these improvements to the Tirumani anicut in Mysore, the supplies into the Bukkapatnam Tank situated in Anantapur District of this State are not adequate and the full registered ayacut under the tank could not be irrigated. The Bukkapatnam Tank across Chitravati river situnted down stream of Tirumani Anicut is one of the oldest tanks constructed during the Vijayanagar period. There has been difficiency of supplies into the tank, and it is therefore necessary to stop unauthorised abstractions upstream by Mysore in the interest of lower riparian rights in Andhra Pradesh, in pursuance of the 1892 Agreement by which the present Mysore and Andhra Pradesh Government's are solemnly bound.

The point for decision is that Mysore is to agree to conduct joint gaugings as was decided in the Inter-State Conference of 1959 and to stop unauthorised abstraction on Chitravati river, and to allow adequate supplies to Bukkapatnak tank in Andhra Pradesh.

9. Tanks in Hindupur Taluk -Lepakshi Village- Investigation of supplies-raising the weir of Nagargeri Tank:

It was noticed in 1957 that the surplus weir of Nagargeri tank of Mysore was raised with the result that some of the tanks of Andhra Pradesh are not getting adequate supplies. So the Chief Engineers of the two States inspected the tank and it was agreed to conduct joint survey and levelling of the tank bed. But neither this joint inspection notes sent by Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh to Chief Engineer, Mysore for signature was returned duly signed nor action was taken to conduct joint surveys by the Mysore Government.

The point for decision is Mysore to agree to conduct joint survey of the Nagargeri tank bed to ensure that the capacity of the tank is not increased.

10. Anantapur District Tammadahalli tank interception of supplies by Mysore- regarding

The Andhra Pradesh Government came to know that the deficiency of supplies to the Tammadhalli tank of Andhra Pradesh is due to unauthorised abstractions by Mysore in the upper reaches of the streams supplying to the Tammdahalli tank by putting up several "kattas" which is prohibited under the 1892 Agreement. After protracted correspondence the Chief Engineers of both the States inspected some 'Kattas' and decided that the rest will

~~1191~~

be inspected in due course. But the joint inspection notes forwarded by the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh was not returned by Chief Engineer, Mysore. When the Government of Mysore were addressed in the matter, they replied that certain points raised by the Chief Engineer, Mysore during the inspection were not included in the joint inspection notes, that there was no necessity for any inspection of 'Kattas' as Mysore has a right for putting up some 'kattas' and that deficit of supplies to the Tammadahalli tank was not due to the existence of "Kattas" but due to diversion of Rayarahalli to another tank in Andhra Pradesh viz., Gowdankera Tank. The Gowdankera tank and Amarapuram tank in Andhra Pradesh have been entitled to water based ~~on~~ custom and usage from time immemorial.

The point for decision is that Mysore to see that the unauthorised "Kattas" are removed at once to ensure regular, adequate and legitimate supplies being drawn by Tammadahalli tank of Andhra Pradesh, and also to agree to joint inspection of the catchment area of the Tammadehalli tanks as already agreed to by the representatives of Mysore ~~at~~ in the Interstate Conference of June,1959.

11. Diversion of waters of Jayamangali river at Rampur anicut to feed Rampur tank:

The Mysore Government in contravention of the 1892 Agreement, constructed an anicut across Jayamangali to feed the Rampur A Tank of Mysore. Any abstraction of supplies from Jayamangali river in Mysore State upstream will adversely affect the irrigation interests in this State especially the Pagiri Tank situated lower down in Anantaur District. So Conferences were held and certain conditions were agreed to by the Mysore so that

the new anicut of Mysore might be retained. One of the conditions agreed to was that daily guagings would be conducted at the anicut and at the Andhra Pradesh- Mysore border. But later the Mysore Government are opposing to conduct gaugings at the above places.

The point for decision is that Mysore Government should agree for conductin the gaugings at suitable places upstream and downstream and at Rampur anicut.

12. Upper Pennar Project of Andhra Pradesh acquisition of submergible land in Mysore territory by Mysore Government:

As there was submersion of Mysore territory under the Upper Pennar Project Reservoir of Andhra Pradesh State, the Mysore Government were requested to acquire lands upto Plus 1763 in Mysore State and this was agreed to by the Mysore Government in the Inter-state Conference held between the Chief Ministers of the two States in June,1959. But now the Mysoe Government State that they will acquire lands only upto Plus 1760 and that they are not in favour of capitalising the loss of land revenue. The contentions of the Mysore Government were replied to in this Government's letter No.2524.I/56-51 dt P.W. dated:15.5.1963.

The point for decision is that Mysore to agree to acquire land upto plus 1763 and also to agree for the capitalisation of loss of land Revenue.

13. Utilisation of Tungabhadra basin-Working tables: of Lakkavalli reservoir-furnishing of by Mysore Government

Under clause 4 of the 1944 Agreement concluded between Mysore and Ex-Madras(Now Andhra Pradesh) for utilisation of Tungabhadra Waters by Mysore above Mallapuram, the Mysore Government agreed among other things to prepare and furnish to the Government of Madras(Now Andhra Pradesh) the data led working tables of the Bhadra River at Lakkavalli.

These working tables are required for preparing the working tables, rules and regulations for the working of the Tungabhadra Dam. In the Conference of Chief Ministers of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh held in 1959, it was decided that rules and regulations for the Tungabhadra Reservoir should be got prepared.

After several years of correspondence for obtaining the working tables of the Lakkavalli Project from Mysore, the Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Projects, Mysore handed over to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Andhra Pradesh, the working tables of Lakkavalli Project situated higher up the Tungabhadra Reservoir. But after examining these working tables furnished by the Chief Engineer, Mysore, it was found that certain additional particulars were necessary for the complete scrutiny of the working tables of Lakkavalli Project for working out the rules and regulations of the Tungabhadra Reservoir. When these additional particulars were asked for, the Government of Mysore replied that it was not necessary for them to furnish all the particulars asked for. They have further stated that the system of working out fresh working tables for Lakkavalli reservoir will have to wait till Mysore has completed all the possible schemes in the basin.

As the Mysore Government are bound to discharge their obligations arising out of the 1944 Agreement, by virtue of the provision contained in Andhra State Act 1953, the Chief Engineer Minister, Andhra Pradesh, addressed a D.O. letter to the Chief Minister, Mysore suggesting that fresh working tables for Lakkavalli project might be got prepared by Mysore taking into account the existing prescriptive rights lower down and furnished to this Government

under clause 4 of the 1944 Agreement. The reply received from Chief Minister, Mysore, in this regard was in reiteration of the previous view taken by Mysore, and it is not helpful.

The point for decision is that Mysore to agree to furnish fresh working tables of the Lokkavalli Project to the Government and to the Tungabhadra Board to enable preparation of rules and regulations on the working of Tungabhadra Dam.

The safety of the Tungabhadra Reservoir is closely ~~connected with the proper study of~~ depend solely on the regulation from the Lokkavalli Reservoir ~~working tables.~~ In other words the inflows into the Tungabhadra Reservoir from the upper regions have to be correctly assessed and estimated so as to enable proper regulation of Tungabhadra Reservoir. Clause (2) of the July 1944 Agreement concluded between the Composite Madras State Government and the Mysore State stipulates that the waters stored in the Lokkavalli Reservoir should be let down the river without diminution within the same year and without maintaining carry over.

Unless the working tables of the Lokkavalli Reservoir are made available to Andhra Pradesh, it will not be possible for the preparation of the Rules & regulations of working Tables of the Tungabhadra Reservoir.

14. Construction of new irrigation schemes on Penner and Jayamangali rivers by Mysore, upstream of Perur dam:

The Andhra Pradesh Government understand that Mysore Government propose to construct the following 4 new schemes across Jayamangali and its tributaries:-

1. A reservoir across Jayamangali river near Kongenapallie village.
2. Restoration of breached tank near Venkatapuram village of Hootapro Taluk.
3. Another Reservoir across Jayamangali river near Irimenidra Village.
4. A new tank across Anthakalenabetha (Vanka) which is a tributary of Jayamangali river.

These schemes adversely affect the lower dam irrigation works in Andhra Pradesh. When addressed, the Government of Mysore have replied that all the four works referred to above were situated well above the limits stipulated in the 1892 Agreement. Simply because the 1892

Agreement did not specifically prohibit Mysore from constructing new schemes across river in a particular reach, Mysore cannot interpret that they can go ahead with new projects at the cost of other already existing Projects lower down which were constructed at huge cost and which stand to contribute substantially to the national prosperity The basic principle for which the 1892 Agreement was negotiated was for imposing a check on indicriminate construction of new works resulting in deficiency of supplies to the existing lower riparian rights in Andhra Pradesh.

The point for decision is that the Mysore Government not to proceed with the Schemes, until the full particulars of these works are made available to Andhra Pradesh and are examined by them.

15:Construction of a dam across Pennar at Nagalamadaka Village by Mysore Government:-

The Mysore Government are constructing a dam across Pennar river at the Nagalamadaka villagein Mysore State causing submersion of 3 villages in Andhra Pradesh and wet lands of another two villages in Andhra Pradesh. This is not only detrimental to the ayacut under the Upper Pennar Project of Andhra Pradesh, but also amounts the deleberate infringement of the provisions of the 1892 Agreement(Vide Clause II of the 1892 Agreement and item No.5 of Schedule 'A' and item Nos.26,27 and 28 of Schedule B of the 1892 Agreement).

It is understood that the Government of Mysore have already undertaken preliminary investigation and are collecting soil and sub-soil details by putting in boring and ~~tunnel~~ trial pits at the Nagalamadaka Damsite.

The Government of Mysore were already requested to furnish the hydraulic particulars and the details of ~~which~~ work so far carried out in respect of this scheme, but they have not furnished these particulars so far.

The site of the dam is situated in the enclave portion of the Mysore State sand-witched in between the two Andhra Pradesh projects sites viz., the Pennar-Kumudavati Project on theupstream and the upper Pennar Project in the Down stream ~~sides~~. As a matter of fact even now there have been no usual supplies at the above sites and this Government have been protesting with Mysore about the unauthorised abstractions of water at several places in their State. When there is shortage of supplies even now for existing schemes lower down in Andhra Pradesh it is not clear how the Government of Mysore are getting ready for the construction of the Nagalamadaka Project for Irrigating huge extent of land requiring 700 Mcft., of water especially when they are prohibited to take up any schemes on Pennar river under the provisions of 1892 Agreement.

The point for decision is that Mysore to abstain from proceeding with the construction of the dam and excavation of canals.

16. Irrigation-Construction of Gayatri reservoir across Swarnamukhi river by Mysore:

In 1954, Andhra Pradesh Government noticed that a reservoir called Gayatri reservoir was under the construction across the river Swarnamukhi, a major tributary to the Hagari river. As this construction is in contravention of the 1892 Agreement, and is detrimental to the Bhairavanitippa Project Reservoir in Andhra Pradesh State the Mysore Government were requested to furnish the particulars of the Gayatri Reservoir alon; with the upstream abstractions above Bhairavanitippa Project so as to examine other irrigation in lower down areas of Andhra Pradesh would be affected. But so far they have not furnished these particulars.

The Government of Mysore have also proposed to construct an anicut across the river Hagari, to be known as Narayanapura anicut. Since the Government of Andhra Pradesh had already constructed a Dam on river Hagari at Bhairavanitippa Project in Anantapur District with the consent of Mysore it was felt necessary to arrive at an agreement between two States in regard to the use of waters of the river Hagari. Accordingly a draft Agreement was prepared which embodies the terms and conditions in regard to the use of the said waters In clause 4 of the Draft Agreement it was agreed that the details of the regulations and daily water reports of quantities drawn in cusecs at Narayanapur be sent by Mysore every day to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Andhra Pradesh and to such other officers as might be specified by Andhra Pradesh Government.

It is reported that the Government of Mysore have not even furnishing the details of regulations and daily water reports of the quantities drawn at Narayanapur anicut inspite of specific provision in the Agreement.

As construction of new Projects by Mysore higher up, resulting the diminution of supply to lower down reaches in Andhra Pradesh will not be in accordance with the 1892 Agreement and it is necessary that the Government of Mysore furnish full particulars to this Government regarding the Gayatri and Narayanapur Projects.

17: Irrigation-Madakasira Taluk-Raising waste weir of Muddalore Tank in Mysore State:

The Mysore Government have raised the crest level of the left flank weir of Muddalore tank from 50.00 to 51.00 in Mysore State causing submersion of the foreshore lands Ravudu village in Andhra Pradesh. So the Andhra Pradesh Government have addressed the Mysore Government to restore the status-quo by ~~cutting down~~ lowering the left flank weir to

its original crest (50.00) or to extend the right flank ~~xxx xxxxxxx~~ suitably so that the combined discharge over the two weirs at varying stages between F.T.L. and M.W.L. may be the same as under old conditions. But so far nothing has been done by the Mysore Government in this respect.

The point for discussion is that Mysore to agree to the suggestion.

18. Irrigation-Major Upper Krishna Project extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur Taluks:

The Upper Krishna Project was conceived and fully investigated by the erstwhile Hyderabad Government as early as 1932 to command and ~~fertilise the irrigation~~ to irrigate the famine affected districts of Raichur, Mahaboobnagar and Gulbarga.

As a result of the States Re-organisation, two talukas of Raichur District were taken out of the District and added to Mahaboobnagar District of Andhra Pradesh while the rest of the District went over to Mysore.

The scheme formulated by the Nizam's Government was to provide irrigation facilities to an area of about 1,80,000 acres in the doab situated in the Gadwal and Alampur Taluks now transferred to Mahaboobnagar District.

Consequent on the reorganisation of the States, the Mysore State has enlarged the scope of the upper Krishna Project to irrigate 17 lakhs acres, and have excluded the Taluks of Alampur and Gadwal in Andhra Pradesh from the benefit of the Project for an area of 1,80,000 acres.

The point for decision is that Mysore to agree to the inclusion of 1,80,000 acres in Alampur and Gadwal Taluks of Andhra Pradesh under the Project.

(Contd Page 16)

*****

Behanan/
1.4.1965.

It may be mentioned in this connection, that Section 108(2) of the S.R.Act lays down that the distribution of benefits such as power, right to receive water under a project benefitting more than one State, should serve the areas which they were originally conceived irrespective of territorial changes brought about by the States Reorganisation and that the benefits contemplated may not be lost by unilateral action on the part of either State.

In view of this, the subject falls under the purview of the States Reorganisation Act.

2

Copy of D.O. letter No. CM 1/195/64 dated 25/26-2-64 from the Chief Minister of Mysore, Bangalore to Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy. Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh.

Sri Sanjiva Reddy had written to me in September 1963 (letter No.DO 5009-1/63 dated 4th September 1963) enclosing a list of subjects for mutual discussion and settlement. He however had not specified the points for decision under each item. As I was busy for one reason or the other, I could not attend to the matter so far. I feel that it will be advantageou if the specific points for decision under each item are listed out. I have also a few subjects for consideration. I am enclosing the list along with the notes on each subject. It will be desirable to have the various subjects discussed at the official level in the first instance. We may meet later. I am very anxious that all the outstanding dues are settled early. As soon as I receive the notes on the items proposed from your end, I will depute the concerned officers to Hyderabad for a discussion with their counter parts. I hope you will agree with this arrangement.

With kind regards.

List of subjects proposed to be discussed with Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh.

1. Rehabilitation in Tungabhadra Project Area.

2. Shortages of supplies to Spring Channels on the River Hagari in District Bellary, Mysore State on construction of Bhairavanathippa Project on the River Hagari in the Upper regions in Andhra Pradesh.

3. Supplying copies of files and other documents in respect of Tungabhadra Project as transferred by the Ex-Madras State to Andhra Pradesh in October 1953.

4. Abolition of the Tungabhadra Board.

5. Apportionment of landed properties of Ex-Hyderabad situated at New Delhi and Bombay.

6. Allotment of Sandal trees - Andhra Pradesh State in 1956 reimbursement of tree tax.

7. Transfer of value of machinery purchased from funds meant for the Tungabhadra Project in Raichur District by the Andhra Pradesh Government under Section 87 of the States Reorganisation Act, 195

8. Rungabhadra Steel Products Ltd.

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3].

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form).

NO. PWD 600/J-S/65- 3.

To

The Secretary to Govt. A.P.
Public works Deptt.
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:— List of Long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore — Discussions at Chief Secretary level Conference — Notes furnished

W/r to your letter no. 5009-12/63-3H dated 26.7.6 on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to request you to furnish a copy of your letter no. 1311-VI/64-3, PW dt 7.8.64 and of the Govt of India, Ministry of Home Affairs letter no. F7/16/62 SR(R) dated 2.6.1964 forwarded with a copy of the proceedings of the meeting held on 7-4-1965 at Hyderabad, as the same are not available in this office.

Yours faithfully,

16.5.65

(B.V. VITTAL MURTHY)
Joint Secretary to Govt.
P.W. & E.D.

WD 012117—GPB—1,00,000—7-3-63

4

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 600/JS/65

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

FROM

Dated, Bangalore, 16-9-1965.

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works & Elec.Department.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh,
PublicWorks Department, HYDERABAD.

Sir,

Sub: List of Long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore - Discussions at our Inter State Conference - Notes furnished.

...

With reference to your letter No.5009-12/63-64 dated 26.7.65 on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to request you to furnish a copy of your letter No.1311-VI/64-3, PW dated 7.8.1964 and of the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs letter No.F7/16/62SR/R/ dated 2.6.1964 together with a copy of the proceedings of the meeting held on 7.4.1965 at Hyderabad, as the same are not readily traceable in this office.

Yours faithfully,

16.9.65

(B.V. VITTAL MURTHY),
Joint Secretary to Government,
P.W.Department.

Issued
Dated 16.9.65

16/9

ghps:16965.

5

From :
Sri P. Gopal Rao, M.A.,,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
P.W.Department.

To
The Secretary to the Govt.of
Mysore.
P.W.Department,
Bangalore(W.)

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
No 1149
1965

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
No 5011
17 OCT 1965
RECEIPT & ISSUE SECTION

Letter No.5009 I2/63-38, dated 23-9-65

Sir,

Sub:- List of long pending cases between A.P. & Mysore - Discussions at Inter - State Conference Regarding.

Ref:- Your Govt.Letter No.PWD 600/JS/65,dt.10-9-65.

I am directed to forward herewith copies of the following letters as desired in the letter cited and to request that an early reply may be sent in the matter.

1. Govt.of A.P.Lr.No.1311 VI/64-3,PW,dt.7-8-65
2. Govt.of India Ministry of Home Affairs Letter No.F.7/16/62 SR/R/dated 2-6-64.
3. Proceedings of the meeting between the Chief Secretaries to Govt.of A.P. and Mysore held at Hyderabad on 7-4-65.

Yours faithfully,

(D. VENKATADRI)
for DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVT..

Submitted
The reference appears to be from Jt.Secy's office. This may be marked to PA to JS

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING HELD AT 11-00 A.M. ON 7-4-1965 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH, HYDERABAD TO DISCUSS CERTAIN OUTSTANDING ISSUES BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENTS OF MYSORE AND ANDHRA PRADESH

P r e s e n t:-

1) Shri K.N. Anantaraman, I.C.S.,	Chief Secretary to Govt., of Andhra Pradesh.
2) Shri K. Balachandran, I.C.S.,	Chief Secretary to Govt. of Mysore.
3) Shri C. Seshagiri Rao, I.A.S.,	Secretary to Govt.of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue Department.
4) Shri H.Sambamurthy, I.A.S.,	Secretary to Govt.of Andhra Pradesh, Food& Agriculture Department.
5) Shri G.A. Narasimha Rao	Additional Secretary to Govt. P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh.
6) Shri K.V. Suryanarayana, I.A.S.,	Deputy Secretary to Govt., of Andhra Pradesh, Finance Department.
7) Shri P. Gopal Rao.	Deputy Secretary to Govt., of Andhra Pradesh, P.W.D.
8) Shri Dr.T.V. Reddy.	Joint Director of Agriculture, Andhra Pradesh.
9) Shri Kabir Khan.	Director, Inter-State, P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh.

ITEM I:- RAJOLIBUNDA DIVERSION SCHEME:- The three alternatives proposed by Andhra Pradesh were discussed as below:--

Alternative(1): Exchange of villages commanded in Karanataka with the villages in Andhra Pradesh:

The Chief Secretary, Mysore, said that the question of exchange of villages was out of question, because, apart from other considerations, the people of the area did not want it.

Alternative(2):- Transfer of control of the RAJOLIBUNDA DIVERSION SCHEME headworks and first 27 miles of canal to the Tungabhadra Board.

The Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, observed that

In the larger interests of food production in the country efficient management of the headworks and regulation of supply of water were necessary and that this objective would be best achieved if the control of the headworks etc., was vested in Andhra Pradesh. There ayacut in Andhra Pradesh he said, was nearly 16 times that in Mysore under this Project and supply of water to 87,000 acres in Andhra Pradesh in the past had been inadequate and untimely. Additional Secretary read out extracts from the tour notes of Shri M.S.Sivaraman, Adviser, Programme Adviser, Planning Commission, recorded by him during his visit to Andhra Pradesh in February 1963, relating to Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme. Shri Sivaraman observed:.-

> "One of the main reasons for the slow progress of utilisation has been the uncertainty about the time of commencement of irrigation, about actual ~~time of commencement of irrigation,~~ but supplies and the likely duration of irrigation. The discharge in the irrigation season should be 770 cusecs when the canal enters Andhra Pradesh but according to the State Engineers the supplies have been unsatisfactory. Insufficient attention to maintenance of the head works and canal in the Mysore area often resulted in breaches and failure of supplies. Some of the specific instances in support are mentioned below as given by the State Engineers. ".

At the request of Chief Secretary, Mysore, it was decided to send a copy of the tour notes of Shri M.S. Sivarman to the Chief Secretary, Mysore.

The Chief Secretary, Mysore, pointed out that if the position was not satisfactory with the headworks under the control of Mysore, the same position would hold good even if its effective control was transferred to Andhra Pradesh. On this ground alone, the Chief Secretary, Mysore said he could not agree to the effective control being transferred to Andhra Pradesh.

Alternative (2):- To place the Headworks and common portion of Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Canal under the control of Tungabhadra Board.

Contd...3

In regard to this alternative, Chief Secretary, Mysore, observed that the Government of Mysore were contemplating the abolition of the T.B.Board and this would be one of the items for discussion in the forthcoming inter-state conference. He was not, therefore, in favour of vesting the control of common portion of Rajolibunda Diversion scheme with the T.B.Board, as the Government of Mysore did not want to add any more work to the Board. He suggested that there could be some official machinery created to ensure proper and timely regulation of water. The Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, observed that the objective should be not to increase the number of agencies. Additional Secretary, P.W.D. observed that the abolition or otherwise of the T.B.Board, which was a statutory body, depended on the outcome of the deliberations a t the Inter-State Conference. If the decision of the Government of Mysore to recommend the ~~deliberations at the Inter-~~ State Conference is the decision of the Government of Mysore to recommend the abolition of the Board was due only to its unsatisfactory working, efforts should be made to remove the present shortcomings rather than abolish the Board, in which case the control of Rajolibunda Diversion scheme Head works and t first 27 miles of the canal might be vested with the Board as the water of Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme, also come from Tungabhadra.

It was agreed that there should be an independent agency, which would appreciate the difficulties of both the States and ensure equitable and timely distribution of waters from the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme. Chief Secretary, Mysore, said that he would place this matter, before the Government of Mysore. Until such a machinery was evolved, it was agreed that regulation, closure etc., of water supply should not be done unilaterally by officers of Mysore Government but only after mutual consultation, as otherwise the agriculture programme would be affected, and ryots put to avoidable hardships. It was also agreed

agreed that adequate publicity should be given to the dates of opening and closing of the canal so that ryots could plan their operations well ahead.

Item II:- Excise - Reimbursement of tree (Sandhi) tax to Mysore State by the Government of Andhra Pradesh

Chief Secretary, Mysore, read out the letter dated 21-st August, 1964, received from the Government of Andhra Pradesh by the Government of Mysore. According to this, the discrepancy in the number of trees was narrowed down to 3,853. Particulars regarding the unreconciled 3,853 trees were awaited from the Government of Mysore. The Chief Secretary, Mysore, therefore, said that payment of dues on account of the agreed number of trees should be made to Mysore. He said that amount reported to be due from the Government of Mysore on account of a sum of Rs.50,000/- taken by that Govt. as advance after 1.11.56 for giving loan to policy holders in that State, should not be linked up with the question of payment due by the Government of Andhra Pradesh on account of the Sandhi trees.

Deputy Secretary (Finance), Andhra Pradesh said that the settlement of this issue depended on several other issues outstanding among the states of Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra and Mysore. It was finally agreed that the total amount due from Government of Mysore should be worked out and that the amount due to the Mysore Government on account of the Sandhi trees, over which there was agreement, should be released, after deducting the amounts due from Government of Mysore on other items. In the meanwhile, the Chief Secretary, Mysore agreed to ascertain and inform the position regarding the 3,853 trees.

Item III.:- Transfer of value of Machinery purchased from funds meant for T.B. Project in Raichur Dist. by Andhra Pradesh:

(1) Bulldozers: It was agreed that the defference

in the book value, minus depreciation as on 1-11-1956, should be transferred to the Government of Mysore.

(2) Vehicles: As the Mysore Government had withdrawn the claims, this was not discussed further.

(3) Scrapers: It was explained by the Additional Secretary, Public Works Department, Andhra Pradesh that all the records were gone into carefully and there was found nothing to show that the scrapers were intended exclusively for the Tungabhadra Project. Basing his contention on the letter of the Director of Agriculture, Andhra Pradesh, Chief Secretary, Mysore argued that they were intended only for T.B. Project. Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh observed that the letter of the Director of Agriculture, Andhra Pradesh, alone could not be the deciding factor, unless it was supported by documentary evidence. If any proof could be given by the Government of Mysore in their favour, Andhra Pradesh would not press its claim. Chief Secretary Mysore, suggested that this item be held over, until the Government of Mysore were able to obtain positive proof.

(4) Machinery purchased from out of the Rs.15.00 lakhs loan taken from the Government of India. Chief Secretary, Mysore observed that the amount of Rs.15 lakhs formed part of the amount of Rs.54 lakhs lent by Government of India for reclamation of land in T.B Project and that Bailolibunda was not a part of T.B. Project and that further, according to him, the liability of the erstwhile State of Hyderabad as on 1-11-56 had been apportioned among the successor States of Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra and Mysore. Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh observed that if the loan had been paid back by the Government of Andhra Pradesh, then the Government of Mysore

could have no claim over this machinery and that even if the loan liability had been apportioned among the successor States on population ratio, the Government of Mysore could claim machinery only to the extent of the liability towards the loan borne by them. Thereupon Chief Secretary, Mysore, observed that the machinery was intended for the T.B. Project, and even if it had been found elsewhere outside the project area on 1-11-56, it should belong to Mysore, as the liability or asset of a project went with the project to the State to which the project had been transferred, and as the cost of the project was paid for by Mysore. .

It was decided that further details should be collected for reaching an agreement on this item and it should also be verified whether the machinery was intended exclusively for the ayacut under T.B. Project, and whether the cost of the project was paid for by the Mysore Government.

//true copy//

[illegible]

Copy of:

No.F7/16/62-SR(R)
Government of India
Ministry of Home Affairs

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

New Delhi-11, the 2 June, 1964
12 Jyisatha, 1886.

Sub: - Apportionment of the value of landed properties of ex-Hyderabad Government situated at New Delhi and Bombay.

..

S i r,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence resting with your letter No.GAD 1 ACM 58, dated the 19th September, 1962, on the above subject and to say that the m-atter has been carefull-y considered in consultation with the Ministry of Law. The Government of India have been advised that the stand taken by the Government of A-ndhra Pradesh is legally correct because Under Section 76(1) (b) of States Reorganisation Act, 1956, Palaces and Gu-est Houses at Bombay and Delhi shall pass to the Govt. of A-ndhra Pradesh as the Principal successor state. The proviso to this section is not applicable in the present case as it empowers the Central Government to issue directions for just and equitable distribution of "any goods or class of goods" only and it is not applicable to the immovable property as defined in Sub-section (3) of Section 76 of the Act. The contention of the Government of Mysore that both "lands" and "goods" are covered by the expression "goods" because only coins, bank notes and currency notes are e-cluded from the expressio-n cannot be sustained in law. In the circumstances, it is not possible to issue any direction for distribution of the immovable property in question under the proviso to section 76(1). It is also not possible to take any action under section 92 of the Act as the period of three years specified therein had expired when the Government of Mysore made a refe-ence to the Central Government. In the Circumstances explained the Government of India, regret their inability to issue any directions to the Government of Andhra Pradesh in the matter. A copy of this letter is being endorsed to the Government of Mahasrashtra and Andhra Pradesh.

Yours faithfully,

s/- K.R.Prabhu
Deputy Secretary to the Government of India.

No.F.7/16/62-SR(R) Dated 2 June, 1964
12 Jyisatha, 1886.

Copy forwarded to:-

1. The Chief Secretary to the Govt.of Maharashtra, Bombay with reference to his letter No.SR/HGH-1057/1101-52-S dated the 28th March, 1963.
2. The Secretary to the Government of A-ndhra Pradesh, Public Works Department, with reference to his D.O.Lr.No.1549/III/63-5, dt. 18th March, 1964.

sd/- K.R.Prabhu
Deputy Secretary to Government of India.

/true copy/

SECTION OFFICER

2/2001

Copy of letter No.1311-U1/64-3, dated the 7th August, 1964, from Shri K, Subba Rao, I.A.S., Secretary to Government, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Department, addressed to the Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1.

Sir,

Sub:- Apportionment of value of landed properties of ex-Hyderabad State situated at New Delhi and Bombay.

Ref:- Letter No.CE.I.195/64, dated 25-2-1964 of Shri S.Nijalingappa, Chief Minister, Govt. of Mysore addressed to Shri K.Brahmananda Reddy, Chief Minister, Govt. of A.P.

With reference to item (5) of the list enclosed to your letter cited, I am directed to invite a reference to the letter No.F7/16/62-SR(R) dated 2-6-1964 of Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs addressed to Government of Mysore and copy sent to this Government etc., and to inform that, in the circumstances stated by the Government of India, this Government consider that no further discussion on the subject is necessary.

Yours faithfully,
Sd/- Chenna Apparao,
for Secretary to Government.

//True Copy//

SECTION OFFICER

VB. 11

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



Letter No.5009-I2/63-39

Shri D. Venkatadri, B.A.,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
BANGALORE.

HYDERABAD, dated 26-10-1965.

Sub:- List of long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore - Discussions at Inter-State Conference - Regarding.

Ref:- 1) This Govt., Lr.No.5009-I2/64-34, dated 26.7.'65.
2) This Govt. Lr.No.5009-I2/63-38, Dt.23.9.1965.

....

I am to invite attention to the references cited and to request that an early reply may be sent in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

(D. VENKATADRI)
Assistant Secretary to Government.

JM/28.10.

Regy. The memorandum has been sent to Jt Secy. on 28-7-65 (D.S.Gupta).

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Letter No.5009-I2/63-42

From

Shri D. Venkatadri, B.A.,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary to Governmentof Mysore,
Public Workd Department,
B A N G A L O R E.

HYDERABAD, dated 14-12-1965.

Sir,

Sub:- List of long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore - Discussions at Inter-State conference - Regarding.

Ref:- This Govt. Lr.No.5009-I2/64-34, dated 26-7-1965.
This Govt. Lr.No.5009-I2/64, dated 23-9-1965 and 26-10-1965.

....

I am directed to invite attention to the reference cited and to request that an early reply may be sent in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

(D. VENKATADRI)
ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

JM/14.12.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

B.

Letter No.5009-I2/63-44

From

Shri D. Venkatadri, B.A.,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
BANGALORE.

HYDERABAD, dated 25-1-1966

Sir,

Sub:- List of long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore - Discussion at Inter-State Conference - Regarding.

Ref:- This Govts. Lr.No.5009-I2/63-34, dated 26-7-1965, 26-10-1965 and 14-12-'65

....

I am directed to invite your attention to the reference cited and to enquire when a reply may be expected.

Yours faithfully,

(D. VENKATADRI)
ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

JM/25.1.66

MYSORE GOVT. SECT.
No. 171.
31 JAN 1966
P.W.D. (RECEIPT & ISSUE SECTION)

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT ..... List of the long Pending cases between Andhrapradesh and Mysore.

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the *196*

Date of issue.....

Signed by .....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

D.O. No. PWD 603/10/Spl. I/65.

To

Dear Sir Balakrishnaji.

I am desired to state that

A number of References received from the Govt. of A.P. are pending since the connected file bearing no. PWD 32 BRD 59 dealing with the outstanding issues between Mysore and A.P. is not readily forthcoming despite thorough search made in the Establishment of the Joint Secretary. I feel the file must have been submitted to the higher authorities or

May I request you kindly to see whether the file is linked to any of the files in your Office and could be made available. I could feel obliged if you could kindly throw light on this and send a line in reply to facilitate further actions of the pris of S.

probably might have been taken in reference to any of the files of your Office.

WD 012116—GPB—4,00,000—7-3-63

To Sri S.K. Balakrishnaji B.E.
B.Sc (Hons) (Engg)
Chief Engineer
B'lore.

DS(H)
Sec
11/2

15.

M.CHANNAPPA., B.E., A.M.I.E.,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrigation).

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, Dated: 17 -2- 1966

D.O.No. PWD 603/ID/Spl.I/65.

Dear Sir,

Sub:- List of the Long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

I am desired to state that a number of references received from the Government of Andhra Pradesh are pending since the connected file bearing No. PWD 32 BRA 59 dealing with the outstanding issues between Mysore and Andhra Pradesh is not readily forthcoming despite thorough search made in the Establishment of the Joint Secretary. I feel the file must have been submitted to the higher authorities or probably might have been taken for reference to any of the files of your office.

I shall be much obliged if you could kindly throw light on this and send a line in reply to facilitate further tracing of the file.

Yours sincerely,

Shri S.G.Balekundry., B.E.,B.Sc.,(Hons) Edin.,
Chief Engineer(General),
BANGALORE.

ISSUED
17/2/66

kjpp16-2-66/2.

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT ..... List of the long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the *196* .

Date of issue.....

Signed by .....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No

No. PWD 603 ED SR. E 65

To The Chief Engineer (R&B)
Bangalore

Sir.

I am directed to refer to this department D.O. letter of even no. dated 17.2.66 on the above subject and to request you to send your reply at an early date.

Yours faithfully,
Sd/-
for Secretary to Government
Special Implementation
P.W.D.

9/2

WD 012116—GPB—4,00,000—7-3-63

9 17

FWD 603/ED/SP1.L/65.

14-3-66

Public Works Department.

The Chief Engineer(General),
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Sub:- List of the Long Pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

I am directed to invite reference to this department D.O. letter of even No. dated 17-2-66 on the above subject and to request you to send your reply at an early date.

Yours faithfully,

(S.K.Mohan)
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

ISSUED
Dated 15/3/66

kjp/11-3-66/2.

11/3.

18.20.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Letter No.5009-I2/63-51

From

Shri D. Venkatadri, B.A.,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

No. 539
26 APR
Spl Section

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
BANGALORE.

HYDERABAD, dated 20-4-1966

Sir,

Sub:- List of long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore - Discussion at Inter-State - Conference - Regarding.

Ref:- This Govt. letter No.5009-I2/63-34, dated 26-7-1965, 26-10-1965, 14-12-1965 and 25-2-1966.

....

I am directed to invite references to the letter cited and to enquire when a reply may be expected.

Yours faithfully,

(D. VENKATADRI)
ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

JM/20.4.66

LIST OF CASES PROPOSED TO BE DISCUSSED WITH CHIEF MINISTER MYSORE.

1.No.	Subject.
1.	Division of Assets and Liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Low Level Canal.
2.	Power benefits from the Munirabad Power House for Andhra.
3.	Extension of irrigation from Left Bank canal to areas in Andhra.
4.	Final sharing of Tungabhadra waters at Mallapuram.
5.	Rajolibunda Headworks Transfer of control of the Head works and the 1st 27 miles of canal to Tungabhadra Board.
6.	Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tunga Bhard Board.
7.	Irrigation - Andhra Pradesh - Repairs to Agali Anicut - Reg.
8.	Irrigation - Mysore State - construction of anicut across Chitravathi river near Tirumani and opening new feeder channel to feed 7 tanks - Deficiency in Bukkapatnam tank of Andhra Pradesh.
9.	Tanks in Hindupur taluk - Lepakshi village - Investigation of supplies - Raising the weir of Nagargeri tank by Mysore.
10.	Inter-State problems - Anantapur Dist. - Tammada Halli tank - Interception of supplies - Reg:
11.	Inter-State waters - Mysore State - Diversion of waters of Jayamangali river at Rampur anicut to feed Rampur tank.
12.	Upper Pennar project of Andhra Pradesh - Acquisition of submergible lands in Mysore territory by Mysore Govt.
13.	Utilisation in Tungabhadra Basin - working tables of Lakkavalli Reservoir - Furnishing of by Mysore Govt.
14.	Inter-state matters - construction of new irrigation schemes ~~in Nagamangala~~ on Pennar and Jayamangalo rivers by Mysore - Reg.
15.	Inter-State problems - construction of a dam across pennar at Nagalamadaka village in Mysore State - Reg.
16.	Irrigation - Anantapur Dist. - Pennar Kumudavati project - Draft final agreement.
17.	Irrigation - construction of Gayatri Reservoir across Swarnamukhi river by Mysore which affects supplies to Bhairvanitippa project in Andhra Pradesh.
18.	Irrigation - Anantapur Dist. - Medakasira taluk - Raising of water weir of Muddalore tank in Mysore State.
19.	Irrigation - Major - Upper Krishna project - Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur Taluks.
20.	Irrigation - Major - Malaprabha project to restrict the scope as originally contemplated.
21.	Irrigation - Major - Ghataprabha Project - Particulars Regarding the

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No [redacted]PJD.6 ERA.66 — Mysore Government Secretariat, Vidhana Soudha,

Dated, Bangalore,.....19th May 1966.

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department.

To The Chief Engineer,(Irrigation Projects)Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer,(General),Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer(Investigation of Projects)B'lore.

Sir,

Sub: Long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

I am directed to state that a meeting of the Two Chief Ministers of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore is being proposed to be held early in July 1966. The subjects proposed to be discussed are as per list enclosed. Detailed notes on each of the subjects pertaining to you may please be furnished in TRIPLICATE along with an index map.

Yours faithfully,

(S.K.Mohan)
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

ss/4cs/18.5.66.

Issued
19/5/66

LIST OF CASES PROPOSED TO BE DISCUSSED WITH CHIEF MINISTER MYSORE.

Sl.Nos. Subjects.

1. Division of Assets and Liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Low Level Canal.

2. Power benefits from the Munirabad Power House for Andhra.

3. Extension of Irrigation from Left Bank canal to areas in Andhra.

4 Final sharing of Tungabhadra waters at Mallapuram.

5. Rajolibunda Headworks transfer of control of the Head works and the last 27 miles of canal to Tungabhadra Board.

6. Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tungabhadra Board.

7. Irrigation - Andhra Pradesh - Repairs to Agali Anicut - Reg.

8. Irrigation - Mysore State - construction of anicut across chitravathi river near Tirumani and opening new feeder channel to feed 7 tanks - Deficiency in Bukkapatnam tank of Andhra Pradesh.

9. Tanks in Hindupur taluk - Lepakshi village - Investigation of supplies - Raising the weir of Nagargeri tank by Mysore.

10. Inter State problems - Anantapur Dist - Tammada Halli tank - Interception of supplies - Reg:

11. Inter - State Waters - Mysore State - Diversion of waters of Jayamangali river at Ramapur anicut to feed Rampur tank.

12. Upper Pennar project of Andhra Pradesh-Acquisition of submergible land in Mysore territory by Mysore Govt.

13. Utilisation in Tungabhadra Basin - working tables of Lakkavalli Reservoir - furnishing of by Mysore Govt.

14. Inter-state matters - construction of new irrigation schemes on Ponnar and Jayamangalo rivers by Mysore - Reg.

15. Inter-State problems - construction of a dam across pennar at Nagalamadaka village in Mysore State - Reg.

16. Irrigation - Anantapur Dist. - Pennar Kumudavati Project - Draft final agreement.

17. Irrigation construction of Gayatri Reservoir across Swarnamukhi river by Mysore which effects supplies to Bhairvanitippa project in Andhra Pradesh.

18. Irrigation - Anantapura Dist. - Hodakasira taluk - Raising of water weir of Muddaloro tank in Mysore State.

19. Irrigation - Major - Upper Krishna Project - Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur taluks.

20. Irrigation - Major - Malaprabha project to restrict the scope as originally contemplated.

21. Irrigation - Major - Ghataprabha Project -particulars regarding the

C.F. No. 45] GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF

No. UTB/2/TA1/
Encls.

THE CHIEF ENGINEER, P.W.D.,
Investigation of Projects,
Ananda Rao Circle, Bangalore-9.

To

Date...The 27. MAY. 1966.

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works and Electricity Department,
"Vidhana Soudha", Bangalore - 1.

Sir,

Sub: Long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

Page 21.cf.

Ref: Government letter No. PWD 6 BRA 66 dated 19th May 1966.

--



Notes on items No. 4, 11, 15, 20, and 21 pertaining to this zone along with an index map are enclosed herewith for taking further action.

Yours faithfully,

CHIEF ENGINEER, PWD,
Investigation of Projects,
Bangalore.

L.V/25.5.

A NOTE ON FINAL SHARING OF TUNGABHADRA WATERS AT MALLAPURAM

The 1944 Agreement on the Bhadra Reservoir Project between Mysore and Madras has been drawn up based on an agreed dependable flow of 3,40,000 M.Cft. in Tungabhadra River at Mallapuram. According to the Report of the Krishna Godavari Commission, the long term average annual flow at Tungabhadra Dam is estimated at 433 T.M.Cft. This is arrived at on the basis of average annual flow of 498.1 T.M.CFT for the period 1950-51 to 1960-61 after applving correction factor of 13% for getting long-term average.

2. It has been made clear in this office letter No. UTB/2/TA-1/2211 dated 10th March 1966 that according to the 1944 Agreement on the waters of Bhadra River, it is not possible to draw any water Upstream of Mallapuram for new Projects without infringing the conditions of the existing agreement. It has also been suggested therein that the surplus of (433-340) i.e., 93 T.M.CFT of water should be the subject of a fresh agreement for utilisation in Mysore. In drawing up the fresh agreement Mysore should accept 256 T.M.CFT at Mallapuram (as per the agreement of 1944 on waters of Bhadra River) as irrevocable even though actual utilisation may be less. Mysore should claim all the available surplus above Mallapuram just as Andhra may claim all surplus below Mallapuram, subject to the existing commitments under the agreement.

The Upper Tungabhadra Valley Development Scheme consists of the following stages:-

Stage I envisages construction of an anicut across T.B. river near Bairanpad about 8 miles Upstream of Harihar Town, to irrigate about 1,35,000 Acres.

...2)

Stage II consists of construction of a reservoir across Malati River, a tributary to Tunga River, about 5 miles Upstream of Thirthahalla Town, to feed the proposed high level canal taking off from the existing Tunga Anicut, for irrigating about 2.58 lakh acres.

The utilisation under the Upper Tungabhadra Valley Development Scheme will be about 89 T.M.CFT which is the surplus available at Mallapuram T.B. Site as indicated vide para 2.

CHIEF ENGINEER, PWD,
Investigation of Projects.

LV/25.5.

A BRIEF NOTE ON INTER-STATE WATERS MYSORE STATE DIVERSION OF WATERS OF JAYAMANGALI RIVER AT RAMAPUR ANICUT TO FEED RAMPUR TANK.

In the 1944 Agreement, there is a clause regarding gauges to be fixed, regulation particulars etc., to be settled jointly between the Executive Engineer, Tumkur Division, and the Executive Engineer, Madanapalle Division, to see if even during non-surplussing days between the Ist June and 31st December any supply could be given to the Rampur Tank without affecting prejudicially the irrigation below the Rampur anicut. A copy of the agreement is enclosed. But it is seen that no agreement has so far been reached regarding the site for gauging . However as this is regarding minor work, it is suggested that the Chief Engineer, (General) may be addressed in the matter.

27/5

CHIEF ENGINEER, PWD,
Investigation of Projects.

~~27/5~~

LV.

NOTE ON INTER-STATE PROBLEMS CONSTRUCTION OF A DAM ACROSS PENNAR AT NAGALAMADIKE VILLAGE IN MYSORE STATE REGARDING (UTTARAPINAKINI RESERVOIR PROJECT PAVAGACA TALUK, TUMKUR DISTRICT)

Uttarapinakini or North Pennar river rises in Nandi Hills and flows for a length of 60 miles in Kolar District and enters Andhra province and re-enters Mysore State. It then flows for a length of about 9 miles in Pavagada Taluk of Tumkur District and finally enters Andhra.

Uttarapinakini is a scheduled river and included in the schedule 'A' and 'B' 1892 agreement between Mysore and Madras Governments. As per the clauses of the agreement, Mysore is required to obtain the consent of Madras (Now Andhra) State for any new irrigation works on the stream.

Government in their letter No. PWD 47 MMI 60 dated 7-7-1962 directed the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects to investigate for an anicut just Upstream of Nagalamadike village with its crest at R.L. 1815 to provide irrigation facilities.

The result of such an investigation is the Uttarapinakini Project in Pavagada Taluk of Tumkur District.

The Project envisages construction of an Earthen dam with central masonry spillway across the Uttarapinakini river near Nagalamadike village to impound 514 M.C.FT (Gross) of water. Two canals take off from the Reservoir and irrigate 3600 acres. The left Bank Canal is 11½ miles in length and irrigates 3200 acres. The Right Bank Canal is 4 Miles 7 Furlongs in length and irrigates 400 acres. The crop pattern is semi-dry for the entire area. In addition to this, the Project also proposes

...2)

to irrigate 2000 acres in Rabi Season (IInd Crop). The utilisation is of the order of 540 M.CFT.

The submersion under this Project is 1375 Acres of which 989 acres being irrigated area. The submersion in Andhra will be 139 acres out of which 54 acres is the cultivable area.

The Project is estimated to cost Rs.80.00 lakhs and proposed for inclusion in the IVth Five Year Plan.

The Report on the Project has been forwarded to Government in this office letter No. URP/TAP-3/ 5745 dated 4th March 1965.

Government had instructed to place this Project before the Technical Sub-Committee of the M.I.P.C. Board. Accordingly notes regarding the Project have been forwarded to the Secretary of Technical Sub-Committee of M.I.P.C. Board in July 1965. The Project is still to be discussed by the Technical Sub-Committee.

27/5

CHIEF ENGINEER, PWD,
INVESTIGATION OF PROJECTS.

27/5

LV/25.5.

A NOTE ON IRRIGATION - MAJOR - MALAPRABHA PROJECT TO RESTRICT THE SCOPE AS ORIGINALLY CONTEMPLATED

The Malaprabha Project envisages construction of a storage dam across the Malaprabha river at the famous placock-gorge site near Manoli in Saundatti Taluk of Belgaum District. The safe yield at the site is estimated at 47 T.M.CFT. It was proposed to utilise about 31 T.M.CFT for irrigating 3 lakh acres on the right bank. But it is reported that the utilisation has been pruned down to 22 T.M. CFT by the Central Water and Power Commission and the ~~State~~ Technical Advisory Committee in turn have recommended an utilisation figure of 25 T.M.CFT. However as the Chief - Engineer, Irrigation Projects is in-charge of this Project he may be addressed for further details required if any.

So far as this zone is concerned, the following schemes are under investigation in the Malaprabha Valley:

(a) Opening of Left Bank Canal:-

This Project envisages taking off a canal from the Malaprabha Reservoir (under construction) on the left bank to irrigate about 68500 acres in the Belgaum District utilising about 9 T.M.CFT of water. The Project is under preliminary investigation.

(b) Upper Malaprabha Project:-

This Project envisages construction of a ~~edam~~ across the Malaprabha river near Bhutewadi and taking off canals on both the banks to irrigate about 55,000 acres in the Khanapur and the Bailhongal Talukas of the Belgaum Dist., utilising about 10 T.M.CFT of water. The Project is under preliminary investigation.

(c) Sattinala Project:-

This Project envisages construction of a Dam across Sattinala (a tributary of Malaprabha river) on right side near Doddebail village in Khanapur Taluk, Belgaum District and

...2)

taking-off Canals on both the banks to irrigate about 5900 acres in the Belgaum District utilising about 0.6 T.M.CFT of water. Investigations have been completed.

(d) Santibastwad Tank Project:-

This Project envisages construction of a reservoir across the Mogitranala, a tributary of Malaprabha river on left side near Santibastawad village in the Belgaum Taluk to irrigate about 3200 acres in the Belgaum District, utilising about 0.63 T.M.CFT of water. The Project is under investigation.

(e) Janakatti Tank Project:-

This Project envisages the construction of a Reservoir across Kumbarahalla, a tributary of the Malaprabha river (joining Malaprabha on left side), near Bidar-Bavi village in Khanapur Taluk to irrigate about 6000 acres in Belgaum District utilising about 1.12 T.M.CFT of water. The Project is under investigation.

--

CHIEF ENGINEER, PWD,
Investigation of Projects.

LV/25.5.

A NOTE ON IRRIGATION-MAJOR-GHATAPRABHA PROJECT PARTICULARS REGARDING THE -

The Ghataprabha Project known as the Ghataprabha Valley Development Scheme consists of the following stages:

Stage I:- Constructing a Canal on the Left Bank 44 miles in length, taking off from the existing Dhupdal weir to irrigate about 1,20,000 acres in the Ghataprabha and the Krishna valleys. The work has been completed.

Stage II (a):- Extension of the Left Bank Canal from mile No. 45 to 71 to irrigate 2.98 lakh acres (including area irrigated under Stage I). The work is in progress.

(b) Construction of a Storage Dam at Hidkal about 12 miles Upstream of the existing weir at Dhupdal to feed the Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal. The utilisation under Stage I and II will be about 40.4 T.M.CFT. The work is in progress.

STAGE III:- (a) Construction of Ghataprabha Right Bank Canal, about 123 miles in length, taking off from the storage Dam at Hidkal to irrigate about 3 lakh acres in the Hukkeri, Gokak, Parasgad, Ramdurg, Taluks of Belgaum Districts and Mudhol, Bagalkot, Hungund, Badami Taluks of Bijapur District.

(b) Raising the storage Dam at Hidkal to store additional quantity of water to feed the Ghataprabha Right Bank Canal. The utilisation under this stage will be about 40.00 T.M.CFT and the total utilisation under the scheme will be 80.40 T.M.CFT.

The dependable yield at Dhupdal ~~wie~~ weir is estimated at 121 T.M.CFT. After deducting proportionate

P.T.O.

yield which cannot be utilised without a Reservoir on Hiranyakeshi river the net dependable yield at 75% works out to 103 T.M.CFT (including the yield from Markandeya and Bellary Nalla ~~Schemes~~).

After satisfying the existing commitments and requirements of proposed Projects, it is possible to utilise about 5 T.M.CFT of water by taking off High level canal on the Left Bank of Hidkal Dam which forms Stage IV. This aspect is being examined now.

Stages I and II of the Project are being dealt with by the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects. Hence further details required, if any may please be obtained from the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects.

..

CHIEF ENGINEER, PWD,
Investigation of Projects, B'lore.

L.V/25.5.

RAMPUR ANICUT ACROSS JAYAMANGALI.

Agreement between the Chief Engineer, for Irrigation Madras and the Chief Engineer of Mysore regarding the division of the waters of the Jayamangali river at the Rampur Anicut in Mysore State (1944).

--

Under Clause 8 of the 1933 Agreement relating to the Rampur Anicut across the Jayamangali River in Mysore State, it has been agreed between the Governments of Mysore and Madras " to allow the anicut to remain as constructed on the understanding that the size and the number of vents in it and the head sluice of the Rampur Channel will be altered by Mysore, if this is found necessary, after an examination of the figures of irrigation under the anicut and lower down the river both in Mysore and British limits which figures should be furnished as early as possible by either party to the other, the interests of the direct irrigation under the channel from the anicut being adequately safeguarded."

2. In pursuance of that agreement, the figures so gathered are as under:

1. Direct ayacut served by the Sompur Spring channel supply. .. 211 acres.

2. Ayacut under the Rampur Tank .. 304 ,,

N.B:- Both the above draw supply from the same head sluice from above the Rampur anicut across the Jayamangali.

3. Mysore ayacut under the Jayamangali below the Rampur anicut. * 3,907 ,,

4. Madras ayacut under the Jayamangali between the Mysore-Madras frontier and the infall of the Jayamangali into the Penner. * 4,379 ,,

In arriving at the ayacut figures above, the ayacuts under wells have been omitted.

3. As suggested at the Conference between the Fourth Adviser to H.E. the Governor of Madras and the Dewan of Mysore at Bangalore on 24th January 1944, the Rampur anicut and its head works were jointly inspected by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, Madras, and the Chief Engineer of Mysore, on 3rd February 1944. There are three scouring vents, each of about 3 feet X 2 feet in the anicut with the average cill at plus 97.40, the anicut crest being plus 100.00. The head sluice is of 3 vents of 3 feet x 4 feet with cill at 97.93 with an under-vent of 2 feet x 1 foot with cill at plus 95.70.

4. A Conference was then held, the following Officers being present:

MYSORE.

(1) L.A.H.Winkler Esq., A.C.G.I.. M.I.E.(Ind.)J.P., Chief Engineer, Mysore and

(2) Sri. Krishna Ayyangar, Executive Engineer, Tumkur Division, Mysore Public Works Department.

P.T.O.

MADRAS:

(1) Sri. Rao Bahadur N.GOVINDARAJA AYYANGAR, B.A., B.E., Chief Engineer, for Irrigation, Madras.

(2) H.G.Jackson, Esq., B.Sc., A.M.I.C.E., Superintending Engineer, Ananthapur Circle, and

(3) Sri. P.S. Nataraja Ayyar, B.E., Executive Engineer, Madanapale Division.

The best method of implementing the 1933 agreement as extracted above was discussed and the following have been agreed to between the two Chief Engineers, without prejudice and subject to ratification by the respective Governments.

(a) (1) the direct irrigation under the Sompur Channel will have the first preference;

(2) the Mysore ayacut downstream of the Rampur anicut will have the second preference;

(3) the Madras ayacut under the Jayamangali will have the third preference; and

(4) the fourth preference will be for the ayacut under the Rampur tank.

(b) The scouring sluice in the anicut will be remodelled by Mysore to have the same vent-way as it has now, viz., 18 square feet with cill level as existing; the revised vents may be 2 of 4½ feet x 2 feet.

(c) The Sompur Channel shall be free to draw the requirenen for its 211 acres throughout the year through the under-vent or head sluice vents as may be considered necessary,

(d) During the period Ist June to 31st December, the scouring sluices should be kept open except as provided for in clause (g) below.

(e) So long as the anicut does not surplus with the under-sluices fully opened, no supply is to be drawn for the Rampur tank except under clause (h) below.

(f) When the anicut surpluses, the combined channel in rear of the Rampur head sluice can draw up to its maximum carrying capacity (estimated at 40 cusecs) for filling up the Rampur tank and for the direct ayacut.

(g) If the Executive Engineer, Mysore, decides that it is unsafe to keep the undersluices open when high flood surpluses over the anicut, it is left to his discretion to keep the under-sluices closed, subject to the condition that the Rampur tank ayacut can only draw its supply if the combined discharge below the anicut, i.e., through the under-sluices and over the anicut exceeds the discharge that will obtain if the undersluices are kept fully opened and the anicut is just about to surplus (this discharge is estimated at about 130 cusecs).

..3)

(h) Till the gaugings referred to in para (j) below are completed, examined and a settlement arrived at between the Chief Engineers of Mysore and Madras, the Executive Engineer, Tumkur Division, can keep the scouring vents partially or fully closed between the Ist June and 31st December in order to supply the Rampur tank when condition (3) above obtains, if in his opinion irrigation under the Rampur Tank will suffer otherwise and in consultation by letter with the Executive Engineer, Madanapalle Division. The Executive-Engineer, Madanapalle Division, will refuse consent if in his opinion the seasonal conditions are such that the lower irrigation will suffer by giving the consent.

(i) The regulation of the scouring sluice and head sluice and under-sluices will be under a reasonable P.W.D. Subordinate of the Mysore P.W.D. working directly under the orders of the Executive Engineer, Tumkur Division, and a daily water report of the form asmight be agreed to between the two Chief - Engineers furnished to them.

(j) With a view to see if even during non-surplussing days between the Ist June and 31st December any supply can be given to the Rampur tank without affecting prejudicially the irrigation below the Rampur anicut, daily gauge readings at the anicut and the frontier will be taken during that part of the year for a period of three years at the cost of the respective Governments. Details regarding gauges to be fixed, regulation particulars, etc., will be settled jointly between the Executive-Engineer Tumkur Division, and the Executive Engineer, Madanapalle Division, and the daily water reports of each site forwarded to the Chief Engineers.

(SD) N. GOVINDARAJAN,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation,
Madras.

Chief Engineer, Mysore.

STATEMENT

Item	Mysore A.Gs.	Madras A.Gs.
(a) Under channels with their heads in the river itself having direct ayacuts depending on the river flow throughout the year.	1,090 10	349 0
(b) Under channels and tanks jointly irrigating without separate figures for each ..	1,410 31	237 0
(c) Under tanks supplied by feeders from the river with flood waters.	1,191 24	3793 0
(d) Under channels benefitted by flood supplies in the river.	214 15	..
Total:	3,907 00	4379 0

LV/26.5

/COPY/

for Chief [redacted]
Investi[redacted]

Copy of D.O.Letter No.5009-12/63-47 dated 25-2-1966 from Sri. K.N.Anantharaman, I.C.S., Chief Secretary, Hyderabad, addressed to Shri Balachandran, I.C.S., Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sub:- Nineth Meeting of the Southern Zonal Council - Implementation of the decision shortage of supplies to spring channels on the river Hagari in Bellary District, Mysore State.

Ref:- Your D.O.Lr.No.Ch.S.480/65 dated 30-12-1965.

*Please refer to your *D.O.* letter cited.*

I would invite attention in this connection to the D.O.Letter No.CM/I/195/64 dated the 25th February, 1964 from the Chief Minister of Mysore addressed to Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh with which a list of 8 items was proposed for discussion at official level between the officers of both the Governments at Hyderabad before they could be discussed at Chief Ministers' level. One of these 8 items relates to the subject referred to in your D.O.Letter cited. In reply to above D.O.Letter No.5009-12/64-34, P.W., dated the 26th July, 1965 furnished notes on 18 items which had been propoed earlier by this Government for discussion with a request to suggest a suitable date for convening the meeting of the concerned officers of both the Governments at Hyderabad. It was also indicated therein that all the items proposed by the Government of Mysore would also be discussed at the proposed meeting.

** of the Chief Minister of Mysore this Govt in their letter No.*

3. Out of the 8 items suggested by your Government, final reply has been sent to Government of Mysore in respect of the subject relating to apportionment of landed properties of ex-Hyderabad situated at New Delhi and Bombay in this Government letter No.1311-UI/64-3 dated the 7th August, 1964.

4. During the last meeting held between us on 7-4-1965 at Hyderabad two subjects from Mysore list, and one subject from our list, which were non-technical in nature were discussed. Thus there still remain 17 items from our side and five items from your side to be discussed.

5. In as much as notes on all the items porposed by both the Government have already been exhhanged, I would suggest that we may have discussions on all the remaining items proposed bu both the Governments instead of the one item suggested above.

With regards,

Sd/-(K.N.ANANTARAMAN).

/COPY/.

krs.7/6.

Copy of D.O.Letter No.5009-12/63-1 dated 25-2-1966 from Sri.K.N. Anantharaman, Chief Secretary to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, Addressed to Sri.K.Balachandran, Chief Secretary to Govt. of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sub:- Nineth Meeting of the Southern Zonal Council - Implementation of the decision shortage of supplies to spring channels on the river Hagari in Bellary District, Mysore State.

Ref:- Your D.O.No.Ch.S.480/65 dated 30-12-1965.

Please refer to your D.O.Letter cited.

2. I would invite attention in this connection to the D.O.Letter No. CM/I/195/64 dated the 25th Febraury, 1964 from the Chief Minister, of Mysore addressed to Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh with which a list of 8 items was proposed for discussion at official level between the Officers of both the Governments at Hyderabad before they could be discussed at Chief Ministers' level. One ofthese 8 items relates to the subject referred to in your D.O.letter cited. In reply to the above D.O.letter of the Chief Minister of Mysore, this Government in their letter No.5009/12/63-64, P.W., dated the 26th July,1965 furnished noted on the 18 items which had been proposed earlier by this Government for discussion with a request to suggest a suitable date for convening the meeting of the concerned Officers of both the Governments at Hyderabad. It was also indicated therein thatall the items proposed of the Government of Mysore would also be discussed at the proposed meeting

3. Out of the 8 items suggested by your Government, final reply has been sent to Government of Mysore in respect of the subject relating to apportionment of landed properties of ex-Hyderabad situated at New Delhi and Bombay in this Government letter No.1311-U1/64-3 dated the 7th August, 1964.

4. During the last meeting held between us on 7-4-1965 at Hyderabad two subjects from Mysore list, and one subject from our list, which were non-technical in nature were discussed. Thus there still remain 17 items from our side and five items from your side to be discussed.

5. In as much as notes on all the items proposed by both the Governments have already been exchanged, I would suggest that we may have discussions on all the remaining items proposed by both the Governments instead of the one item suggested above.

With regards,

Sd/-(K.N.ANATHARAMAN).

/COPY/

krs.7/6.

Copy of D.O.No.Ch.P.143/66 dated 13-5-1966 from Sri K.Balachandran, to Sri K.N.Anantharaman, Chief Secretary to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

Sub:- Nineth meeting of the Southern zonal Council - Implementation of the decision-shortage of supplies to spring channels on the river Hagari in Bellary District, Mysore State.

Kindly refer to your D.O.letter No.5009-12/63-47 dated 25th February 1966. As you know, the seminar on Agricultural and Industrial Production organised by the Indian Institute of Public Administration, Andhra Pradesh and Mysore branches will be held in Bangaloreon the 8th, 9th and 10th of July. As you will be coming for that Seminar, probably it would be better if we fix the date for our discussions somewhere near the above dates. I would therefore suggest that we may meet on the 6th and 7th of July for discussing all the remaining items proposed by both the Governments. I trust that these dates will suit you.

Sd/-(K.BALACHANDRAN).

/COPY/.

krs.7/6.

(40

Subject:- Long pending Cases between Andhra pradesh and Mysore.

To

1) The Chief Engineer I.P. Block
2) The Chief Engineer (M) Block

Sir;

I am directed to invite reference to ~~the~~ Dept. letter no. DP.D 6 BR 866 dt. 18.5.866 on the above subject and to say that the Dept. Heads may please be expedited

Y.D

Yours obedt
Servant
(Dept)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

41

No. PWD 6 BRA 66.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore,. 11th June, ...196 6.

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department.

To

The Chief Engineer, IP, Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer (General), Bangalore.

Sir,

Sub:-Long pending cases between
Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

I am directed to invite reference to this Department letter No.PWD 6 BRA 66 dt.19-5-66 on the above subject and to request that reply thereto may please be expedited.

Yours faithfully,

(S.K.Mohan)
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (T).

ncj/d.14666.

Issued
dated 14/6/66

Office of the
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
Irrigation Projects in Mysore,
Bangalore.

42

Government of Mysore

No.TBP/MISC/ 630-33 /TA1:
Encl.

Dated:- 21.6-1966

To

The Secretary to Govt.of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
'VidhanaSoudha' BANGALORE-1.

Sir,

Sub:-Long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh & Mysore.

Ref:-Govt.letter No.PWD 6 BRA 66 dated 19-5-1964.

...

Subjects at Sl.Nos. (1), (3), (5), (13) and (19) to(21) only in the list of cases enclosed to the Government letter under reference pertain to this zone. Detailed notes on the above subjects are being prepared and will be furnished shortly.

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
No. 692
22 JUN 1968
RECEIPT & ISSUE SECTION

Yours faithfully,

for Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects.

Uk/-20-6.

D.O.

Copy of/letter No.5009/P.W.(I-2)/63-53 dated 18th June 1966 from Shri K.N. Anantaraman, Chief Secretary, Hyderabad addressed to Shri K. Balachandran, Chief Secretary to Government, Bangalore.

Sub:- Discussion on certain outstanding issues between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore - Regarding

Ref:- Your D.O.letter No.Ch.S.143/66 dated 13th May 1966.

Kindly refer to your D.O.letter cited.

I am afraid that the dates suggested for the proposed discussions at Mysore will not suit me. I suggest that we may have them at Hyderabad on dates convenient to you.

I would therefore request you kindly to suggest convenient dates for holding the conference at Hyderabad.

With kind regards,

(Law Jan Jii M.S.A.D.13
PZC63 J.C.A.D. political)

D.O.

Copy of/letter No.5009/P.W.(I-2)/62-53 dated 18th June 1966 from Shri K.N. Anantaraman, Chief Secretary, Hyderabad addressed to Shri K. Balachandran, Chief Secretary to Government, Bangalore.

Sub:- Discussion on certain outstanding issues between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore - Regarding

Ref:- Your D.O.letter No.Ch.S.143/66 dated 13th May 1966.

Kindly refer to your D.O.letter cited.

I am afraid that the dates suggested for the proposed discussions at Mysore will not suit me. I suggest that we may have them at Hyderabad on dates convenient to you.

I would therefore request you kindly to suggest convenient dates for holding the conference at Hyderabad.

With kind regards,

No. PWD. 6 BRA 66.. 61

Submitted:

The main file PWD 6 BRA66 has been submitted to Joint Secretary on 27.6.66 if approved the receipt No. 808 Dt. 11-7-66 will be submitted after receipt of the main file.

11/7/66.

11/7

Government of Mysore
(Public Works Department)

No.TBP/MISC/ 745 /TA1

Office of the Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects in Mysore,
Park House,
Bangalore-1, Dated: 2 -7-1966.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Public Works Department,
Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1.

Sir,

Sub: Long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

Ref: Government Letter No.PWD 6 BRA 66 dated 19.5.1966.

MYSORE GOVT. SEC. No. 208 11 JUL 1966 P.W.D. (RECEIPT)

The following are the notes on the subjects pertaining to this zone:-

1. Divisionof assets and liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal:

In the memorandum forwarded to Government of India, vide Government's Letter No.PWD 32 BRA 59 dated 8-9-1960, Government of Mysore have taken the stand that the cost should be shared on cusec mile basis. No change in the stand already taken is warranted.

3. Extension of Irrigation from Left Bank Canal to areas in Andhra:

No change is warranted in the stand already taken by the Government of Mysore in their memorandum No.PWD 32 BRA 59 dated 8.9.1960.

4. Final sharing of Tungabhadra waters at Mallapuram

No change is warranted in the stand already taken by the Government of Mysore in their memorandum No.PWD 32 BRA 59 dated 8.9.60. However, Chief Engineer, Investigation of Projects, who is dealing with the sharing of inter-state river waters may please be addressed in the matter.

5. Rajolibunda Head Works - Transfer of Control of the Head works and the Ist 27 miles of Canal to T.B.Board:

There is no case for transferring the control of Head

works and first 27 miles of Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme either to the Tungabhadra Board or to Andhra Pradesh. In this connection please refer this office No.437/P/2 dated 25.6.63 and No.W/34-A/3044-45/TA1 dated 11-11-1963.

13. Utilisation in Tungabhadra Basin - working tables of Lakkavalli Reservoir - furnishing of - by Mysore Government:

There is no necessity of furnishing any working tables of Lakkavalli Reservoir to Andhra Pradesh as already made out in Government Letter No.PWD 6505 (B)/60 dated 13-10-1960 addressed to the Government of India.

19. Irrigation - Major - Upperkrishna Project - Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur taluks:

The Government of Mysore have already stated that they are not aware of any such proposals conceived by the then Government of Hyderabad vide Government letter No.PWD 24 BRA 59 dated 13-10-1959 addressed to Andhra Pradesh Government.

The Upperkrishna Project as finalised by the Government of Mysore does not contemplates extension of irrigation to any areas in Andhra Pradesh.

In the comments of Central Water and Power Commission on Upperkrishna Project, it is suggested by the Central Water and Power Commission that the Right Bank Canal regulator from Siddapur dam should be designed for a greater capacity for any adjustment at a later date. This suggestion by the Central Water and Power Commisaion is highly unwarranted. The tentative allocation of 400 T.M.Cft., 600 T.M.Cft., and 800 T.M Cft. made by Government of India to Maharashtra, Mysore and Andhra Pradesh respectively is for utilising that share in their respective states. As such the question of extending benefits from Upperkrishna Project to Andhra Pradesh area does not arise.

20. Irrigation - Major - Malaprabha Project to restrict the scope as originally contemplated.

The Malapnabha Project as contemplated by the Government

of Mysore is for utilising 31 T.M.Cft. of water excluding evaporation losses.

21. Irrigation - Major - Ghataprabha Project - particulars regarding the -

It is not clear as to what particulars are required. However the Ghataprabha Valley Development Scheme contemplates using 111 T.M Cft. of water to irrigate 7.62 lakhs of acres. The scheme consists of a storage reservoir at Hidkal on Ghataprabha riverr with a storage eapatiy capacity of 51 T.M.Cft. and three canals, a left bank canal taking off from the existing Dupdal weir, a right bank canal taking off from the Hidkal storage and a left bank high level Canal from the Hidkal storage.

O. C. APPROVED
BY C. E.

Yours faithfully,

for Chief Engineer, PWD.,
Irrigation Projects.

rpr 8766

8-7-64

what are
A.P. is arguments ?

(49

1. G.O. Memorandum
   1. Allocation of coal to L.C.
   2. Power generation to L.B.
   3. Irrigation under L.B. Canal
   4. Supply of drinking water to Mallapuram
2. North & South Godavari Dist. Mills (07)
3. proceedings of the conference held in 1986 in regard to 1959
4. Krishna contribution ?
5. No garages Tank.
   Hyderabad Lakes in A.P. is called as 15.5.86.
6. Jagannadha gadi rules Amendment — main file to be known

For discussion
on the above points.

CM

11/6

135

50

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No.GAD 13 PZC 63.

Mysore Government Secretariat,
'Vidhana Soudha',
Bangalore-1 Dated 4-11-1966.

Knee one

UN-OFFICIAL NOTE

DEPUTY SECRETARY P.W.D. DEPT. No. 9385 Date 10 NOV 1966 GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Subject:- Inter State Problems - Discussion on certain outstanding issues, at official level between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore - Fixation of convenient dates.

...

A copy of D.O. letter No. 5009-12/63-65 dated 17.10.1966, received from the Chief Secretary to Andhra Pradesh Government, together with a copy of D.O. letter No. Ch.S.352/66 dated 24.10.66 addressed to him, by our Chief Secretary, on the above subject, is forwarded herewith for favour of information of the Secretary, Public Works Department.

PSRao

(P.S.V. RAO),
Under Secretary to Government,
General Administration Department,
(Political)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPT. No. 15335 9 NOV 1966

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

MYSORE GOVT. SEC. No. 1808 10 NOV 1966 Spl Irrigation P.W.D. (R&B)

MYSORE GOVT. C No. P.W.D. (RECEIPT & ISSUE SECTION)

Sec

W/S(T) 10/11/66 DS 10/11 Spl Irrig

Copy of the letter No.5009-12/63-65 dated 17.10.66 received from the Chief Secretary to Andhra Pradesh Government:-

Sub:- Interstate Problems - Discussion on certain outstanding issues at official level between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore - Fixing of convenient dates - regarding.

Ref:- Your D.O. letter No. GAD/13/PZC/63, dated 2/3.9.1966.

...

Please refer to your D.O. letter cited.

Our officers and I are at the moment busy with the impending discussions on Fourth Plan in New Delhi which will be over in the 2nd week of November, 1966. We can have the discussions on 21st and 22nd November, 1966 at Bangalore, if the dates and venue will suit you.

With kind regards.

Sd/- x x x

//COPY//

Copy of the D.O. letter No.Ch.S.352/66 dated 24th October, 1966 addressed to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad:

Sub:- Interstate Problems . Discussion on certain outstanding issues at official level between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

...

Kindly refer to your D.O.letter No.5009-12/63-65 dated 17th October, 1966. Our Legislative Assembly and Legislative Council are being summoned for a brief session on the 16th of November and it is likely that till the end of the month, we shall be busy with the session of the Legislature. Could you therefore kindly suggest a date in the first or second week of December for our meeting?

With kind regards.

Sd/- x x x

//COPY//

SPR/-

A. Krishnaswami, IAS.,
Special Secretary to
Government.

Hyderabad.

Public Works Department.

D.O.Lr.No: 5009-12/63-S6 Dt: November 29, 1966.

Dear Shri Balachandrao,

Sub;- Interstate problems - Discussion on certain outstanding issues at official level between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

Kindly refer to your D.O.Lr.No.Ch.S.352/66 dated October 24, 1966, to Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh.

Would 21st and 22nd December 1966 suit you for the discussions at Bangalore ?

With best regards,

Yours sincerely,
(A.KRISHNASWAMI)

Shri K. Balachandran, ICS.,
Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE - 1.

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
No 437/ID/Spl.66.
1966
P.W.D. SPECIAL IRRIGATION

Dear Shri

Please refer to your D.O. letter No. 5009-12/63-56 dated 29th November 1966.

The dates suggested by you are not convenient. I would suggest that the discussion may be held sometime in the first week of January 1967 after ascertaining the date of Chief Secretaries Conference at Delhi.

Yours sincerely,

Shri A.Krishnaswami,
Special Secretary to Govt.,
Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
Hyderabad.

K. BALACHANDRAN,

9th Dec., '66

Go No PWD.6.BRA.66.

Dear Shri Krishnaswami.

Sub:- Interstate problems - discussion on certain outstanding issues at official level between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

...

Please refer to your letter No.D.O.5009-12/63-56, dated the 29th. November 1966.

The State Advisory Committee constituted by the Ministry of Home Affairs under the States Re-organisation Act for advising the Govt. of India on the finalisation of the Inter-state Seniority lists, has fixed its meeting in Bangalore on the 20th, 21st,&22nd December 1966. As such, I am sorry that the dates suggested by you will not be convenient to us. I suggest that the discussions may be held sometime towards the end of the 1st week of January 1967 after ascertaining the dates of the Chief Secretaries' Conference in Delhi.

Yours sincerely,

(K.BALACHANDRAN)

Sri A. Krishnaswami,
Spl. Secretary to Govt. of
Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department, HYDERABAD.

Received
25/12/66

55

G?A. NARASIMHA RAO, B.E.,MIE.,
Addl.Secretary to Government.

Public Works Department,
HYDERABAD-22.

* * *

CHIEF SECRETARY
No T 30/b
3 JAN 1967

D.O.No.5009-I2/63- Dated 27.12.1966

Dear Sri Balachandran,

SUB: Interstate Problems - Discussion on certain outstanding issues at Official level between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

REF: Your D.O.letter No. PWD.6 BRA 66, dated 9.12.66

Please refer to your D.O.letter cited.

The suggestion made therein is acceptable.

Kindly intimate when it will be convenient for you to hold the inter-state conference during January 1967.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

(G.A. NARASIMHA RAO)

Sri K. Balachandran, I.C.S.
Chief Secretary to Govt.of Mysore,
BANGALORE

PWD/PP/3/67/SD 4.1.67

Dear Shri Narasimha Rao,

Please refer to your D.O. letter No. 5009-12/63 dated 27th December 1966 addressed ~~to the Chief Secretary~~ to the Government of Mysore.

We had suggested that the discussion might be held in the early part of January 1967. But, since the Prime Minister will be touring in this State from 8th January 1967 to 11th January 1967, it would not be possible to hold these discussions as suggested earlier.

However, ~~may~~ we suggest 20th and 21st January 1967 for the discussions at Bangalore?

Yours sincerely,

(Chandappa Patel)

Shri G.A.Narasimha Rao,
Secretary to Government of A.P.
Public Works Department,
Hyderabad.

Issued on 4.1.67

G.A.NARASIMHA RAO, B.E.,MIE.
Addl.Secretary to Government.

Public Works Department,
HYDERABAD-22.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
D.O. 50.
L 23 JAN 1967
PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.

D.O.No.5009-I2/63-8 Dated 20.1.1967

Dear Sri Chandappapatel,

SUB: Interstate problems - Discussions on certain outstanding issues at official level between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore - Fixing of convenient dates - Regarding.

REF: Your D.O.letter No.P.W.S/PA/3/67, dated 4.1.67

Kindly refer to your D.O. letter cited.

I am afraid that the dates suggested for the proposed discussions at Bangalore will not suit us. I suggest that we may have them after February, in March, 1967.

I would therefore request you kindly to suggest convenient dates during March '67.

Yours sincerely,

(G.A.NARASIMHA RAO) 20/1/67

Sri Chandappa Patel, I.A.S.
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Vidhanasoudha, BANGALORE.

Reg. No. B.G. 202.

The Mysore Gazette

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

(EXTRAORDINARY)

PART IV—2-C (ii)

BANGALORE, WEDNESDAY, MARCH 1, 1967 (PHALGUNA 10, SAKA ERA 1888). | No. 45

LAW SECRETARIAT

NOTIFICATION-I.

Bangalore, dated the 1st March 1967.

S. O. 421—The following order made by the Governor of Mysore, is hereby published for general information, namely :—

ORDER

In exercise of the powers conferred upon me by clause (1) of Article 174 of the Constitution of India, I, V. V. Giri, Governor of Mysore, do hereby summon the Mysore Legislative Assembly to meet at Bangalore at 9-30 A. M. on Wednesday the 15th March 1967.

V. V. GIRI,
Governor of Mysore.

[No. LAW 6 LGN 67.]

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Mysore,

R. SANJEEVALU,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty & Publications at the Govt Press B'lore.

Reg. No. B.G. 202.

The Mysore Gazette

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

(EXTRAORDINARY)

PART IV—2-C (ii)

BANGALORE, WEDNESDAY, MARCH 1, 1967 (PHALGUNA 10; SAKA ERA 1888). | No. 46

LAW SECRETARIAT.

NOTIFICATION II.

Bangalore, dated the 1st March 1967

S. O. 422.—The following Order made by the Governor of Mysore, is hereby published for general information, namely :—

ORDER

In exercise of the powers conferred upon me by clause (1) of Article 174 of the Constitution of India, I, V. V. Giri, Governor of Mysore, do hereby summon the Mysore Legislative Council to meet at Bangalore at 4 P.M. on Wednesday, the 15th March 1967.

V. V. GIRI,
Governor of Mysore.

[No. LAW 6 LGN 67.]

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Mysore,

R. SANJEEVALU,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publications at the Govt. Press, B'lore.

(60

Subject:- Inter State Problems- Discussion on certain outstanding issues official level between Andhra-Pradesh and Mysore.

Dear Sri.....

Kindly refer to your D.O. Letter No. 5009-12/ 63-8 dated 20-1-1967 on the above subject.

May I know whether the last week of April 1967 will suit you at Bangalore for the di$sussions.

If it suits you, kindly suggest the convenient dates for taking further action.

Y. S.

2/3

To.

Sri. G.A. Narasimha Rao
B.E., M.I.E.,
Additional Secretary to Govt,
P.W.D. Hyderbad.22.

3/4/67

C-3.

INVEST WISELY
Buy NATIONAL SAVINGS CERTIFICATES

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

No. 463

Received here at ..... H ..... M.

X 1830 CA 52 HYDERABAD K 18 STEX 29 CHANDAPPATEL WORKSEC BANGALORE

== REFY D O PWD 6 BRA 66 DATED THIRD APRIL (STOP)) Page 60 C.F.

TWENTYEIGHTH APRIL WILL SUIT THIS GOVERNMENT (9) CONFIRM

TELEGRAPHICALLY ===ANDHRAWORKS =

The sequence of entries at the beginning of this telegram is—class of telegram, time handed in serial number (in case of Foreign Telegrams only), office of origin, date, service instructions (any) and number of words.

This form must accompany any inquiry respecting this telegram

MGIP Sant —46 Telegraph (DGPT/65) —SFS/S-1/31/14— 22-11-64— 60,000 Bks.

G.A. NARASIMHA RAO, B.E., M.I.E.,
Addl. Secretary to Government

Public Works Department,
HYDERABAD-22.

D.O.No.5009-I1/63-59 Dated 18.4.67

Dear Shri Chandappa Patel,

SUB: Interstate problems - Discussion on certain outstandingissues official level between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

REF: Your D.O.letter No.6 BRA66, dated 3.4.67

Please refer to your D.O. letter cited.

28th of April, 1967 will suitus for discussions at Bangalore.

Yours sincerely,

(G.A. NARASIMHA RAO)

Shri Chandappa Patel, I.A.S.
Secretary toGovt.of Mysore,
P.W.D. Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore.

TELEGRAM STATE EXPRESS

(SHRI) CHANDAPPAPATEL
WORKSEC
BANGALORE

REFY D.O. (NUMBER) PWD.6.BRA.66 DATED THIRD APRIL (REGARDING DISCUSSION ON OUTSTANDING INTERSTATE ISSUES BETWEEN ANDHRA PRADESH AND MYSORE) (STOP) TWENTYEIGHT APRIL WILL SUIT THIS GOVERNMENT (STOP) (PLEASE) CONFIRM TELEGRAPHICALLY

ANDHRAWORKS

Not to be telegraphically:

Sd./ .....
Section Officer.



Government of Andhra Pradesh
Public Works Department

....

No.5009-II/63-59, dated, the 18th April, 1967.

....

Post copy to go in conformation to the secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D., Bangalore.

(D. Venkatadri)
for Addl. Secretary to Govt.

EXPRESS / TELEGRAM

64

SECRETARY

PUBLIC WORKS

ANDHRA PRADESH

HYDERABAD.

REFTEL DATED EIGHTEENTH (STOP) TWENTY EIGTH APRIL AT BANGALORE. AGREED. ——

Yours Sec

---

dy

for Sec. PWD

Copy in confirmation to Sec. PWD. Govt. of AP. with reference to his dated 18-4-67 — No. NO 5003-I1/65-69 dt/ 18-4-67

Copy with copy of telegram from AP. forwarded to GRD. for information and necessary action. This is with reference to GRD 13 P2C 63.

EXPRESS TELEGRAM STATE

SECRETARY
PUBLIC WORKS
ANDHRA PRADESH
HYDERABAD

REPEAL DATED EIGHTEENTH (STOP) TWENTY EIGTH

APRIL AT BANGALORE ADDRESS

WORKS

Not to be telegraphed:-

(Sd)
(S.K.Mohan),
Under Secretary to Government.
Public Works Department.

No.PWD 6 BRA 66.

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Public Works Department
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, dated, 22-4-1967.

Issued
Dated 22/4/67

Copy in confirmation to Secretary, Public Works Deptt. Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, with reference to his telegram dated 18-4-1967 and DO No.5003-I 1/63-69 dated 18-4-1967.

Copy with copy of telegram from Andhra Pradesh forwarded to G.A.D. for information and necessary action. This is with reference to GAD 13 PZC 63.

o/c (S.K.Mohan),
Under Secretary to Govt. P.W.Deptt.

TELEGRAM STATE EXPRESS

CHANDAPPA PATEL
WORKSEC
BANGALORE.

REFY D.O. (NUMBER) PWD 6 BRA 66 DATED THIRD APRIL REGARDING DISCUSSION ON OUTSTANDING INTERSTATE ISSUES BETWEEN ANDHRA PRADESH AND MYSORE STOP TWENTYEIGHT APRIL WILL SUIT THIS GOVERNMENT (STOP) PLEASE CONFIRM TELEGRAPHICALLY

ANDHRAWORKS

- -

Copy

Section Officer

M.G.S

To DPR & CE

dated 22-4-67 67

Sub: Long pending cases between DP & Mysore.

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer Govt. letter of even number dated 19-5-66. The proposed meeting between Officers of Mysore & DP is to be held on 28-4-67 at Bangalore // A preliminary meeting is proposed to be held in this connection in the Chambers of Secy. PWD on 25-4-67 @ 3 P.M. I am directed to request you to kindly make it convenient to attend the meeting.

Yours sincerely

(illegible)

CE/IP
CE(G)
CE(Inv)
CE(PWD)

Copy to DP to Secy PWD &
Copy to DP to TB. PWD

Sec. Pers. Dept
Hyderabad

Subj: Interstate problems — Discussions on Centre — outstanding issues etc.

Ref: DO No 5009 - IF/63-55 dt 18-4-67
from the Addl. Secy Pers. Dept.

Sir,

With to your letter cited above —
I am directed to inform
you that the meeting proposed to be held on 28/4/67 to discuss the
out-standing inter-State issues between Andhra Pradesh and
Mysore as proposed on 28-4-67 at Bangalore is regarded
to us.

at Bangalore

Yrs.

22/4/67

(DS)

Subs: Long pending cases between DSP & Mysore.

A meeting between the Chief Secretaries. and other
Officers of Govt. of Mysore & DSP is proposed to be held at Bangalore on 28-4-67 as per the recent telephonic Request from the Govt. of DSP., the Copy of which has been sent to you already for information vide DO No. 6/1/67-66 dated 22-4-67. The file concerning this in GSDO is GSDO(B) P2(63). Necessary arrangements for holding the meeting and for reserving accommodation

In the Press may be as

Lin. Deen Dayal.
DS (GSD)

Pte 28/4/67
DS

one Set of note on the Several issues Concerning P.W.D is available in the G.A.D file cited above which may please be placed before Chief Secretary for his perusal.

S.K.Mohan,
Under Secretary to Governmen

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Public Works Department,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangaloro, dated, 22-4-1967.

D.O.No. PWD 6 BRA 66.

Dear Sir,

Sub:- Long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

Kindly refer Government letter of even Number dated 19-5-1966. The proposed meeting between officers of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh is to be held on 28-4-1967 at Bangaloro.

A preliminary meeting is proposed to be held in this connection in the Chambers of the Secretary, Public Works Department on 25-4-1967 at 3 P.M. I am directed to request you to kindly make it convenient to attend the meeting.

Yours sincerely,

Issued
Date 22-4-67

~~Shri~~ ~~M~~ ~~V~~ ~~A~~ ~~Shetty~~,

CE, IP
CE (General)
CE (Investigation)
CE, MSEB

By name.

Copy to P.A. to Secy.PWD.
Copy to P.A. to Jt.Secy.PWD.

Government of Mysore

Mysore Government Secretariat
"Vidhana Soudha"
Bangalore, dated 22-4- '67

No.PWD PWD 6 BRA 66.

From

The Secretary to Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department, Bangalore,

To

Tho Secretary,
Public Works Department,
Andhra Pradesh,
HYDERABAD.

Sir,

Sub:- Interstate problems - Discussion on certain outstanding issues etc.

Ref:- D.O.No.5009-II/63-59 dated 18-4-1967 from the Additional Secretary, PWD, Andhra Pradesh.

With reference to your letter cited above, I am directed to inform you that the meeting proposed to be held on 28-4-1967 at Bangalore to discuss outstanding Inter-State issues between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore is agreeable to us.

Yours faithfully,

22/4/67

(M.Channappa),
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Received
Date 22-4-67

No.PWD 6 BRA 66.

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Public Works Department,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, dated, 22-4-1967.

Sub:-Long pending cases between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

A meeting between the Chief Secretaries and other officers of Govt. of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh is proposed to be held at Bangalore on 28-4-1967 as per the recent telegram received from the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, the reply telegram dated 22-4-1967 copy of which has been sent to you already for information with PWD 6 BRA 66 dated 22-4-1967. The file concerning this in G.A.D. is GAD 13 ft PZC 63. Necessary arrangements for holding the meeting and for reserving accommodation for the guests may please be done. One set of notes on the several issues concerning P.W.D. is available in the GAD File cited above which may please be placed before Chief Secretary for his perusal.

Issued
Endt. 22-4-67
Date

(M.Channappa),
Deputy Secretary to Government
Public Works Department.

Sri.Deon Dayal,)
Deputy Secretary,)
G.A.D.)

74

NOTE

Notes pertaining to the subjects to be discussed between the Andhra Pradesh and Mysore in the Inter-State Meeting to be held on 28-4-2007 is placed below. for kind perusal.

24/4/07

(M.Channappa)
Deputy Secretary to Govt.
P.W.D.(Irrigation)

Ch.Secy.

Secy.PW&L.

Jt.Secy.PW&L.

D.S.I.

V. Chigalli

As instructed
by Telephone on 25.4.65
arrangements are
being at Formation.

75



INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

No......

Received here at.....H.....M.

X 1510 CA 2 HYDERABAD CS 25 STEX 38 CHANDAPPA PATEL WOKSEC BANGALORE

INTERSTATE MEETING AT BANGALORE (ON) TWENTYEIGHT APRIL (STOP)

FIVE OFFICERS OF ANDHA PRADESH ARRIVING BANGALORE BY AIR ON

TWENTYSEVENTH EVENING (STOP) (PLEASE) MAKE NECESSARY ARRANGEMENTS.

PDSRAWORS

The sequence of services at the beginning of this telegram in—class of telegram, time handed in, serial number (in case of Foreign Telegrams only), office of origin, date, service instructions (if any) and number of words.

This form must accompany any inquiry respecting this telegram.

MGIP Sant —46 Telegraph (D. PT/65) SPS'S-1-31/14. 22 11/64 60,000 Bks.

SUB:- INTERSTATE MEETING AT BANGALORE ON 23-4-1967.

In enclosing herewith a copy of the Telegram received from the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, I request you to kindly arrange to reserve accommodation for 3 persons at 'KUMARA KRUPA' Guest House and 2 persons at "SUDARSHAN GUEST HOUSE". Regarding Transport arrangement are made separately.

Sd/-

(M.Channappa)
Deputy Secretary to Govt.
P.W.D.(I)

Shri G.P.Hasan,
Private Secretary to Chief Minister.

Copy to Sri Deen Dayal, Deputy Secretary, G.A.D. for information in continuation of my telephonic conversation on the matter.

26/4/67

(M.Channappa)
Deputy Secretary to Govt.
P.W.D.(I)

M. G. S. | Subject: | File No. 77

WD 01050—GPB—8,00,000 18-12-65

SUB:- INTERSTATE MEETING AT BANGALORE ON 28-4-67 BETWEEN OFFICERS OF GOVT. OF MYSORE AND GOVT. OF ANDHRA PRADESH.

A copy of the Telegram received from the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, is herewith enclosed for kind information of the Chief Secretary.

Notes relating to the subjects have already been sent for perusal of Chief Secretary on

The notes and the enclosed copy of the Telegram may please be placed before the Chief Secretary for his kind perusal.

26/4/67

(M.Channappa)
Deputy Secretary, PWD(Irrgn.)

P.A. to Chief Secretary)

Most Immediate
By Special Messenger

76

S.S. KIRMANI,
Deputy Secretary to Government

Hyderabad,
dated, the 25th April, 1967.

Public Works Department

.....

D.O. Letter No. 5009-I2/63-62.

Dear Shri Channappa,

Sub: Interstate Problems - Discussion on certain outstanding issues etc.

Ref: Your letter No.PWD.6.BRA.66,dated 22.4.1967.

-:oOo:-

The following officers from the Government of Andhra Pradesh are arriving/Bangalore by ~~by~~ plane /in on 27..4..1967 for attending the ensuing Interstate Conference to be held on 28..4..1967.

1) Sri K.N. Anantaraman,
Chief Secretary to Govt. of A.P.

2) Sri A. Krishnaswami,
Special Secretary to Govt. of A.P.
Public Works Department

3) Sri G.A. Narasimha Rao,
Additional Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department

4) Sri P.T. Malla Reddy,
Chief Engineer (Major Irrigation)
Public Works Department,

5) Sri M.Sitarama Sastry,
Superintending Engineer (Designs),
Public Works Department,
Nagarjunasagar Canals.

I am to request you kindly to make necessary arrangements for reservation of accommodation etc. for the officers as also transport facilities from aerodrome to Bangalore City and during their stay at Bangalore.

Yours sincerely,

(S.S. Kirmani)

To
Sri M.Channappa,
Deputy Secretary to Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

INVEST WISELY.
Buy NATIONAL SAVINGS CERTIFICATES

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

No.....

Received here at..... H..... M.

X 1800CA 75 HYDERABAD K 26 STE 29 WORKSEC BANGALORE

-REMTEL DATED TWENTYFIFTH APRIL.REGARDING RSERVATION - OF ACCOMMODATION (STOP) ONE MORE - OFFICER ARRIVING BANGALORE BY AIR ON TWENTYSEVENTH AFTERNOON MAKENECESSARY ARRANGEMENTS - ANDHRAWORKS -

The sequence of entries at the beginning of this telegram—class of telegram, time handed in, serial number (in case of Foreign Telegrams only), office of origin, date, service instructions (if any) and number of words.

This form must accompany any inquiry respecting this telegram.

MGIP Sant.—46 Telegraph (DGPT/65)—SFS/S-1/31/14—22-11-65—60,000 Bks.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING HELD AT 10-30 A.M. on 28.4.67 IN THE CHAMBERS OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MYSORE TO DISCUSS CERTAIN OUTSTANDING ISSUES BETWEEN GOVTS. OF MYSORE & ANDHRA PRADESH.

I) Representatives of Mysore:

(1) Sri K.Narayanaswamy, IAS,
Chief Secretary to Govt. of Mysore

(2) Sri Chandappa Patel, IAS,
Secretary to Govt. of Mysore, P.W.D.

(3) Sri M.C.Antin,
Jt.Secretary to Govt. of Mysore, P.W.D.

(4) Sri S.G.Balekundry,
Chief Engineer (G).

(5) Sri L.T.Aminbhavi,
ChiefEngineer(Investigation)

(6) Sri. B.M. Srinivasa. Chief Engineer (General) T.B.P.

(7) Sri M.Channappa,
Deputy Secretary, P.W.D.(I)

(8) Sri S.K.Mohan,
Under Secretary, P.W.D.(TECH.)

II) Representattives of Andhra Pradesh:

(1) Sri K.N.Anantharaman, ICS,
Chief Secretary to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh

(2) Sri A.Krishnaswamy, IAS,
Special Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.

(3) Sri G.A.Narasimha Rao,
Addl.Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.,
Andhra Pradesh.

(4) Sri P.T.Malla Reddy,
Chief Engineer (IP),
Andhra Pradesh

(5) Sri M.Seetharama Shastry,
Superintending Engineer (Designs),
Nagarjunasagar Canals.

(6) Sri K.V.Ram Mohan,
Incharge Director,
Inter-State Matters.

At the outset the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, expressed that even though these matters have been discussed some time back between Representatives of the two Govts. it is desirable that the

81

matters are discussed once again so that an amicable settlement between the two State ~~Govts~~ may be reached by proper understanding of the problems.

The Chief Secretary to Govt. of Mysore while expressing his general agreement with the views expressed by the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh initiated the discussion on the following several outstanding issues to be settled between the Govts. of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore. At the outset he observed that the following ~~nine~~ five subjects viz:-

~~SUBJECTS~~

~~DIVISION OF ASSETS & LIABILITIES UNDER COMMON~~
~~TUNGABHADRA PROJECT AND TUNGABHADRA~~
~~LOW LEVEL CANAL~~

1. Division of Assets & Liabilities under Common * TBP.LLC.
2. Power benefit from the Munirabad Power House for Andhra Pradesh.
3. Extension of Irrigation from Left Bank Canal to areas in Andhra Pradesh.
4. Final sharing of Tungabhadra water at Nallapuram.
5. ~~Transfer of control of Nagalibanda Head works and the first 7 miles of the Canal to Nagalibanda~~
6. Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tungabhadra Board.

*portion of

~~Several~~ discussions have already ~~been~~ taken place between the Representatives of the two State Govts. and also between the two Chief Ministers of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore and ~~could come to the conclusion that~~ since no agreement could be reached by the two State Govts. the matters were decided to be referred to Govt. of India for a decision. ~~It was~~ In pursunce of this decision the two State Govts. ~~have~~ had already furnished their memorandums to ~~before~~ the

Govt. of India ~~are~~ expressing their views on all the above issues, but so far Govt. of India have not taken any decision in the matter. He also ~~xxx~~ observed that it may perhaps be desirable that both the Govts. should press the Govt. of India for early decision in the matter.

At this stage the Additional Secretary to Govt. P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh Stated that the Govt. of India had written to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh requesting the Chief Ministers of Andhra Pradesh & Mysore to discuss these matters once again so that they can come to some settlement. It was in pursuance of this ~~xxxx

under the control of the Tungabhadra Board, and the cost in respect of this length of the canal is to be shared between these two State Governments. He referred to the recent decision in respect of the High Level Canal wherein the cost was agreed to be shared on cusec Mile basis. On analogy the same decision he desired that the sharing of the cost of the Low Level Canal also be agreed to be on cusec Mile basis.

The Additional Secretary, P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh while referring to the previous discussions on this subject observed that this problem of sharing the cost on the basis of High Level Canal may not be possible in view of the fact that there has been no such accepted principle regarding sharing the cost of Inter-State Canals. He referred to the issues now arising in sharing the cost of Bhakra Canals between Punjab and Rajasthan and also other Inter-State Canals and observed that in order to solve this problem satisfactorily two or three symposiums have been held by the Govt. of India. Even though several points of views have been put-forth by the participating members no firm decision could be arrived at and even today there has been no satisfactory solution regarding this problem.

In view of the above it was desired that this problem may have to be deferred till an acceptable principle regarding sharing of Cost of Inter-State Canals is enunciated by the Govt. of India.

82e

SUBJECT NO.2. POWER BENEFITS FROM MUNIRABAD POWER HOUSE FOR ANDHRA PRADESH.

The Secretary, P.W.D. Govt. of Mysore reiterated that the claim of Andhra Pradesh for a share in Power generated on the Left Bank Dam Power House is not on the basis of any sanctioned project. He also referred to Gadkari Committee's Report wherein it was envisaged that the power generated at Munirabad is to be generally utilised for the areas lying in Tungabhadra Project. Hence it would not be possible to accept the claim that Hyderabad area is to be supplied with power generated at Dam site Power House.

The Representatives of the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, however expressed that they were not aware of ~~any~~ the ~~such~~ Gadkari Committee's Report and desired to have a copy of the same if available with the Govt. of Mysore. It was agreed that a copy of the Gadkari Committee's Report would be sent to Andhra Pradesh Govt. if available with the Mysore Govt.

SUBJECT NO.3. EXTENSION OF IRRIGATION FROM LEFT BANK CANAL TO AREAS IN ANDHRA PRADESH.

The Special Secretary to Govt. P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh said that the Left Bank Canal as executed is capable of delivering 20 T.M.C.F.T. water at the tail end and this capacity of the canal was provided with the intention of extending the canal for feeding the areas beyond the present boundaries of the Mysore State. Hence, he desired that the Left Bank is to be extended

so as to serve the areas in Gadwal and Alampur Taluks of Mahboob Nagar District.

The Secretary, P.W.D. explained that the sanctioned project as such does not envisage any areas beyond the Mysore State to be irrigated by the Left Bank Canal. It was probably the intention of Hyderabad Govt. to have as large a benefit as possible from this project. ~~and they had no new areas beyond the present Mysore border.~~ But the sanctioned project has specifically defined the areas to be irrigated under the Left Bank canal and as such it would not be possible to extend the canal beyond the present Mysore border.

~~The additional~~

The Additional Secretary, P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh explained that the Left Bank Canal as such is designed for an utilisation of 93 TMCFT. ~~as against~~ and the water ~~flowing~~ requiring for feeding the areas lying in Mysore State is only 72 TMCFT. Hence the remaining quantity of water is ~~intended~~ to be utilised by the areas beyond the present Mysore border. He also referred to the Govt. Order of January 1955 of Hyderabad Govt. wherein it was mentioned that only 72 TMCFT. of water is intended to be used (as against the total utilisation of 93 TMCFT.) for irrigating 5,80,000 acres. The Secretary, P.W.D. Govt. of Mysore, stated that ~~mentioned~~, the records of the Hyderabad Govt. pertaining to the ~~mentioned~~ ~~that there being no~~ sanctioned project Left Bank canal were not available and as such ~~report with the Govt. of Mysore~~ it is difficult to say anything exactly on this. ~~matter~~, and The Special Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, was requested to make available a copy of the original sanctioned

86

project report on the Left Bank since records relating to this project have not been transferred to Mysore State by the Hyderabad. The Additional Secretary, P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh said that the Andhra Pradesh Govt. also is not in possession of any of the records relating to Left Bank project. It was decided that the Project Report and the relevant papers may have to be obtained if available from the lower officers.

SUBJECT NO.4. FINAL SHARING OF T.B.P. WATERS AT MALLAPURAM.

This was discussed along with other subjects relating to T. B. project in general terms

This subject has been discussed previously in greater detail and has been referred to Govt. of India for a decision in the matter. Such being the case it would be appropriate to have a decision either at the higher level or to await a decision of the Govt. of India in the matter.

SUBJECT NO.5. TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF THE RAJOLIBUNDA HEAD WORKS OF THE FIRST 97 MILES TO TUNGABHADRA BOARD.

The Spl.Secretary, P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh said that as regards Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme, Andhra Pradesh Govt. have been receiving several representations regarding inadequacy of water supply to the areas lying in Andhra Pradesh and Andhra Pradesh being a major beneficiary in this channel Mysore Govt. may transfer the control of Rajolibunda Head works to Andhra Pradesh Govt. They had put-forth a request for supplying daily guages of R.D.S. Canal to Andhra Pradesh Govt. so as to know the daily drawals in the R.D.S. Canal.

87

The Secretary, PWD. Mysore referred to the discussions held between the Chief Secretaries of Govt. of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh on 7.4.65 at Hyderabad on this issue. Even though Andhra Pradesh Govt. is a major beneficiary, after the Reorganisation of States the areas lying in the reach of 0-27 Miles of the Canal and the Rajolibunda Head works are lying in the Mysore State. Hence the control of the Head works as such cannot be transferred to ANDHRA PRADESH GOVT. But he would ensure the requisite quantity of water in the canal at the State border so as to feed the areas lying in Andhra Pradesh.

As regards inadequacy of supply in the R.D.S. Canal for the areas lying in Andhra Pradesh, the Govt. of Mysore have not received any complaint ~~in writing about their supply~~ from the Andhra Pradesh Govt. ~~It was, however, desired to examine the guages~~ in respect of this canal which would reveal the actual facts. ~~It was for this purpose they desired to have detail guages from the Mysore Govt. as it relates to R.D.S. Canal. The Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh also stressed to have the common guzgings since the primary need is to satisfy the right which is a very difficult problem unless the facts and figures are known.~~ The Secretary, P.W.D. also explained that it was only during the period of repairs to Rajoli syphon that there might have been some difficulty in getting adequate supplies. ~~And no other areas complaint has been received as regards inadequate supply and~~ He also referred to the scouring sluices provided on the Right Bank by the Andhra Pradesh Govt. for which

proper controlling arrangements have not been made. ~~which has also maxix necessitated in xxx ensuring adequate quantity of water in the K.D.S. channel.~~

It was agreed that the R.D.S. Anecut is to be inspected in full length and adequate quantity of water is ensured to Andhra Pradesh Govt. The Andhra Pradesh Govt. however indicated that even though adequate water is drawn at the Head works there have been representations that there has been excess drawal of water in the Mysore reach thereby ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ Canning inadequate water supply to the areas lying in Andhra Pradesh. Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh also referred to the difficulty experienced for want of telephone facilities and requested that the same may be provided. It was indicated to him that the ~~xx~~ telephone facilities are already provided for, but as regards the inadequacy of water at the State border the matter ~~may~~ will be looked into.

Continued in next page. →.

The Addl. Secy. of Andhra Pradesh referred to the repairs cost that is being borne by the Andhra Pradesh in 15/16th ratio and desired to have the estimates of repairs to be sent to them. It was pointed by the Mysore representatives repairs further indicated that the necessary works are were being done and the cost is being transferred to Andhra Pradesh, Govt. the estimates are also being sent. to A.P. Govt.

SUBJECT No.6:- TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF NUNIRABAD POWER HOUSE TO TUNGABHADRA BOARD.

The Secretary, P.W.D. Mysore State expressed that this subject is linked up with the other issue relating to the sharing of power benefits from the Nunirabad Power House between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore. Since as per the sanctioned Project Report, no power is intended to be supplied for the areas in Andhra Pradesh, it is not necessary to transfer the control of Nunirabad Power House to any other agency. At this stage, the Addl.Secy.P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh pointed out that from the point of view of proper utilisation of the waters of Tungabhadra Both for Irrigation as well as for Power, Irrigation there should be it may desirable to have a unified control for the releases of waters both on the Left Bank and on the Right Bank. From this point of view, he said, it was desirable to have the entire control regarding the release of waters both on the Left and Right Banks with the Tungabhadra Board. He also cited the example of Punjab. and advocated the need for formation of a Board which in opposition his opinion would help atleast in proper utilisation of Water. The Secretary, P.W.D. Mysore at this stage pointed out that the major beneficiary under the Tungabhadra Project is Mysore having irrigation over more than 9 lakh acres when compared to about 3 lakh acres irrigation in Andhra Pradesh. Further considering that the Tungabhadra Head Works lies in the Mysore State, it is not necessary to entrust the control of the

will inspect the Anicut and suggest remedial measures within a period of six months ~~so as to safeguard the interest of~~ to of both the States.

Subject No.8:- IRRIGATION - MYSORE STATE - CONSTRUCTION OF AN ANICUT ACROSS CHITRAVATHI RIVER NEAR TIRUMANI AND OPENING NEW FEEDER CHANNEL TO FEED 7 TANKS - DEFICIENCY IN BUKKA-PATNAM TANK OF ANDHRA PRADESH.

The Addl. Secy. P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh Govt. said that it is reported that the capacity of the Left Bank Canal in Mysore area has been increased from 64 to 144 Cusecs, the Anicut has been raised by one foot and a right Bank Canal was opened for some length and thereby the supplies to their lower Tanks had been very much affected. This was in violation of 1892 Agreement.

The Jt. Secy. P.W.D. Mysore said that the Anicut has not been raised, but only repairs have been done and the Project as contemplated by the Mysore Govt. relating to opening of the Right Bank Canal for feeding the 7 tanks is still under examination and no decision has been taken in that case. Hence, it is premature to think that the Mysore Govt. has violated the 1892 Agreement. As regards the increase of capacity of the Left Bank Canal, it was said that no such thing has been done. However it was agreed that the Chief Engr. of Andhra Pradesh may write to the Chief Engr.(Genl), Mysore and inspect the site and satisfy himself with regard to the existing position.

Subject No.9:- TANKS IN HINDUPUR TALUK - LEAKAGE INVESTIGATION OF SUPPLIES - RAISING THE WEIR OF NAGARKERE TANK BY MYSORE.

The Addl.Secy. of Andhra Pradesh observed that they have received complaints from the people of Andhra Pradesh that the capacity of this Tank has been increased thereby causing reduction in supplies to the lower tank situated in Andhra Pradesh and desired to know whether this was a fact and if so it would constitute a violation of 1892 Agreement. The Jt.Secy. P.W.D. Mysore explained that he stated

93

The Chief Engr. Andhra Pradesh argued that since the areas in Andhra Pradesh suffered for want of water they are interested to know as to whether the diversion of supplies to Rampur Tank is being done under the conditions laid down in the 1944 Agreement. The Special Secy. Andhra Pradesh read out a few lines from the 1944 Agreement wherein it is stated that joint gaugings should be done for some period. Hence Andhra Pradesh was urging to have a joint gaugings of the ~~statement~~ stream at a selected site. It was however decided that the two Chief Engrs. may inspect and select the site for conducting the gaugings.

Subject:-12: UPPER PENNAR PROJECT OF ANDHRA PRADESH - ACQUISITION OF SUBMERSIBLE LANDS IN MYSORE TERRITORY BY MYSORE STATE.

The Secy. P.W.D. Mysore, referred to the recent correspondence of the Mysore Govt. addressed to the Andhra Pradesh Govt. on this issue. The Special Secy. of Andhra Pradesh said, that as already agreed to by the Andhra Pradesh ~~xxxx,thatxxx~~ Govt. he would assure that water will not be stored in the reservoir beyond 1760, the level which has been previously agreed to by the Mysore Govt. At this stage, the Secy. P.W.D. Mysore desired to know as to how the Andhra Pradesh Govt. would operate and control so as ~~intends~~ to limit the storage in the reservoir to 1760 R.L. ~~xxx~~ when their present F.R.L. is 1763, i.e., 3 feet ~~beyond~~ higher than the agreed level. As regards the compensation to be paid to the people whose lands have come under ~~the~~ submersion between 1760 and 1763 till now (which have not been acquired) ~~by paying any compensation)~~ and also the other facilities like providing of a bridge, shifting of the village, etc., as indicated in the letter of the Govt. of Mysore (while agreeing for the construction of the reservoir with F.T.L. at 1760). the Special Secy. Andhra Pradesh desired to have full information regarding the cost, etc., so that the Andhra Pradesh Govt. may consider and ~~take a~~ communicate the decision

Subject: 14:- INTERSTATE MATTERS - CONSTRUCTION OF NEW IRRIGATION SCHEMES ON PENNAR AND JAYAMANGALI RIVERS OF MYSORE - REGARDING

The Secy. P.W.D. Mysore explained that according to the 1892 Agreement construction of certain irrigation works above ~~a~~ certain specified limits ~~are~~ was of minor importance. Hence it is not necessary to obtain the concurrence of the Andhra Pradesh Govt. or to furnish any ~~xpx~~ particulars with regard to ~~the~~ such works. The Special Secy. P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh requested that as a general principle they ~~required~~ desired to know the details about such irrigation works which may affect the supply to the ~~lower~~ irrigation works in Andhra Pradesh ~~which may be supplied by the Mysore Govt~~ lower dam. The Chief Secy. of Andhra Pradesh also requested to examine this aspect and to furnish particulars if there is no objection. The Secy. P.W.D. Mysore State, ~~stated~~ that the request ~~furnishing for particulars will~~ wanted be examined.

Subject 15:- INTERSTATE PROBLEMS - CONSTRUCTION OF A DAM ACROSS PENNAR AT NAGALAMADIKI VILLAGE IN MYSORE STATE.

The Jt.Secy. P.W.D. Mysore, explained that the Project Report is not yet ready and the question of taking up ~~of~~ this Project or otherwise ~~remains to be decided~~ Yet to be finalised. Any discussion ~~therefore. It may not be necessary to discuss this matter now at all.~~ at this stage is premature

It was pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh that since this Project involves submersion of ~~some~~ some areas in Andhra Pradesh ~~also~~. and since it also affects supplies to the North Pennar Project already constructed lower down, the Project may ~~only~~ be taken up after the Andhra Pradesh is consulted in the matter.

Subject 16: IRRIGATION - CONSTRUCTION OF GAYATHRI RESERVOIR ACROSS SUVARNAMUKI RIVER BY MYSORE WHICH AFFECTS SUPPLIES TO BHAIRAVANITHIPPA PROJECT IN ANDHRA PRADESH.

p.t.o.

~~Hyderabad Govt. which would provide water supply for~~ for providing irrigation from the Upper Krishna ~~Project~~ to Gadwal and Alampur areas and desired that these areas may be included in the Upper Krishna Project of Mysore State.

The Jt.Secy. P.W.D. Mysore explained that owing to Re-organisation of States, Bijapur Dist, which is one of the ~~chronically~~ Chronically drought affected areas has now come to Mysore State and it is incumbent on Mysore Govt. to provide irrigation facilities to these areas and further he stated that the ~~because~~ the percentage of ~~irrigation being~~ irrigation in Mysore very low ~~of the irrigation facilities being limited~~, it would not be possible for Mysore to provide irrigation to areas outside the Mysore State. The Special Secy. Andhra Pradesh on consideration of this aspect agreed to leave this issue at this stage.

SUBJECT.19 REHABILITATION IN T.B.P. PROJECT AREA

The Secretary, P.W.D. Govt. of Mysore explained that at present certain amenities are being provided to persons displaced owing to the construction of projects in Mysore State. The same facilities will have to be provided to the people who have been displaced under the Tungabhadra project also as these villages are situated in the Mysore State and it would not be desirable to discriminate in respect of this particular project. ~~as certain facilities are now being provided for people in respect of other projects~~. He urged that the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh may kindly agree to provide the same amenities in respect of Tungabhadra project also. The Special Secretary, Andhra Pradesh Govt. said that whatever facilities enjoyed by a village previous to its submersion would be provided by the Board on general principles to ~~xxxxxxxx~~ rehabilitation but anything beyond that the State Government will have to meet from their own funds. ~~the expenditure towards other amenities~~. However, he agreed to refer the matter again to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh for ~~and let us know the opinion of the Andhra Pradesh Govt. in this behalf~~ consideration.

SUBJECT NO.20. SHORTAGE OF SUPPLIES TO SPRING CHANNELS ON RIVER HAGARI IN BELLARY DISTRICT, MYSORE STATE ON CONSTRUCTION OF BHAIRAVANITHIPPA PROJECT ON THE RIVER HAGARI ON UPPER REACHES IN ANDHRA PRADESH.

The Secretary, P.W.D. Mysore, argued that the flow in the spring channels situated below the Bhairavanithippa project and feeding the areas in Mysore State has very much diminished owing to the construction of Bhairavanithippa Project, and thereby there have been lot of complaints from the beneficiaries of these spring channels. He further contended that Andhra Pradesh Govt. is aware that water has been supplied to

99

Moka and Chaganur Channels out of Mysore State quota from the low level canal for quite sometime past. At this stage, the Addl. Secy. P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh said that since the cut offs constructed across Hagari at Bhairavanithippa were resting on ~~soakings~~ bunds the sub-soil flow must actually increase and it is not known why there is dimunition in the sub-soil flow of waters. It was felt by him that perhaps the approach channels from these springs are not being maintained properly.

He further stated that in respect of the spring channels in Andhra Pradesh situated below Bhairavanithippa ~~and of ding lands in Andhra Pradesh~~ there has been no such complaint by the beneficiaries.

The Chief Engr. Andhra Pradesh, pointed out while constructing the low level canal the ryots under these spring channel ayacut had been asked to exercise their option with regard to inclusion of their lands under the ayacut of L.L.C. but they did not agree for such an arrangement.

The Secy. P.W.D. Mysore at this stage ~~observed~~ explained that the beneficiaries of these spring channels had been asked at the time of construction of L.L.C. to agree to the crop pattern as envisaged under the L.L.C. These spring channel lands being paddy lands the ~~AYACUTDARS~~ ayacutdars did not agree to the crop pattern under the L.L.C.

It was agreed that the matter regarding dimunition of supplies ~~is to~~ be further examined and discussed ~~further~~ again.

Subject No.21: SUPPLYING COPIES OF FILES AND OTHER DOCUMENTS IN RESPECT OF T.B.P. AS TRANSFERRED BY THE EX.MADRAS STATE TO ANDHRA PRADESH IN OCT.63.

The Specl. Secy. to Govt. P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh said that he would examine the matter.

p.t.o.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING HELD AT 10-30 A.M. ON 28-4-1967 IN THE CHAMBERS OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MYSORE TO DISCUSS CERTAIN OUTSTANDING ISSUES BETWEEN GOVTS. OF MYSORE & ANDHRA PRADESH.

I) Representatives of Mysore:

(1) Shri K. Narayanaswamy, I.A.S.,
Chief Secretary to Govt. of Mysore.

(2) Shri Chandappa Patel, I.A.S.,
Secretary to Govt. of Mysore, P.W.D.

(3) Shri H.C. Antin, Joint Secretary,
to Govt. of Mysore, P.W.D.

(4) Shri S.G. Balekundry,
Chief Engineer (General), Bangalore.

(5) Shri L.T. Aminbhavi,
Chief Engineer (Investigation).

(6) Shri B.M. Shafiulla,
Chief Engineer (General), M.S.E.B.

(7) M. Channappa,
Deputy Secretary (Irrgn), P.W.D.

(8) Shri S.K. Mohan,
Under Secretary to Govt., P.W.D. (Technical).

I) Representatives of Andhra Pradesh:

(1) Shri K.H. Anantharaman, I.C.S.,
Chief Secretary to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh.

(2) Shri A.Krishnaswamy, I.A.S.,
Special Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

(3) Shri G.A.Narasimha Rao,
Addl.Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.,

(4) Shri P.T. Malla Reddy,
Chief Engineer (I.P).

(5) Shri M. Seetharama Shastry,
Superintending Engineer (Designs),
Nagarjunasagar Canals.

(6) Shri K.V. Ram Mohan,
Incharge Director, Inter-State Matters.

At the outset the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh thanked the Govt. of Mysore for the arrangements made with regard to holding this meeting at such a short notice. Drawing attention to the drought situation and scarcity prevailing in many parts of our country, he desired that all problems relating to the utilisation of waters which go a long way for the improvement of Agricultural production should

P.t. .

103

of water were intended to be used for irrigating ~~2~~, 5,80,000 acres under the Left Bank Canal. A copy of the same was desired ~~to be received~~ by the Mysore Representative. Since the original records relating to this Project were not available with the Mysore State or with the Andhra Pradesh Govt, it was agreed that the ~~Project Report~~ and the relevant papers may be obtained if available from the lower Offices.

SUBJECT NO.4:- FINAL SHARING OF T.B.P. WATERS AT MALLAPURAM.

This was discussed in general terms along with the other subjects relating to the Tungabhadra Project.

~~The subject is adjourned~~

SUBJECT NO.5:- TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF THE RAJOLIBUNDA HEAD WORKS OF THE FIRST 7 MILES TO TUNGABHADRA BOARD.

It was agreed that the R.D.S. Anicut would be inspected in full length by the concerned Chief Engineers and ~~adequate quantity~~ agreed share of water ensured to the Andhra Pradesh at the State Border. in the RDS canal,

what a hunt was there? as-adjourned

SUBJECT NO.6:- TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF MUNIRABAD POWER HOUSE TO TUNGABHADRA BOARD.

~~It was pointed out by~~ The Andhra Pradesh Representative suggested that it was desirable to have the entire control ~~regarding~~ of the release of waters both on Left and Right Banks with the Tungabhadra Board. It was ~~contended~~ pointed by the Mysore Representative that since the Major ~~part~~ beneficiaries under the Tungabhadra Project was Mysore State and since the Tungabhadra ~~Dam~~ Dam lies in the Mysore State, the control of the Dam for regulation of waters should rest with the Mysore Govt. and that the Andhra Pradesh share of waters would be assured by the Mysore State as is being done in the case of Cauvery Waters to Madras state at K.R.Sagar.

SUBJECT NO.7: IRRIGATION - ANDHRA PRADESH - REPAIRS TO AGALI ANICUT REGARDING.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore State would inspect the Anicut and suggest ~~miles~~ within a

p.t.o.

SUBJECT NO.12: UPPER PENNAR PROJECT OF ANDHRA PRADESH - ACQUISITION OF SUBMERSIBLE LANDS IN MYSORE TERRITORY BY MYSORE STATE.

It was agreed that the water in the Reservoir would not be stored beyond the level of 1760, which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Govt. It was also agreed that the financial implications involved with regard to the compensation payable for the lands submerged, the construction of a bridge, etc., be furnished to the Andhra Pradesh Govt. for further action in the matter.

SUBJECT NO.13: UTILISATION IN TUNGABHADRA BASIN - WORKING TABLES OF LAKKAVALLI RESERVOIR FURNISHING BY MYSORE GOVT.

It was agreed that the matter would be further examined.

SUBJECT NO.14: INTERSTATE MATTERS - CONSTRUCTION OF NEW IRRIGATION SCHEMES ON PENNAR AND JAYAMANGALI RIVER OF MYSORE - REGARDING

Even though the construction of these irrigation works were not covered by 1892 Agreement, it was desired by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that as a general principle the details of such irrigation works which might affect the supplies to the irrigation works in the Andhra Pradesh may be furnished, if there was no objection. It was agreed that the request would be examined.

SUBJECT NO.15. INTERSTATE PROBLEMS - CONSTRUCTION OF A DAM ACROSS PENNAR AT M.GALAMADIKI VILLAGE IN MYSORE STATE.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Govt. that the Project was not yet finalised and discussion was therefore premature. It was however pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that since the Project involves submersion of areas in the Andhra Pradesh and since it also affects supplies to the North-Pennar Project already constructed lower down the Project may be taken up after the Andhra Pradesh was consulted in the matter.

p.t.o.

107

It was agreed that the reasons for diminution of supplies may be further examined and discussed again.

SUBJECT NO.21: SUPPLYING COPIES OF FILES AND OTHER DOCUMENTS IN RESPECT OF T.B.P. AS TRANSFERRED BY THE EX. MADRAS STATE TO ANDHRA PRADESH IN OCT.1963.

It was agreed that the matter would be examined by the Andhra Pradesh Govt.

SUBJECT NO.22: ALLOTMENT OF SANDI TREES TO ANDHRA PRADESH IN ~~1955-56~~ 1955x 1956-57. AND REIMBURSEMENT OF TREE TAX.

It was agreed that the matter would be ~~concluded~~ decided early with a month's time by the Andhra Pradesh.

SUBJECT NO.23: TRANSFER OF VALUE OF MACHINERY PURCHASED FROM FUNDS SANCT FOR THE TUNGABHADRA PROJECT IN RAICHUR DISTRICT BY THE ANDHRA PRADESH GOVT. UNDER SECTION 87 OF THE STATE'S REORGANISATION ACT, 1955.

It was agreed that the Andhra Pradesh would verify old records and decide the matter early.

It was also agreed to confirm the proceedings of the Meeting held on 7-4-1965 .

CONCLUSION: Summing up the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, requested that on confirmation of the proceedings of this Meeting orders of the respective Govts. regarding the various decisions arrived at this meeting may be issued by the respective Govts

CHIEF SECRETARY
~~KERALA~~ ANDHRA PRADESH GOVT.

CHIEF SECRETARY
MYSORE GOVT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING HELD AT 10-30 A.M. ON 28-4-1967 IN THE CHAMBERS OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MYSORE TO DISCUSS CERTAIN OUTSTANDING ISSUES BETWEEN GOVTS. OF MYSORE & ANDHRA PRADESH.

I. REPRESENTATIVES OF MYSORE:

(1) Shri K. Narayanaswamy, I.A.S.,
Chief Secretary to Govt. of Mysore.

(2) Shri Chandappa Patel, I.A.S.,
Secretary to Govt., of Mysore, P.W.D.

(3) Shri M.C. Antin, Joint Secretary
to Govt. of Mysore, P.W.D.

(4) Shri S.G. Balekundry, Chief Engineer
(General), Bangalore.

(5) Shri L.T. Aminbhavi,
Chief Engineer (Investigation)

(6) Shri B.M. Shafiulla,
Chief Engineer (General), M.S.E.B.

(7) M. Channappa,
Deputy Secretary (Irrgn), P.W.D.

(8) Shri S.K. Mohan,
Under Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.(Technical).

II. REPRESENTATIVES OF ANDHRA PRADESH:

(1) Shri K.N. Anantharaman, I.C.S.,
Chief Secretary to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh.

(2) Shri A. Krishnaswamy, I.A.S.,
Special Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

(3) Shri G.A. Narasimha Rao,
Addl.Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

(4) Shri P.T. Malla Reddy,
Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects.

(5) Shri M. Seetharama Shastry,
Superintending Engineer (Designs)
Nagarjunasagar Canals.,

(6) Shri K.V. Ram Mohan,
Incharge Director, Inter-State matters.

At the outset the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh thanked the Govt. of Mysore for the arrangements made with regard to holding this Meeting at such a short notice. Drawing attention to the drought situation and scarcity conditions prevailing in many parts of our country, he desired that all problems relating to the utilisation of waters which go a long way for the improvement of Agricultural Production should be viewed

P.t.o.

on a national basis. Even though most of the subjects had been discussed previously and some of them had been referred to the Govt. of India for a decision in the matter, he observed that it would be desirable that we could discuss them once again so as to see if we could find some points of agreement, so that, the matters could be amicably settled early.

The Chief Secretary to Govt. of Mysore said that there would be no objection to discussing the subjects referred to Govt. of India to find out if some solution could be ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~.arrived at.

SUBJECT NO.1:- DIVISION OF ASSETS & LIABILITIES UNDER THE COMMON PORTION OF THE T.B.P LOW LEVEL CANAL.

It was agreed to defer this problem till an acceptable principle regarding the sharing of cost of inter-state canals was enunciated by the Govt. of India.

SUBJECT NO.2:- POWER BENEFITS FROM MUNIRABAD POWER HOUSE FOR ANDHRA PRADESH.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the power generated at Munirabad was to be generally utilised in the areas lying in Tungabhadra Project and would not be used for supply to the Hyderabad area. The views expressed by the Gadkari Committee were also referred to. It was desired by the Representatives of the Andhra Pradesh Govt. that the Gadkari Committee's report should be examined. It was agreed that a copy of the Gadkari Committee's report, if available, be sent to the Andhra Pradesh Govt.

SUBJECT NO.3:- EXTENSION OF IRRIGATION FROM LEFT BANK CANAL TO AREAS IN ANDHRA PRADESH.

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh contended that the Left Bank Canal should be extended beyond the Mysore State so as to serve the areas in Gadwal and Alampur of Mahabobbnagar District. It was also.

(Contd...3)

pointed out that the then Govt. of Hyderabad in an order issued in January 1955 had mentioned the utilisation of only 72 T.M.cft. of water under the Left Bank Canal. It was explained by the Mysore Representative that according to the sanctioned Project Report of the Left Bank Canal, out of a net command of 10,80,000 acres, 5,80,000 acres were to be irrigated and that this command of 10,80,000 acres wholly lies in the Mysore State. Further, It was agreed that the Govt. Order referred to by the Andhra Representative and the relevant papers be made available to the Govt. of Mysore for examination.

SUBJECT NO.4:- FINAL SHARING OF T.B.P. WATERS AT MALLAPURAM.

This was discussed in general terms along with the other subjects relating to the Tungabhadra Project.

SUBJECT NO.5:- TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF THE RAJOLIBUNDA HEAD WORKS OF THE FIRST 27 MILES TO TUNGABHADRA BOARD.

It was agreed that the R.D.S. Anicut would be inspected in full length by the concerned Chief Engineers and the agreed share of water ensured to the Andhra Pradesh at the State Border in the R.D.S. Canals.

SUBJECT NO.6:- TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF MUNIRABAD POWER HOUSE TO TUNGABHADRA BOARD

The Andhra Pradesh Representative suggested that it was desirable to have the entire control of the release of waters both on Left and Right Banks with the Tungabhadra Board. He also indicated that the question of management and release of inter-state waters being under the control of River Boards was under the examination of the Govt. of India. It was pointed by the Mysore Representative that since the

p.t.o.

Major beneficiary under the Tungabhadra Project was Mysore State and since the Tungabhadra Dam lies in the Mysore State, the control of the Dam for regulation of waters should rest with the Mysore Govt. and that the Andhra Pradesh share of waters would be assured by Mysore State as is being done in the case of Cauvery Waters to Madras State at K.R.Sagar.

SUBJECT NO.7:- IRRIGATION - ANDHRA PRADESH - LEAKS TO AGALI ANICUT - REGARDING.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore State would inspect the Anicut and suggest within a period of six months necessary remedial measures.

SUBJECT NO.8:- IRRIGATION - MYSORE STATE - CONSTRUCTION OF AN ANICUT ACROSS CHITRAVATHI RIVER NEAR TIRUMANI AND OPENING NEW FEEDER CHANNEL TO FEED 7 TANKS - DEFICIENCY IN BUKKARAYANAM TANK OF ANDHRA PRADESH.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Andhra Pradesh may write to the Chief Engineer of Mysore and inspect the site and satisfy himself, that

(1) the anicut had not been raised;
(2) the Left Bank Canal had not been increased in capacity; and
(3) the Right Bank Feeder Channels had not been constructed.

SUBJECT NO.9:- TANKS IN HINDUPUR TALUK - LEPAKSHI VILLAGE - INVESTIGATION OF SUPPLIES - RAISING THE WEIR OF NAGARKERE TANK BY MYSORE.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Tank had been restored to its original capacity and that the restoration works had been carried out with the concurrence of the then Madras State, and therefore it was not necessary to furnish any details to Andhra Pradesh. It was however agreed that the present level of the weir with reference to its level before the restoration be intimated to Andhra Pradesh.

(Contd...5)

SUBJECT NO.10:- INTERSTATE PROBLEMS - ANANTHAPUR DISTRICT TAMADIHALLI TANK - INTERCEPTION OF SUPPLIES - REGARDING

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that only 13 small Kattes out of the total 31 Kattes are providing facilities for irrigation from wells constructed behind the Kattes and the total area irrigated was only about 49 acres. Since the Kattes have been in existence since long and have been constructed by land-owners as a measure of soil conservation no water is stored by these Kattes. The Representative from Andhra Pradesh desired that the regular flow in the streams was not diverted by these Kattes and the flow to the lower tanks was not abstracted. It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore would ~~accept~~ inspect all these works and forward a detailed report to the Govt for further action in the matter.

SUBJECT NO.11:- INTERSTATE WATERS - MYSORE STATE DIVERSION OF WATERS OF JAYAMANGALI RIVER AT RAMPUR ANICUT TO FEED RAMPUR TANK.

It was agreed that the two Chief Engineers may inspect and select a site for conducting the gaugings.

SUBJECT NO.12:- UPPER PENNAR PROJECT OF ANDHRA PRADESH - ACQUISITION OF SUBMERSIBLE LANDS IN MYSORE TERRITORY BY MYSORE STATE.

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh pointed out that his Govt. had agreed not to store water in the Reservoir beyond 1760, the level which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Govt. The Representative from Mysore desired to know as to how the Andhra Pradesh Govt. would operate and control the Reservoir so as to limit the Reservoir level to 1760 when the present full reservoir level as constructed is 1763. It was agreed by the Andhra representative that these details would be furnished and that the water in the reservoir would not be stored beyond

p.t.o.

the level of 1760, which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Govt. It was also agreed that the financial implications involved with regard to the compensation payable for the lands submerged, the construction of a bridge, etc., be furnished to the Andhra Pradesh Govt. for further action in the matter.

SUBJECT NO.13:- UTILISATION IN TUNGABHADRA BASIN - WORKING TABLE OF BANKAVALLI RESERVOIR FURNISHING BY MYSORE GOVT.

It was agreed that the matter would be further examined.

SUBJECT NO.14:- INTER-STATE MATTERS - CONSTRUCTION OF NEW IRRIGATION SCHEMES ON PENNAR AND JAYAMANGALI RIVER OF MYSORE - REGARDING.

Even though the construction of these irrigation works were not covered by 1892 Agreement, it was desired by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that as a general principle the details of such irrigation works which might affect supplies to the irrigation works in the Andhra Pradesh may be furnished, if there was no objection. It was agreed that the request would be examined.

SUBJECT NO.15:- INTER-STATE PROBLEMS - CONSTRUCTION OF A DAM ACROSS PENNAR AT NAGALAMADIKA VILLAGE IN MYSORE STATE.

It was pointed out by the Mysore representative that the Project was not yet finalised and discussion ~~were~~ therefore premature. It was however pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that since the Project involves submersion of areas in the Andhra Pradesh and since it also affects supplies to the North Pennar Project already constructed lower down the Project may be taken up after the Andhra Pradesh was consulted in the matter.

(Contd....7)

SUBJECT NO.16:- IRRIGATION - CONSTRUCTION OF GAYATHRI RESERVOIR ACROSS SUVARNAMUKI RIVER BY MYSORE WHICH AFFECTS SUPPLIES TO BHAIRAVANI THIPIA PROJECT IN ANDHRA PRADESH.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Gayathri Reservoir was constructed across the Suvarnavathi River which was a non-scheduled stream and that it was not necessary for the Mysore Govt. to furnish any particulars to Andhra Pradesh Govt. It was explained by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the construction of the Gayathri Reservoir and the Narayanapur Anicut lower down affect supplies to Bhairavani Thippa Reservoir in Andhra Pradesh, and therefore it was desired that the details regulation of water at Narayanapur Anicut be furnished to them as agreed to by the two Chief Engineers in 1954. It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Andhra Pradesh Govt. had constructed the Bhairavani Thippa Reservoir for storing water for a level higher than what was originally agreed to without the concurrence of Mysore Govt. and has not ~~been~~ furnished the original Project Report in spite of repeated request. It was agreed that the matter would be examined again.

SUBJECT NO.17:- ~~IRRIGATION~~ IRRIGATION - ANANTHAPUR DISTRICT - MADAKASIRA TALUK RAISING THE WASTEWEIR OF MADALUR TANK IN MYSORE STATE.

It was agreed that if there was any additional submersion the same would be examined and further action taken to see that no damage was caused to lands in Andhra Pradesh.

SUBJECT NO.18:- IRRIGATION - MAJOR - UPPER KRISHNA PROJECT EXTENSION OF BENEFITS TO GADWAL AND ALAMPUR TALUKS.

It was pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the Upper-Krishna Project which was under investigation in the then Hyderabad State contemplated irrigation from the Upper-Krishna to Gadwal and Alampur areas in Mehaboobnagar District and that these areas be included in the present Upper-Krishna Project of Mysore State.

p.t.o.

It was explained by the Mysore Representative that owing to the Re-organisation of States, ~~Bijapur~~ Bijapur District which was one of the chronically drought affected areas had come to Mysore State and it was incumbent on Mysore Govt. to provide irrigation facilities to that area and further since the percentage of irrigation in Mysore State being very low, it may not be possible for Mysore to provide irrigation to areas outside the Mysore State. It was agreed to leave this issue at that stage.

SUBJECT NO.19:- REHABILITATION IN T.B.P. PROJECT AREA.

It was agreed that the matter would be placed before the Andhra Pradesh Govt. for consideration.

SUBJECT NO.20:- SHORTAGE OF SUPPLIES TO SIPHON CHANNELS ON RIVER IN HAGARI IN BELLARY DISTRICT MYSORE STATE ON CONSTRUCTION OF BHAIRAVANI-THIPPA PROJECT ON THE RIVER HAGARI ON UPPER REACHES IN ANDHRA PRADESH.

It was agreed that the reasons for diminution of supplies may be further examined and discussed again.

SUBJECT NO.21:- SUPPLYING COPIES OF FILES AND OTHER DOCUMENTS IN RESPECT OF T.B.P. AS TRANSFERRED BY THE EX-MADRAS STATE TO ANDHRA PRADESH IN OCTOBER 1963.

It was agreed that the matter would be examined by the Andhra Pradesh Govt.

SUBJECT NO.22:- ALLOTMENT OF SEND I THE S TO ANDHRA PRADESH IN 1956-57 AND REIMBURSEMENT OF THE TAX.

It was agreed that the matter would be decided early by the Andhra Pradesh.

SUBJECT NO.23:- TRANSFER OF VALUE OF MACHINERY PURCHASED FROM FUNDS MEANT FOR THE TUNGABHADRA PROJECT IN RAICHUR DISTRICT BY THE ANDHRA PRADESH GOVT. UNDER SECTION 87 OF THE STATE'S STATE'S REORGANISATION ACT, 1956.

It was agreed that the Andhra Pradesh would verify old records and decide the matter early.

(Contd....9)

It was also agreed to confirm the Proceedings of the Meeting held on 7-4-1965.

CONCLUSION:- Summing up the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, requested that on confirmation of the Proceedings of this Meeting orders of the respective Govts. regarding the various decisions arrived at this Meeting may be issued by the respective Govts.

CHIEF SECRETARY,
ANDHRA PRADESH GOVT.

CHIEF SECRETARY,
MYSORE GOVT.

Draft.

No.PWD 6 BRA 66

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt.
P.W.D., Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:- Inter-State problems - discussions on certain outstanding issues, etc.,

---

I am directed to enclose ~~herein~~ in duplicate the Draft Proceedings of the Meeting held on 28-4-1967 in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Govt. of Mysore between the representatives of the Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh for your kind information and return ~~with~~ one copy of the draft proceedings duly confirmed

Yours faithfully,

Secretary to Govt.,
P.W. & Electy.Dept.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PD 6 BRA 66.

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,

Dated, Bangalore. ~~20th~~ 23rd June, ...1967

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works & Electy. Dept.

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Public Works Department,
Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:- Inter-State problems - discussions on certain outstanding issues, etc.,

---

I am directed to enclose in duplicate the Draft Proceedings of the Meeting held on 28-4-1967 in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore between the Representatives of the Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh for your kind information and return of one copy of the draft proceedings duly confirmed.

Yours faithfully,

(Chandappa Patel)
Secretary to Government,
Public Works & Electy. Dept

cn/

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING HELD AT 10-30 [illegible]
ON 28-4-1967 IN THE CHAMBERS OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE TO DISCUSS CERTAIN OUTSTANDING
ISSUES BETWEEN GOVERNMENTS OF MYSORE AND ANDHRA PRADESH

I. REPRESENTATIVES OF MYSORE.

Shriyuths:-

1. K. Narayanaswamy, I.A.S.,
   Chief Secretary to Govt. of Mysore.

2. Chandappa Patel, I.A.S.,
   Secretary to Govt. of Mysore, P.W.D.

3. M.C. Antin, Joint Secretary to Govt.,of Mysore
   P.W.D.

4. S.G. Balekundry, Chief Engineer (General),
   in Mysore, Bangalore.

5. B.M. Shafiulla, Chief Engineer (General),
   M.S.E.B., Bangalore.

6. L.T. Aminbhavi, Chief Engineer (Investigation)
   in Mysore. Bangalore.

7. M. Channappa, Deputy Secretary to Govt.,
   of Mysore, P.W.D. (Irrigation).

8. S.K. Mohan, Under Secretary to Govt.of Mysore,
   P.W.D. (Technical).

II. REPRESENTATIVES OF ANDHRA PRADESH:

1. K.N. Anantharaman, I.C.S.,
   Chief Secretary to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh.

2. A. Krishnaswamy, I.A.S.,
   Special Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

3. G.A. Narasimha Rao, Additional Secretary
   to Govt., P.W.D.

4. P.T. Malla Reddy, Chief Engineer,
   Irrigation Projects.

5. M. Seetharama Shastry, Superintending Engineer
   (Designs), Nagarjunasagar Canals.

6. K.V. Ram Mohan, Incharge Director,
   Inter-State matters.

At the outset the Chief Secretary, [illegible]
thanked the Govt. of Mysore for the arran[illegible]
with regard to holding this Meeting at su[illegible]
notice. Drawing attention to the drough[illegible]
and scarcity conditions prevailing in man[illegible]
our country, he desired that all problems[illegible]
to the utilisation of waters which go a[illegible]

the improvement of Agricultural production should be viewed on a national basis. Even though most of the subjects had been discussed previously and some of them had been referred to the Govt. of India for a decision in the matter, he observed that it would be desirable that we could discuss them once again so as to see if we could find some points of agreement, so that, the matters could be amicably settled early.

The Chief Secretary to Govt. of Mysore said that there would be no objection to discussing the subjects referred to Govt. of India to find out if some solution could be arrived at.

SUBJECT NO.1:- DIVISION OF ASSETS & LIABILITIES OF K.B.C. & U.B.C. PORTION OF THE T.B.P. LOW LEVEL CANAL.

It was agreed to defer this problem till an acceptable principle regarding the sharing of cost of inter-state canals was enunciated by the Govt. of India.

JECT NO.2:- POWER BENEFITS FROM MUNIRABAD POWER HOUSE FOR ANDHRA PRADESH.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative the power generated at Munirabad was to be ally utilised in the areas lying in Tungabhadra pt and would not be used for supply to the bad area. The views expressed by the Gadkari tee were also referred to. It was desired by epresentatives of the Andhra Pradesh Govt. that the ri Committee's report should be examined. It was that a copy of the Gadkari Committee's report, ilable, be sent to the Andhra Pradesh Govt.

T NO.3:- EXTENSION OF IRRIGATION FROM LEFT BANK CANAL TO AREAS IN ANDHRA PRADESH.

e Representative of Andhra Pradesh contended Left Bank Canal should be extended beyond the te so as to serve the areas in Gadwal and

Alampur of Mahaboobnagar District. It was also pointed out that the then Govt. of Hyderabad in an order issued in January 1955 had mentioned the utilisation of only 72 T.M.Cft. of water under the Left Bank Canal. It was explained by the Mysore Representative that according to the sanctioned Project Report of the Left Bank Canal, out of a net command of 10,80,000 acres, 5,80,000 acres were to be irrigated and that this command of 10,80,000 acres wholly lies in the Mysore State. It was agreed that the Govt. Order referred to by the Andhra Representative and the relevant papers be made available to the Govt. of Mysore for examination.

FINAL SHARING OF T.B.P. WATERS AT MALLAPURAM.

This was discussed in general terms along with the other subjects relating to the Tungabhadra Project.

TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF THE RAJOLIBUNDA HEAD WORKS OF THE FIRST 27 MILES TO TUNGABHADRA BOARD.

It was agreed that the R.D.S. Anicut would be inspected in full length by the concerned Chief Engineers and the agreed share of water ensured to the Andhra Pradesh at the State Border in the R.D.S. Canal.

TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF MUNIRABAD POWER HOUSE TO TUNGABHADRA BOARD.

The Andhra Pradesh Representative suggested that it was desirable to have the entire control of the release of waters both on Left and Right Banks with the Tungabhadra Board. He also indicated that the question of management and release of inter-state waters being under the control of River Boards was under the examination of the Govt. of India. It was pointed by the Mysore Representative that since the major beneficiary under the Tungabhadra Project was Mysore State and since the Tungabhadra Dam lies in the Mysore State, the control of the Dam for

p.t.o

regulation of waters should rest with the Mysore Govt. and that the Andhra Pradesh share of waters would be assured by Mysore State as is being done in the case of Cauvery Waters to Madras State at K.R. Sagar.

SUBJECT NO.7:- IRRIGATION - ANDHRA PRADESH - REPAIRS TO ANICUT ACROSS H.E.CHUNCHI.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore State would inspect the Anicut and suggest within a period of six months necessary remedial measures.

SUBJECT NO. 8: IRRIGATION - WORKS OF A.P. - CONSTRUCTION OF AN ANICUT ACROSS CHITRAVATHI RIVER NEAR TIRUMANI AND DEEPENING OF FEEDER CHANNEL TO CHED 7 KMS - DEFICIENCY IN BUKKAPATNAM E.T. OF ANDHRA PRADESH.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Andhra Pradesh may write to the Chief Engineer of Mysore and inspect the site and satisfy himself, that

(1) the anicut had not been raised;
(2) the Left Bank Canal had not been increased in capacity; and
(3) the Right Bank Feeder Channels had not been constructed.

SUBJECT NO.9:- TANK AT HINDUPUR TOWN - LEPAKSHI VILLAGE - INTERSTATE ISSUE OF SUPPLIES - RAISING THE BUND OF MADHAVAR TANK AT MYSORE

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Tank had been restored to its original capacity and that the restoration works had been carried out with the concurrence of the then Madras State, and therefore it was not necessary to furnish any details to Andhra Pradesh. It was however agreed that the present level of the weir with reference to its level before the restoration be intimated to Andhra Pradesh.

(Contd....5)

It was also agreed to confirm the Proceedings of the Meeting held on 7-4-1965.

CONCLUSION:- Summing up the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, requested that on confirmation of the Proceedings of this Meeting Orders of the respective Governments regarding the various decisions arrived at this Meeting may be issued by the respective Governments.

CHIEF SECRETARY,
ANDHRA PRADESH GOVT

(Sd) Chandrappa Patil
CHIEF SECRETARY,
MYSORE GOVT.

Approved by Minister.

A.Krishnaswami, I.A.S.,
Spl.Secretary to Government.

Public Works Department,
Hyderabad.

D.O.Letter No.5009-I2/63-70 Dated: August 21, 1967.

Dear Sri Chandappa Patel.

Sub:-Interstate Problems - Discussions on certain outstanding issues - Proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-1967 - Issue of - Regarding.

Ref:-Your letter No.PWD.6.BRA.66 dt. 23-6-1967. P.118

-.-.-

Please refer to your letter cited.

2. I herewith return a copy of the draft Proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-1967 duly confirmed with slight addition under item 3.

3. After due confirmation from the Government of Mysore to the slight modification suggested by us, the final proceedings will be issued.

with kind regards

Yours

(A. KRISHNASWAMI)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT No.D0.595 Date 25 AUG 1967 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPT.

Sri Chandappa Patel, I.A.S.,
Secretary to Govt.of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

AK/-
21/8.

Proceedings of the Meeting held at 10.30 A.M. on 28..4..1967 in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore to discuss certain outstanding issues between Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh.

-:oOo:-

I. Representatives of Mysore.

Shriyuths:-

1. K. Narayanaswamy, I.A.S., Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore.
2. Chandappa Patel, I.A.S., Secretary to Government of Mysore. P.W.D.
3. M.C. Antin, Joint Secretary to Govt. of Mysore. Public Works Department.
4. S.G. Balekundry, Chief Engineer (General) in Mysore, Bangalore.
5. B.M. Shafiulla, Chief Engineer (General), M.S.E.B.. Bangalore.
6. L.T. Aminbhavi, Chief Engineer (Investigation), in Mysore, Bangalore.
7. M. Channappa, Deputy Secfetary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D. (Irrigation).
8. S.K. Mohan, Under Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D. (Technical).

II. Representatives of Andhra Pradesh

1. K.N. Anantaraman, I.C.S., Chief Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh.
2. A.Krishnaswamy, I.A.S., Special Secretary to Government, P.W.D.
3. G.A. Narasimha Rao, Additional Secretary to Government, P.W.D.
4. P.T. Malla Reddy, Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects.
5. M. Seetharama Shastry, Superintending Engineer (Designs) Nagarjunasagar Canals.
6. K.V. Ram Mohan, In-charge Director, Interstate matters.

-:oOo:-

At the outset the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh thanked the Government of Mysore for the arrangements made with regard to holding this Meeting at such a short notice. Drawing attention to the drought situation and scarcity conditions prevailing in many parts of our country, he desired that all problems relating to the utilisation of waters which go a long way for the improvement of Agricultural Production should be viewed on a national basis. Even though most of the subjects had been discussed previously and some of them had been referred to the Government of India for a

Contdd.....p.2.

decision in the matter, he observed that it would be desirable that we could discuss them once again so as to see if we could find some points of agreement. so that, the matters could be amicably settled early.

The Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore said that there would be no objection to discussing the subjects referred to Government of India to find out if some solution could be arrived at.

Subject No.1: Division of assets and liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal.

It was agreed to defer this problem till an acceptable principle regarding the sharing of cost of interstate canals was enunciated by the Government of India.

Subject No.2: Power benefits from Munirabad Power House for Andhra Pradesh.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the power generated at Munirabad was to be generally utilised in the areas lying in Tungabhadra Project and would not be used for supply to the Hyderabad area. The views expressed by the Gadkari Committee were also referred to. It was desired by the Representatives of the Andhra Pradesh Government that the Gadkari Committee's report should be examined. It was agreed that a copy of the Gadkari Committee's report, if available, be sent to the Andhra Pradesh Government.

Subject No.3: Extension of irrigation from left bank Canal to areas in Andhra Pradesh.

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh contended that the Left Bank Canal should be extended beyond the Mysore State so as to serve the areas in Gadwal and Alampur of Mahaboobnagar district. It was also pointed out that the then Government of Hyderabad in an order issued in January 1955 had mentioned the utilisation of only 72 T.M.Cft. of water under the Left Bank Canal. It was explained by the Mysore Representative that according to the sanctioned Project Report of the Left Bank Canal, out of a net command of 10,80,000 acres, 5,80,000 acres

Contd...p.3.

were to be irrigated and that this command of 10,80,000 acres wholly lies in the Mysore State. It was agreed that the Government Order referred to by the Andhra Representative and the relevant papers be made available to the Government of Mysore for examination and Government of Mysore may also make available to Andhra Pradesh for study Gadkari Committee report, if available.

Subject No.4: Final sharing of Tungabhadra Project waters at Mallapuram.

This was discussed in general terms along with the other subjects relating to the Tungabhadra Project.

Subject No.5: Transfer of control of the Rajolibunda Head Works of the First 27 miles to Tungabhadra Board.

It was agreed that the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Anicut would be inspected in full length by the concerned Chief Engineers and the agreed share of water ensured to the Andhra Pradesh at the State Border in the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Canal.

Subject No.6: Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tungabhadra Board.

The Andhra Pradesh Representative suggested that it was desirable to have the entire control of the release of waters both on Left and Right Banks with the Tungabhadra Board. He also indicated that the question of management and release of interstate waters being under the control of River Boards was under the examination of the Government of India. It was pointed by the Mysore Representative that since the major beneficiary under the Tungabhadra Project was Mysore State and since the Tungabhadra Dam lies in the Mysore state, the control of the Dam for regulation of waters should rest with the Mysore Government and that the Andhra Pradesh share of waters would be assured by Mysore State as is being done in the case of Cauvery Waters to Madras State at K.R. Sagar.

Contd....p.4.

Subject No.7: Irrigation - Andhra Pradesh - Repairs to Agali anicut - Regarding.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore State would inspect the anicut and suggest within a period of six months necessary remedial measures.

Subject No.8: Irrigation - Mysore State - Construction of an anicut across Chitravathi river near Tirumani and opening new feeder channel to feed 7 tanks - Deficiency in Bukkapatnam tank of Andhra Pradesh.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Andhra Pradesh may write to the Chief Engineer of Mysore and inspect the site and satisfy himself; that,

1) the anicut had not been raised;
2) the Left Bank Canal had not been increased in capacity; and
3) the Right Bank Feeder Channels had not been constructed.

Subject No.9: Tanks in Hindupur taluk - Lepakshi Village Investigation of supplies - Raising the weir of Nagarkere tank by Mysore.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the tank had been restored to its original capacity and that the restoration works had been carried out with the concurrence of the then Madras State, and therefore it was not necessary to furnish any details to Andhra Pradesh. It was however agreed that the present level of the weir with reference to its level before the restoration be intimated to Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.10: Interstate Problems - Anantapur district - Tamadihalli tank - Interception of supplies - Regarding.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that only 13 small Kattes out of the total 31 Kattes are providing facilities for irrigation from wells constructed behind the Kattes and the total area irrigated was only about 49 acres. Since the Kattes have been in existence since long and have been constructed by land-owners as a measure of soil conservation no water is stored by these Kattes. The Representative from Andhra Pradesh desired to know that the regular flow in the streams was not diverted by these Kattes

Contd...p.5.

and the flow to the lower tanks was not abstracted. It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore would inspect all these works and forward a detailed report to the Government for further action in the matter.

Subject No.11: Interstate waters - Mysore State - Diversion of waters of Jayamangali river at Rampur anicut to feed Rampur tank.

It was agreed that the two Chief Engineers may inspect and select a site for conducting the gaugings.

Subject No.12: Upper Pennar Project of Andhra Pradesh - Acquisition of submersible lands in Mysore Territory by Mysore State.

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh pointed out that his Government had agreed not to store water in the Reservoir beyond 1760, the level which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Government. The Representative from Mysore desired to know as to how the Andhra Pradesh Government would operate and control the Reservoir so as to limit the Reservoir level to 1760 when the present full reservoir level as constructed is 1763. It was agreed by the Andhra Representative that these details would be furnished and that the water in the reservoir would not be stored beyond the level of 1760, which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Government. It was also agreed that the financial implications involved with regard to the compensation payable for the lands submerged, the construction of a bridge, etc., be furnished to the Andhra Pradesh Government for further action in the matter.

Subject No.13: Utilisation in Tungabhadra Basin - Working Table of Lakkavalli Reservoir - Furnishing by Mysore Government.

It was agreed that the matter would be further examined.

Subject No.14: Interstate matters - Construction of new Irrigation Schemes on Pennar and Jayamangali river of Mysore - Regarding.

Even though the construction of these irrigation works were not covered by 1892 Agreement, it was desired by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that as a general principle the details of such irrigation works which might affect supplies

to the irrigation works in the Andhra Pradesh may be furnished, if there was no objection. It was agreed that the request would be examined.

Subject No.15: Interstate Problems - Construction of a dam across Pennar at Nagalamadike Village in Mysore State.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Project was not yet finalised and discussion was therefore premature. It was however pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that since the Project involves submersion of areas in the Andhra Pradesh and since it also affects supplies to the North Pennar Project already constructed lower down the Project may be taken up after the Andhra Pradesh was consulted in the matter.

Subject No.16: Irrigation - Construction of Gayathri Reservoir across Suvarnamuki river by Mysore which affects supplies to Bhairavanithippa Project in Andhra Pradesh.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Gayathri Reservoir was constructed across the Suvarnavathi River which was a non-scheduled stream and that it was not necessary for the Mysore Government to furnish any particulars to Andhra Pradesh Government. It was explained by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the construction of the Gayathri Reservoir and the Narayanapur anicut lower down, after supplies to Bhairavanithippa Reservoir in Andhra Pradesh, and therefore it was desired that the details of regulation of water at Narayanapur anicut be furnished to them as agreed to by the two Chief Engineers in 1954. It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Andhra Pradesh Government had constructed the Bhairavanithippa Reservoir for storing water for a level higher than what was originally agreed to without the concurrence of Mysore Government and has not furnished the original Project Report in spite of repeated request. It was agreed that the matter would be examined again.

Contd...p.7.

Subject No.17: Irrigation - Anantapur district - Madakasira Taluk - Raising the waste weir of Madalur tank in Mysore State.

It was agreed that if there was any additional submersion the same would be examined and further action taken to see that no damage was caused to lands in Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.18: Irrigation - Major - Upper Krishna Project Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur Taluks.

It was pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the Upper-Krishna Project which was under investigation in the then Hyderabad State contemplated irrigation from the Upper-Krishna to Gadwal and Alampur areas in Mehboobnagar district and that these areas be included in the present Upper-Krishna Project of Mysore State.

It was explained by the Mysore Representative that owing to the Re-organisation of states, Bijapur district which was one of the chronically drought affected areas had come to Mysore state and it was incumbent on Mysore Government to provide irrigation facilities to that area and further since the per - centage of irrigation in Mysore State being very low, it may not be possible for Mysore to provide irrigation to areas out side the Mysore State. It was agreed to leave this issue at that stage.

Subject No.19: Rehabilitation in Tungabhadra Project area.

It was agreed that the matter would be placed before the Andhra Pradesh Government for consideration.

Subject No.20: Shortage of supplies to spring channels on river in Hagari in Bellary district Mysore State on construction of Bhairavani-thippa Project on the river Hagari on Upper reaches in Andhra Pradesh.

It was agreed that the reasons for dimunition of supplies may be further examined and discussed again.

Subject No.21: Supplying copies of files and other documents in respect of Tungabhadra Project as transferred by the Ex.Madras state to Andhra Pradesh in October 1963.

It was agreed that the matter would be examined by the Andhra Pradesh Government.

Subject No.22: Allotment of Sendi trees to Andhra Pradesh in 1956-57 and reimbursement of tree tax.

It was agreed that the matter would be decided early by the Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.23: Transfer of value of Machinery purchased from funds meant for the Tungabhadra Project in Raichur district by the Andhra Pradesh Government under section 87 of the State's Reorganisation Act, 1955.

It was agreed that the Andhra Pradesh would verify old records and decide the matter early.

It was also agreed to confirm the Proceedings of the Meeting held on 7..4..1965.

Conclusion: Summing up the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, requested that on confirmation of the Proceedings of this Meeting, Orders of the respective Governments regarding the various decisions arrived at this Meeting may be issued by the respective Governments.

Sd./ A. Krishnaswami
for Chief Secretary,
Andhra Pradesh Government.

Sd./Chandappa Patel,
for Chief Secretary,
Mysore Government.

(TRUE COPY)

(D. VENKATADRI)
ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

Draft.

D.O.NO.PWD 6 BRA 66

Dear Shri Krishnaswamy,

Sub:- Inter-State Problems - Discussions on certain outstanding issues - Proceedings of the Meeting held on 28-4-1967 - Issue of Regarding.

P.26

Kindly refer to your D.O.letter No.5009-I2/63-70 dated 21st August 1967.

It is seen that the addition proposed under Subject No.3 by you is already covered by the last sentence occurring under Subject No.2. As such, it is felt that the proposad additional sentence under Subject No.2 may perhaps be redundant. In case, it is felt necessary that this additional sentence under Subject No.3 has to be ~~ob~~ne tained, there is no objection for the same. Kindly confirm and furnish the Official Proceedings so that necessary instruction may be given to the concerned Officers for taking further action in implementing the decisions arrived at in this Meeting.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

(Secretary)

Shri A. Krishnaswamy, I.A.S.,
Special Secretary to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
P.W.D., Hyderabad.

cn/

Draft.

D.O. No. PWD 6 BRA 66

Dear Shri.....

Subject:- Interstate Problems - discussions on certain outstanding issues - Proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-1967 - Issue of - regarding.

Please refer to your letter No. D.O.No. 5009-12/63-70 dated 21-8-1967 on the above subject.

The addition proposed under subject No. 3 by you is already covered by the last sentence occuring under subject No.2. However this Government have no objection for the same.

The minor modification in terms of the proceedings ~~with the above changes~~ have been since accepted by this Government, necessary further action may please be taken to implement the decisions taken in the above proceedings. We are also taking suitable action in the matter.

With Kind regards.

Yours sincerely,

(Secretary)

To,
Shri A.Krishnaswami, I.A.S.,
Spl. Secretary to Government of A.P.
P.W.D.
Hyderabad.

Secretary to Government of Mysore

Mdgeraopag.

Sgt. Secretary to Government P.W.D.
Dt. ...
To:

(Govt. Lett).

Forld or'c'ly.

Grade Proceedings with the above enclos-
ure Letter from Engineer-in-Chief, P.W.D.
dated Bangalore the 3rd June 1922 on the subject noted
in the margin, forwarding the application dated 31st May
1922 from Mr. Thomas Arthur Brodbent
Asst. Engineer, Public Works Department
for the same.

2. It is not considered necessary to call for
the opinion of the Executive Engineer, however this Government have no objection
to the proposed transfer of the said Mr. Brodbent to the Mysore Circle.

Forld Dir.

Subject:- Transfer of Mr. Thomas Arthur Brodbent
Asst. Engineer, from Bangalore
Division to Mysore Division.

Read ...

G.O. No. 3?3 3 Dt/ 6.

139

Chandappa Patel,
SECRETARY

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
P. W. AND ELECL. DEPARTMENT,
BANGALORE,

Dated.....13/14 Septr.....*196* 7

D.O.No.PWD 6 BNA 66

Dear Shri

Sub:-Inter-state problems - discussions on certain outstanding issues -- proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-1967 -- Issue of - regarding.

Please refer to your letter No.5009-12/63-70 dated 21-8-1967 on the above subject.

The minor modification in item 3 of the proceedings has been since accepted by this Govt. Necessary further action may please be taken to implement the decisions taken in the above proceedings. We are also taking suitable action in the matter.

Issued
Dt. 13/9/67

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

Shri A.Krishnaswami, IAS.,
Special Secretary to Govt. of
Andhra Pradesh, P.W.D.
Hyderabad.

(140

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT... Inter-State problems - discussions on certain outstanding issues - proceedings of the meeting held on 28.6.1967 - Issue of final proceedings -

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the 196.

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

N.

To

The Chief Engineer (PP) Nlne
The Chief Engineer Irrigation South -
The Chief Engineer Irrigation North
The Chief Engineer Investigation & Projects - Nlne
The Chief Engineer (T&CB). Nlne (Aem)
The Deputy Secretary to GOI (PD) Urban & Londe. Nlne

Sir

I am directed to forward herewith for necessary action a copy of the proceedings of the meeting held on 28.6.1967 in the chamber of the Chief Secretary to Govt. Mysore relating to outstanding issues between Govt. of Mysore and

Encl: Pages 129-136. (Proceedings)

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 012116—GPB—4,00,000—7-3-63

P.T.O

Andhra Pradesh ~~has been duly accepted by both the Houses~~
~~in this behalf~~
~~Action taken may please be~~
~~informed~~.

7. 2

4
a/s Copy (T).
9/ Mr Alex Dept

Copy with a Copy of the Proceedings as
forwarded to the

1) GSD (Mr Bihar)
2) S. I.
3) Mines Hyderabad
4) Mysore Bangalore
5) Secretariat Lucknow
6) Power Projects Calcutta
7) Spare copy.

In lieu of necessary action in so far
as the subject-matter concerns them

4
a/s Copy
Mr Alex (T)

12

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
'VIDHANA SOUDHA'
BANGALORE, DATED: 21st-9-1967.

No.PWD 6 BRA 66.

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department.

To

The Chief Engineer(General), Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects(South), Bangalore
The Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects(North), Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer, Investigation of Projects, Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer, ~~M&RR~~, General, Bangalore. /M.S.E.T.
The Deputy Secretary, GAD(Political), Vidhana Soudha',
Bangalore.

Sir,

Sub:-Inter State problems - discussions on certain outstanding issues - Proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-1967 - Issue of final proceedings -

---

I am directed to forward herewith for necessary action a copy of the proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-1967 in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore, relating to outstanding issues between Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh.

O/C

Yours faithfully,

(S.K.Mohan)
Under Secretary to Government,
P.W.D(Technical).

Copy with a copy of the Proceedings is forwarded to:-

1.GAD(Political).
2.General Irrigation. P.W.D.,
3.Minor Irrigation, PWD.
4.Major Irrigation, PWD.
5.Electrical Section. P.W.D.,
6.Power Projects Section. PWD.
~~7.Spare copies~~

for taking necessary action in so far as the subjects pertaining to them.

(S.K.Mohan)
Under Secretary to Govt.,
P.W.D(Techl.).

Proceedings of the Meeting held at 10.30 A.M. on 28-4-67 in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore to discuss certain outstanding issues between Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh.

I.Representatives of Mysore

Shriyuts:-

1. K.Narayanaswamy, I.A.S., Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore.
2. Chandappa Patel, I.A.S., Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D.
3. M.C.Antin, Joint Secretary to Government of Mysore, Public Works Department.
4. S.G.Balekundry, Chief Engineer (General) in Mysore, Bangalore.
5. B.M.Shafiulla, Chief Engineer (General), M.S.E.B., Bangalore
6. L.T.Aminbhavi, Chief Engineer (Investigation), in Mysore, Bangalore.
7. M.Channappa, Deputy Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D. (Irrigation).
8. S.K.Mohan, Under Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D. (Technical).

II.Representatives of Andhra Pradesh.

1. K.N.Anantaram, I.C.S., Chief Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh.
2. A.Krishnaswamy, I.A.S., Special Secretary to Government, P.W.D.
3. G.A. Narasimha Rao, Additional Secretary to Government, Public Works Deprtment.
4. P.T.Malla Reddy, Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects.
5. M.Seetharama Shastry, Superintending Engineer, (Designs) Nagarjunasagar Canals.
6. K.V.Ram Mohan, In-charge Director, Interstate matters.

At the outset the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh thanked the Government of Mysore for the arrangements made with regard to holding this Meeting at such a short notice. Drawing attention to the drought situation and scarcity conditions prevailing in many parts of our country, he desired that all problems relating to the utilisation of waters which go a long way for the improvement of Agricultural Production should be viewed on a national basis. Even though most of the subjects had been discussed previously and some of them had been referred to the Government of India for a ...

... Contd..p.2.

decision in the matter, he observed that it would be desirable that we could discuss them once again so as to see if we could find some points of agreement, so that, the matters could be amicably settled early.

The Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore said that there would be no objection to discussing the subjects referred to Government of India to find out if some solution could be arrived at.

Subject No.1: Division of assets and liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal.

It was agreed to defer this problem till an acceptable principle regarding the sharing of cost of interstate canals was enunciated by the Government of India.

Subject No.2: Power benefits from Munirabad Power House for Andhra Pradesh.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the power generated at Munirabad was to be generally utilised in the areas lying in Tungabhadra Project and would not be used for supply to the Hyderabad area. The views expressed by the Gadkari Committee were also referred to. It was desired by the Representatives of the Andhra Pradesh Government that the Gadkari Committee's report should be examined. It was agreed that a copy of the Gadkari Committee's report, if available, be sent to the Andhra Pradesh Government.

Subject No.3: Extension of irrigation from left bank Canal to areas in Andhra Pradesh.

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh contended that the Left Bank Canal should be extended beyond the Mysore State so as to serve the areas in Gadwal and Alampur of Mahaboobnagar district. It was also pointed out that the then Government of Hyderabad in an order issued in January 1955 had sanctioned the utilisation of only 72 T.M.Cft. of water under the Left Bank Canal. It was explained by the Mysore Representative that according to the sanctioned Project Report of the Left Bank Canal, out of a net command of 10,80,000 acres, 5,80,000 acres

Contd....P..3

Kaksh/-

were to be irrigated and that this command of 10,30,000 acres wholly lies in the Mysore State. It was agreed that the Government Order referred to by the Andhra Representative and the relevant papers be made available to the Government of Mysore for examination and Government of Mysore may also make available to Andhra Pradesh for study Gadkari Committee report, if available.

Subject No.4: Final sharing of Tungabhadra Project waters at Nallapuram.

This was discussed in general terms along with the other subjects relating to the Tungabhadra Project.

Subject No.5: Transfer of control of the Rajolibunda Head Works of the First 27 miles to Tungabhadra Board.

It was agreed that the Rajolibunda Diversion scheme Anicut would be inspected in full length by the concerned Chief Engineers and the agreed share of water ensured to the Andhra Pradesh at the State Border in the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Canal.

Subject No.6: Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tungabhadra Board.

The Andhra Pradesh Representative suggested that it was desirable to have the entire control of the release of waters both on Left and Right Banks with the Tungabhadra Board. He also indicated that the question of management and release of interstate waters being under the control of River Boards was under the examination of the Government of India. It was pointed by the Mysore Representative that since the major beneficiary under the Tungabhadra Project was Mysore State and since the Tungabhadra Dam lies in the Mysore State, the control of the Dam for regulation of waters should rest with the Mysore Government and that the Andhra Pradesh share of waters would be assured by Mysore State as is being done in the case of Cauvery Waters to Madras State at K.R. Sagar.

Contd.....P.4.

Subject No. [illegible] - [illegible] to [illegible] - [illegible]

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Andhra State would inspect the anicut and suggest within a period of six months [illegible] remedial measures.

Subject No.2 Irrigation - [illegible] tank - Construction of an anicut across Hemavathi river near [illegible] to feed 7 tanks - [illegible] in withdrawal of water from the anicut.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Andhra Pradesh may write to the Chief Engineer of Mysore and inspect the site and satisfy himself that

a) the [illegible] has been raised,
b) the left bank canal has not been [illegible] in capacity and
c) the right Bank Canal [illegible] has not been constructed.

Subject No.3 Tanks in Hindpur Taluk - Levels of Weirs - Dry stretches of supplies - Raising the weir of Kumadvalli tank by Mysore.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the tank had been restored to its original capacity and that the restoration works had been carried out with the concurrence of the then Madras State, and therefore it was not necessary to furnish any details to Andhra Pradesh. It was however agreed that the present level of the weir with reference to its level before the restoration be furnished to Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.10 Tungabhadra Project - [illegible] - Kattes in Chitradurga district - [illegible] and - Non-supply of supplies - Raising

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that only [illegible] out of the total 81 kattes are providing facilities for irrigation from wells constructed behind the Kattes and the total area irrigated was only about 49 acres. Since the Kattes have been in existence since long and have been constructed by land-owners as a measure of soil conservation no water is stored by these Kattes. The Representative from Andhra Pradesh desired to know that the regular flow in the streams was not diverted by these Kattes

Contd.....5

rs'k

and the flow to the lower tanks was not abstracted. It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore would inspect all these works and forward a detailed report to the Government for further action in the matter.

Subject No.11: Interstate Waters - Mysore State - Diversion of waters of Jayamangali river at Rampur Anicut to feed Rampur tank.

It was agreed that the two Chief Engineers may inspect and select a site for conducting the gaugings.

Subject No.12: Upper Pennar Project of Andhra Pradesh - Acquisition of submersible lands in Mysore Territory by Mysore State.

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh pointed out that his Government had agreed not to store water in the Reservoir beyond 1760, the level which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Government. The Representative from Mysore desired to know as to how the Andhra Pradesh Government would operate and control the Reservoir so as to limit the Reservoir level to 1760 when the present full reservoir level as constructed is 1763. It was agreed by the Andhra Representative that these details would be furnished and that the water in the reservoir would not be stored beyond the level of 1760, which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Government. It was also agreed that the financial implications involved with regard to the compensation payable for the lands submerged, the construction of a bridge, etc., be furnished to the Andhra Pradesh Government for further action in the matter.

Subject: No.13: Utilisation in Tungabhadra Basin - Working Table of Lakkavalli Reservoir - Furnishing by Mysore Government.

It was agreed that the matter would be further examined.

Subject No.14: Interstate matters - Construction of new Irrigation Schemes on Pennar and Jayamangali river of Mysore - Regarding.

Even though the construction of these irrigation works were not covered by 1892 Agreement, it was desired by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that as a General principle the details of such irrigation works which might affect supplies

Prabhu/ -

to the irrigation works in the Andhra Pradesh may be furnished, if there was no objection. It was agreed that the request would be examined.

Subject No.15.- Inter State Problems - Construction of a bund across Pennar at Pralamadugu Village in Mysore State.-

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Project was not yet finalised and discussion was therefore premature. It was however pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representatives that since the Project involves sub-mersion of forest areas in the Andhra Pradesh and since it also affects supplies to the North Pennar Project already constructed lower down the Project may be taken up after the Andhra Pradesh was consulted in the matter.

Subject No.16.- Irrigation - Construction of Gayathri Reservoir across Suvarnamuki river by Mysore which affects supplies to Bhairavanithippa project in Andhra Pradesh.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Gayathri Reservoir was constructed across the Suvarnavathi River which was a non-scheduled stream and that it was not necessary for the Mysore Government to furnish any particulars to Andhra Pradesh Government. It was examined by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the construction of the Gayathri Reservoir and the Narayanapur anicut lower down, affect supplies to Bhairavanithippa Reservoir in Andhra Pradesh, and therefore it was desired that the details of regulation of water at Narayanapur anicut be furnished to them as agreed to by the two Chief Engineers in 1954. It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Andhra Pradesh Government had construc - ted the Bhairavanithippa Reservoir for storing water for a level higher than what was originally agreed to without the con- currence of Mysore Government and has not furnished the original Project report in spite of repeated request. It was agreed that the matter should be examined again.

*Chand.

Subject No.17: Irrigation- Anantapur district- Madakasira taluk-Raising the waste weir of Madalur tank in Mysore State.

It was agreed that if there was any additional submersion the same would be examined and further action taken to see that no damage was caused to lands in Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.18: Irrigation-Major-Upper Krishna Project Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur Taluks.

It was pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the Upper-Krishna Project which was under investigation in the then Hyderabad State contemplated irrigation from the Upper-Krishna to Gadwal and Alampur areas in Mehboobnagar district and that these areas be included in the present Upper-Krishna Project of Mysore State.

It was explained by the Mysore Representative that owing to the Re-organisation of States, Bijapur district which was one of the chronically drought affected areas had come to Mysore State and it was incumbent on Mysore Government to provide irrigation facilities to that area and further since the percentage of irrigation in Mysore State being very low, it may not be possible for Mysore to provide irrigation to areas out side the Mysore State. It was agreed to leave this issue at that stage.

Subject No.19: Rehabilitation in Tungabhadra Project area.

It was agreed that the matter would be placed before the Andhra Pradesh Government for consideration.

Subject No.20: Shortage of supplies to spring channels on river in Hagari in Bellary district Mysore State on construction of Bhairavanithipna Project on the river Hagari on Upper reaches in Andhra Pradesh.

It was agreed that the reasons for dimunition of supplies may be further examined and discussed again.

krs. 2

150

Subject No.[illegible]: Supplying copies of files and other documents in respect of Jungareddy Project transfered by the Mysore State to Andhra Pradesh in October 1962.

It was agreed that the matter could be examined by the Andhra Pradesh Government.

Subject No.22: Allotment of Sandal trees to Andhra Pradesh in 1956-57 and reimbursement of tree tax.

It was agreed that the matter could be decided early by the Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.23: Transfer of value of Machinery purchased and funds received for the Tungabhadra Project in Kolegal Transport by the Andhra Pradesh Government to the Mysore Government under Section 87 of the State's Reorganisation Act 1956.

It was agreed that the Andhra Pradesh would verify old records and decide the matter early.

It was also agreed to confirm the Proceedings of the Meeting held on 7.4.1965.

Conclusion: Summing up the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, requested that on confirmation of the Proceedings of this Meeting, Orders of the respective Governments regarding the various decisions arrived at this Meeting may be issued by the respective Governments.

Sd/-A.Krishnaswami
for Chief Secretary,
Andhra Pradesh Government.

Sd/-Chandappa Patel,
for Chief Secretary,
Mysore Government.

(TRUE COPY)

SECTION OFFICER
F&D (SPL.UNIT?)

krs"

Spare

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
'VIDHANA SOUDHA'
BANGALORE, DATED: 21st-9-1967.

No.PWD 6 BRA 66.

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department.

To

The Chief Engineer(General), Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects(South), Bangalore
The Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects(North), Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer, Investigation of Projects, Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer, ~~M&EB~~, General, Bangalore. (M.S.E.E.)
The Deputy Secretary, GAD(Political), Vidhana Soudha',
Bangalore.

Sir,

Sub:-Inter State problems - discussions on certain outstanding issues - Proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-1967 - Issue of final proceedings -

---

I am directed to forward herewith for necessary action a copy of the proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-1967 in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore, relating to outstanding issues between Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh

Yours faithfully,

(S.K.Mohan)
Under Secretary to Government,
P.W.D(Technical).

Copy with a copy of the Proceedings is forwarded to:-

1. GAD(Political).
2. General Irrigation P.W.D.,
3. Minor Irrigation, PWD.
4. Major Irrigation, PWD.
5. Electrical Section. P.W.D.,
6. Power Projects Section. PWD.,

~~7. Spare copies~~

for taking necessary action in so far as the subjects pertaining to them.

(S.K.Mohan)
Under Secretary to Govt.,
P.W.D(Techl.).

Proceedings of the Meeting held at 10.30 A.M. on 28-4-67 in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore to discuss certain outstanding issues between Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh.

I. Representatives of Mysore.

Shriyuts:-

1. K.Narayanaswamy, I.A.S., Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore.
2. Chandappa Patel, I.A.S., Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D.
3. M.C.Antin, Joint Secretary to Government of Mysore, Public Works Department.
4. S.G.Balekundry, Chief Engineer (General) in Mysore, Bangalore.
5. B.M.Shafiulla, Chief Engineer (General), M.S.E.B., Bangalore
6. L.T.Aminbhavi. Chief Engineer (Investigation), in Mysore, Bangalore.
7. M.Channappa, Deputy Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D. (Irrigation).
8. S.K.Mohan, Under Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D. (Technical).

II. Representatives of Andhra Pradesh.

1. K.N.Anantaram, I.C.S., Chief Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh.
2. A.Krishnaswamy, I.A.S., Special Secretary to Government, P.W.D.
3. G.A. Narasimha Rao, Additional Secretary to Government, Public Works Deprtment.
4. P.T.Malla Reddy, Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects.
5. M.Seetharama Shastry, Superintending Engineer, (Designs), Nagarjunasagar Canals.
6. K.V.Ram Mohan, In-charge Director, Interstate matters.

At the outset the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh thanked the Government of Mysore for the arrangements made with regard to holding this Meeting at such a short notice. Drawing attention to the drought situation and scarcity conditions prevailing in many parts of our country, xx he desired that all problems relating to the utilisation of waters which go a long way for the improvement of Agricultural Production should be viewed on a national basis. Even though most of the subjects had been discussed previously and some of them had been referred to the Government of India for a ...

.. Contd..p.2.

decision in the matter, he observed that it would be desirable that we could discuss them once again so as to see if we could find some points of agreement, so that the matters could be amicably settled early.

The Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore said that there would be no objection to discussing the subjects referred to Government of India to find out if some solution could be arrived at.

Subject No.1: Division of assets and liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal.

It was agreed to defer this problem till an acceptable principle regarding the sharing of cost of interstate canals was enunciated by the Government of India.

Subject No.2: Power benefits from Munirabad Power House for Andhra Pradesh.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the power generated at Munirabad was to be generally utilised in the areas lying in Tungabhadra Project and would not be used for supply to the Hyderabad area. The views expressed by the Gadkari Committee were also referred to. It was desired by the Representatives of the Andhra Pradesh Government that the Gadkari Committee's report should be examined. It was agreed that a copy of the Gadkari Committee's report, if available, be sent to the Andhra Pradesh Government.

Subject No.3: Extension of irrigation from Left Bank Canal to areas in Andhra Pradesh.

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh contended that the Left Bank Canal should be extended beyond the Mysore State so as to serve the areas in Gadwal and Alampur of Mahaboobnagar district. It was also pointed out that the then Government of Hyderabad in an order issued in January 1955 had mentioned the utilisation of only 72 T.M.Cft. of water under the Left Bank Canal. It was explained by the Mysore Representative that according to the sanctioned Project Report of the Left Bank Canal, out of a net command of 10,80,000 acres, 5.80.000 acres

Contd....P..3

Kaksh/-

were to be irrigated and that this command of 10,30,000 acres wholly lies in the Mysore State. It was agreed that the Government Order referred to by the Andhra Representative and the relevant papers be made available to the Government of Mysore for examination and Government of Mysore may also make available to Andhra Pradesh for study Gadkari Committee report, if available.

Subject No.4: Final sharing of Tungabhadra Project waters at Nallapuram.

This was discussed in general terms along with the other subjects relating to the Tungabhadra Project.

Subject No.5: Transfer of control of the Rajolibunda Head ... of the First 27 miles to Tungabhadra Board.

It was agreed that the Rajolibunda Diversion scheme Anicut would be inspected in full length by the concerned Chief Engineers and the agreed share of water ensured to the Andhra Pradesh at the State Border in the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Canal.

Subject No.6: Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tungabhadra Board.

The Andhra Pradesh Representative suggested that it was desirable to have the entire control of the release of waters both on Left and Right Banks with the Tungabhadra Board He also indicated that the question of management and release of interstate waters being under the control of River Boards was under the examination of the Government of India. It was pointed by the Mysore Representative that since the major beneficiary under the Tungabhadra Project was Mysore State and since the Tungabhadra Dam lies in the Mysore State, the control of the Dam for regulation of waters should rest with the Mysore Government and that the Andhra Pradesh share of waters would be assured by Mysore State as is being done in the case of Cauvery Waters to Madras State at K.R. Sagar.

Contd.....P.4.

Subject No.2 [illegible] - Andhra Pradesh - Mysore to [illegible] - [illegible]

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore State would inspect the anicut and suggest within a period of six months [illegible].

Subject No.3 Irrigation - [illegible] - Construction of an anicut across Chitravathi river near Tirumani and [illegible] feeder channel to feed 7 tanks - deficiency in Bukkapatnam tank of Andhra Pradesh.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Andhra Pradesh may write to the Chief Engineer of Mysore and inspect the site and satisfy himself; that

1) the anicut has not been raised;
2) the left Bank canal has not been increased in capacity; and
3) the Right Bank feeder channels have not been constructed.

Subject No. [illegible] Hindupur taluk - Lanch[illegible] Tanks - Interception of supplies - [illegible] - [illegible] of Bukkapatnam Tank by Mysore.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representatives that the tank had been restored to its original capacity and that the restoration works had been carried out with the concurrence of the then Madras authorities. The Andhra Pradesh Representatives furnish any details in this regard. It was however agreed that the present level of the well [illegible] reference to its level before the restoration be intimated to Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.10: Inter-State Problems - Anantapur district - Pamidipalli tank - Interception of supplies - [illegible]

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representatives that only a small portion out of the total Chitravathi [illegible] ding facilities for irrigation from wells commanded by the bottom of the tank and the total area thereby [illegible] Since the bottom has been [illegible] and [illegible] has been constructed [illegible] tion of soil [illegible] tion no water has been [illegible]. The Representatives from Andhra Pradesh [illegible] the regular flow in the stream was not diverted by these bottoms

Contd.....3

msk

and the flow to the lower tanks was not abstracted. It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore would inspect all these works and forward a detailed report to the Government for further action in the matter.

Subject No.11: Interstate Waters - Mysore State - Diversion of waters of Jayamangali river at Rampur Anicut to feed Rampur tank.

It was agreed that the two Chief Engineers may inspect and select a site for conducting the gaugings.

Subject No.12: Upper Pennar Project of Andhra Pradesh - Acquisition of submersible lands in Mysore Territory by Mysore State.

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh pointed out that his Government had agreed not to store water in the Reservoir beyond 1760, the level which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Government. The Representative from Mysore desired to know as to how the Andhra Pradesh Government would operate and control the Reservoir so as to limit the Reservoir level to 1760 when the present full reservoir level as constructed is 1763. It was agreed by the Andhra Representative that these details would be furnished and that the water in the reservoir would not be stored beyond the level of 1760, which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Government. It was also agreed that the financial implications involved with regard to the compensation payable for the lands submerged, the construction of a bridge, etc., be furnished to the Andhra Pradesh Government for further action in the matter.

Subject: No.13: Utilisation in Tungabhadra Basin - Working Table of Lakkavalli Reservoir - Furnishing by Mysore Government.

It was agreed that the matter would be further examined.

Subject No.14: Interstate matters - Construction of new Irrigation Schemes on Pennar and Jayamangali river of Mysore - Regarding.

Even though the construction of these irrigation works were not covered by 1892 Agreement, it was desired by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that as a General principle the details of such irrigation works which might affect supplie

Prabhu/

to the irrigation works in the Andhra Pradesh may be furnished if there was no objection. It was agreed that the request could be examined.

Subject No.15.- Interstate Problems - Construction of a dam across Penner at Nagalamadike Village in Mysore State.-

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Project was not yet finalised and discussion was therefore premature. It was however pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that since the Project involves sub-mersion of agres areas in the Andhra Pradesh and since it also affects supplies to the North Penner Project already constructed lower down the Project may be taken up after the Andhra Pradesh was consulted in the matter.

Subject No.16.- Irrigation - Construction of Gayathri Reservoir across Suvarnamuki river by Mysore which effects supplies to Bhairavanithippa project in Andhra Pradesh.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Gayathri Reservoir was constructed across the Suvarnamuki River which was a non-scheduled stream and that it was not necessary for the Mysore Government to furnish any particulars to Andhra Pradesh Government. It was explained by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the construction of the Gayathri Reservoir and the Narayanapur anicut lower down, affect supplies to Bhairavanithippa Reservoir in Andhra Pradesh, and therefore it was desired that the details of regulation of water at Narayanapur Anicut be furnished to them as agreed to by the two Chief Engineers in 1954. It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Andhra Pradesh Government had constructed the Bhairavanithippa Reservoir for storing water for a level higher than what was originally agreed to without the concurrence of Mysore Government or has not furnished the original Project Report inspite of repeated request. It was agreed that the matter would be examined again.

*Contd.

Subject No.17: Irrigation- Anantapur district- Madakasira Taluk-Raising the waste weir of Madalur tank in Mysore State.

It was agreed that if there was any additional submersion the same would be examined and further action taken to see that no damage was caused to lands in Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.18: Irrigation-Major-Upper Krishna Project Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur Taluks.

It was pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the Upper-Krishna Project which was under investigation in the then Hyderabad State contemplated irrigation from the Upper-Krishna to Gadwal and Alampur areas in Mehboobnagar district and that these areas be included in the present Upper-Krishna Project of Mysore State.

It was explained by the Mysore Representative that owing to the Re-organisation of States, Bijapur district which was one of the chronically drought affected areas had come to Mysore State and it was incumbent on Mysore Government to provide irrigation facilities to that area and further since the percentage of irrigation in Mysore State being very low, it may not be possible for Mysore to provide irrigation to areas out side the Mysore State. It was agreed to leave this issue at that stage.

Subject No.19: Rehabilitation in Tungabhadra Project area.

It was agreed that the matter would be placed before the Andhra Pradesh Government for consideration.

Subject No.20: Shortage of supplies to spring channels on river in Hagari in Bellary district Mysore State on construction of Bhairavanithippa Project on the river Hagari on Upper reaches in Andhra Pradesh.

It was agreed that the reasons for dimunition of supplies may be further examined and discussed again.

krs.

.....2

Subject No.22: Supply copies of files and other documents in respect of Tungabhadra Project transferred by the Ex.Madras State to Andhra Pradesh in October 1953.

It was agreed that the matter would be examined by the Andhra Pradesh Government.

Subject No.23: Allotment of Sendi trees to Andhra Pradesh in 1956-57 and reimbursement of tree tax.

It was agreed that the matter would be decided early by the Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.23: Transfer of value of Machinery purchased from funds meant for the Tungabhadra Project in Raichur District by the Andhra Pradesh Government under section 37 of the State's Reorganisation Act 1955.

It was agreed that the Andhra Pradesh would verify old records and decide the matter early.

It was also agreed to confirm the Proceedings of the Meeting held on 7.4.1965.

Conclusion: Summing up the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, requested that on confirmation of the Proceedings of this Meeting, Orders of the respective Governments regarding the various decisions arrived at this Meeting may be issued by the respective Governments.

Sd/-A.Krishnaswami
for Chief Secretary,
Andhra Pradesh Government.

Sd/-Chandappa Patel,
for Chief Secretary,
Mysore Government.

(TRUE COPY)

krs"

A.Krishnaswami,IAS.,
Spl.Secretary to Govt.

Public Works Department,
Hyderabad.

D.O.Lr.No.5009-I2/63-71 Dated:30th Sept.1967.

My dear Chandappa Patel

Sub:-Interstate Problems - Discussions on certain outstanding issues - proceedings of the meeting held at Bangalore on 28-4-1967 - Issued.

Ref:-Your D.O.Letter No.PWD.6.BRA.66 dated 13-9-1967.

Please refer to your D.O.letter cited.

I enclose herewith a copy of the Proceedings of the above meeting.

With kind regards.

Yours

(A. KRISHNASWAMI)

Sri Chandappa Patel,IAS.,
Secretary to Govt.of Mysore,
Public Works & Electricity Dept.
Bangalore.

Proceedings of the Meeting held at 10.30 A.M. on 28..4..1967 in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore to discuss certain outstanding issues between Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh.

-:oOo:-

I. Representatives of Mysore

Shriyuths:

1. K. Narayanaswamy, I.A.S., Chief secretary to Government of Mysore.

2. Chandappa Patel, I.A.S., Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D.

3. M.C. Antin, Joint Secretary to Govt. of Mysore, Public Works Department.

4. S.G. Balekundry, Chief Engineer (General) in Mysore, Bangalore.

5. B.M. Shafiulla, Chief Engineer (General), M.S.E.B., Bangalore.

6. L.T. Aminbhavi, Chief Engineer (Investigation), in Mysore, Bangalore.

7. M.Channappa, Deputy Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D. (Irrigation).

8. S.K. Mohan, Under Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D. (Technical).

II. Representatives of Andhra Pradesh.

1. K.N. Anantaraman, I.C.S., Chief Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh.

2. A.Krishnaswamy, I.A.S., Special Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

3. G.A. Narasimha Rao, Additional Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

4. P.T. Malla Reddy, Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects.

5. M.Seetharama Shastry, Superintending Engineer (Designs) Nagarjunasagar Canals.

6. K.V. Ram Mohan, In-charge Director, Interstate matters.

-:oOo:-

At the outset the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh thanked the Government of Mysore for the arrangements made with regard to holding this meeting at such a short notice. Drawing attention to the drought situation and scarcity conditions prevailing in many parts of our country, he desired that all problems relating to the utilisation of waters which go a long way for the improvement of Agricultural Production should be viewed on a national basis. Even though most of the subjects had been discussed previously and some of them had been referred to the Government of India for a decision in the matter, he observed

Contd...p.2.

that it would be desirable that we could discuss them once again so as to see if we could find some points of agreement, so that, the matters could be amicably settled early.

The Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore said that there would be no objection to discussing the subjects referred to Government of India to find out if some solution could be arrived at.

Subject No.1: Division of assets and liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal.

It was agreed to defer this problem till an acceptable principle regarding the sharing of cost of interstate canals was enunciated by the Government of India.

Subject No.2: Power benefits from Munirabad Power House for Andhra Pradesh.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the power generated at Munirabad was to be generally utilised in the areas lying in Tungabhadra Project and would not be used for supply to the Hyderabad area. The views expressed by the Gadkari Committee were also referred to. It was desired by the Representatives of the Andhra Pradesh Government that the Gadkari Committee's Report should be examined. It was agreed that a copy of the Gadkari Committee's report, if available, be sent to the Andhra Pradesh Government.

Subject No.3: Extension of irrigation from left bank canal to areas in Andhra Pradesh.

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh contended that the Left Bank Canal should be extended beyond the Mysore State so as to serve the areas in Gadwal and Alampur of Mahaboobnagar district. It was also pointed out that the then Government of Hyderabad in an order issued in January 1955 had mentioned the utilisation of only 72 T.M.Cft. of water under the Left Bank Canal. It was explained by the Mysore Representative that according to the sanctioned Project Report of the Left Bank Canal, out of a net command of 10,80,000 acres, 5,80,000 acres

Contd...p.3.

were to be irrigated and that this command of 10,80,000 acres wholly lies in the Mysore State. It was agreed that the Government Order referred to by the Andhra Representative and the relevant papers be made available to the Government of Mysore for examination and Government of Mysore may also make available to Andhra Pradesh for study Gadkari Committee report, if available.

Subject No.4: Final sharing of Tungabhadra Project water at Mallapuram.

This was discussed in general terms along with the other subjects relating to the Tungabhadra Project.

Subject No.5: Transfer of control of the Rajolibunda Headworks of the first 27 miles to Tungabhadra Board.

It was agreed that the Rajolibunda DiversionScheme Anicut would be inspected in full length by the concerned Chief Engineers and the agreed share of water ensured to the Andhra Pradesh at the State Border in the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Canal.

Subject No.6: Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tungabhadra Board.

The Andhra Pradesh Representative suggested that it was desirable to have the entire control of the release of waters both on Left and Right Banks with the Tungabhadra Board. He also indicated that the question of management and release of interstate waters being under the control of River Boards was under the examination of the Government of India. It was pointed by the Mysore Representative that since the major beneficiary under the Tungabhadra Project was Mysore State and since the Tungabhadra Dam lies in the Mysore State, the control of the Dam for regulation of waters should rest with the Mysore Government and that the Andhra Pradesh share of waters would be assured by Mysore State as is being done in the case of Cauvery waters to Madras State at K.R. Sagar.

Contd...p.4.

Subject No.7:- Irrigation - Andhra Pradesh - Repairs to Agali Anicut -Regarding.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore State would inspect the anicut and suggest within a period of six months necessary remedial measures.

Subject No.8: Irrigation - Mysore State - Construction of an anicut across Chitravathi river near Tirumani and opening new feeder channel to feed 7 tanks - Deficiency in Bukkapatnam tank of Andhra Pradesh.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Andhra Pradesh may write to the Chief Engineer of Mysore and inspect the site and satisfy himself; that

1) the anicut had not been raised;
2) the Left Bank Canal had not been increased in capacity; and
3) the Right Bank Feeder Channels had not been constructed.

Subject No.9: Tanks in Hindupur taluk - Lepakshi Village - Investigation of supplies - Raising the weir of Nagarkere tank by Mysore.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the tank had been restored to its original capacity and that the restoration works had been carried out with the concurrence of the then Madras State, and therefore it was not necessary to furnish any details to Andhra Pradesh. It was however agreed that the present level of the weir with reference to its level before the restoration be intimated to Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.10: Interstate Problems - Anantapur district - Tamadihalli tank - Interception of supplies - Regarding.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that only 13 small Kattes out of the total 31 Kattes are providing facilities for irrigation from wells constructed behind the Kattes and the total area irrigated was only about 49 acres. Since the Kattes have been in existence since long and have been constructed by land-owners as a measure of soil conservation no water is stored by these Kattes. The Representative from Andhra Pradesh desired to know that the regular flow in the streams was not diverted by these Kattes and the flow to

Contd...p.5.

the lower tanks was not abstracted. It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore would inspect all these works and forward a detailed report to the Government for further action in the matter.

Subject No.11: Interstate waters - Mysore State - Diversion of waters of Jayamangali river at Rampur anicut to feed Rampur tank.

It was agreed that the two Chief Engineers may inspect and select a site for conducting the gaugings.

Subject No.12: Upper Pennar Project of Andhra Pradesh - Acquisition of submersible lands in Mysore Territory by Mysore State.

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh pointed out that this Government had agreed not to store water in the Reservoir beyond 1760, the level which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Government. The Representative from Mysore desired to know as to howthe Andhra Pradesh Government would operate and control the Reservoir so as to limit the Reservoir level to 1760 when the present full reservoir level as constructed is 1763. It was agreed by the Andhra Representative that these details would be furnished and that the water in the reservoir would not be stored beyond the level of 1760, which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Government. It was also agreed that the financial implications involved with regard to the compensation payable for the lands submerged, the construction of a bridge, etc., be furnished to the Andhra Pradesh Government for further action in the matter.

Subject No.13: Utilisation in Tungabhadra Basin -Working Table of Lakkavalli Reservoir - Furnishing by Mysore Government.

It was agreed that the matter would be further examined.

Subject No.14: Interstate matters - Construction of new irrigation schemes on Pennar and Jayamangali River of Mysore - Regarding.

Even though the construction of these irrigation works were not covered by 1892 Agreement, it was desired by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that as a general principle the details of such irrigation works which might affect supplies

Contd...p.6.

to the irrigation works in the Andhra Pradesh may be furnished, if there was no objection, It was agreed that the request would be examined.

Subject No.15: Interstate Problems - construction of a dam across Pennar at Nagalamadike Village in Mysore State.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Project was not yet finalised and discussion was therefore premature. It was however pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that since the Project involves submersion of areas in the Andhra Pradesh and since it also affects supplies to the North Pennar Project already constructed lower down the Project may be taken up after the Andhra Pradesh was consulted in the matter.

Subject No.16: Irrigation - Construction of Gayathri Reservoir across Suvarnamuki river by Mysore which affects supplies to Bhairavanithippa Project in Andhra Pradesh.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Gayatri Reservoir was constructed across the Suvarnavathi River which was a non-scheduled stream and that it was not necessary for the Mysore Government to furnish any particulars to Andhra Pradesh Government. It was explained by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the construction of the Gayathri Reservoir and the Narayanapur anicut lower down, affect supplies to Bhairavanithippa Reservoir in Andhra Pradesh, and therefore it was desired that the details of regulation of water at Narayanapur anicut be furnished to them as agreed to by the two Chief Engineers in 1954. It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Andhra Pradesh Government had constructed the Bhairavanithippa Reservoir for storing water for a level higher than what was originally agreed to without the concurrence of Mysore Government and has not furnished the original Project Report in spite of repeated request. It was agreed that the matter would be examined again.

Contd...p.7.

Subject No.17: Irrigation - Anantapur district - Madakasira Taluk - Raising the waste weir of Madalur tank in Mysore State.

It was agreed that if there was any additional submersion the same would be examined and further action taken to see that no damage was caused to lands in Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.18: Irrigation - Major - Upper Krishna Project - Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur Taluks.

It was pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the Upper Krishna Project which was under investigation in the then Hyderabad State contemplated irrigation from the Upper Krishna to Gadwal and Alampur areas in Mahboobnagar district and that these areas be included in the present Upper-Krishna Project of Mysore State.

It was explained by the Mysore Representative that owing to the Reorganisation of States, Bijapur district which was one of the chronically drought affected areas had come to Mysore State and it was incumbent on Mysore Government to provide irrigation facilities to that area and further since the per centage of irrigation in Mysore state being very low, it may not be possible for Mysore to provide irrigation to areas out side the Mysore State. It was agreed to leave this issue at that stage.

Subject No.19: Rehabilitation in Tungabhadra Project area:

It was agreed that the matter would be placed before the Andhra Pradesh Government for consideration.

Subject No.20: Shortage of supplies to spring channels on river in Hagari in Bellary district Mysore state on construction of Bhairavanithippa Project on the river Hagari on Upper reaches in Andhra Pradesh.

It was agreed that the reasons for dimunition of supplies may be further examined and discussed again.

Subject No.21: Supplying copies of files and other documents in respect of Tungabhadra Project as transferred by the Ex.Madras State to Andhra Pradesh in October, 1963.

It was agreed that the matter would be examined by the Andhra Pradesh Government.

Contd...p.8.

No. PWD 6 BRA 66.

U.O. note.

Sub:— Inter-State Problems - discussions on certain outstanding issues - Proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-1967.

—x—.

A Copy of the Proceedings of the meeting held ~~at 10.30 a.m.~~ on 28-4-67 in the Chambers of Chief Secy: to Govt: of Mysore ~~to discuss~~ relating to certain outstanding issues between Govts of Mysore and A.P. is enclosed herewith for taking necessary action in so far as the subjects pertaining to R.D / D.P.C.

S.O. 27/4/67
Spl. Organ. PWD

To R.D.1
&
D.P.C.
1

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, Dated, 18th October 1967.

U.O.No.PWD 6 BRA 66

I.O. NOTE.

Sub:- Inter-state Problems - discussions on certain outstanding issues - Proceedings of the Meeting held on 28-4-1967.

A copy of the Proceedings of the Meeting held on 28-4-1967 in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore relating to certain outstanding issues between Governments of Mysore and Andhra - Pradesh is enclosed herewith for taking necessary action in so far as the subjects pertaining to Revenue Department/D.P.C. Department.

Issued

Encls.....
Date...19/10/67

Section Officer,
P.W.D. (Special Irrigation).

To

Revenue Department,
and
D.P.C. Department.

cn/

16

162

No.GAD 13 PZC 63.
Enc/Two Sheets

General Administration Department,
(Political)
Bangalore, dated 6th October, 67.

9th
10.

U.O.NOTE.

Subject:- Discussions between the Chief Secretaries of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh, on certain outstanding items between Mysore and Andhra Pradesh - Proceedings of the first meeting held between the two Chief Secretaries on 7-4-1965, at Hyderabad.

***

An extract of item No.I of the Proceedings of the first meeting of the Chief Secretaries of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh, on the subject "Ragolibanda Diversion Scheme" is forwarded herewith for taking necessary immediate action to implement the decision.

G.S.Rajasekharan

(G.S.Rajasekharan)
Under Secretary to Government,
G.A.D.(Political)

To

Public Works Department, I
M.G.S.

PWD (Spl. Irrgn).
No 499 Date 24-x-67.

An extract from the proceedings of the Meeting held at 11-00 A.M. on 7-4-1965 in the Office of the Chief Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad 2 to discuss certain outstanding issues between the Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh.

....

xx xx xx xx

ITEM I: Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme:

The three alternatives proposed by Andhra Pradesh were discussed as below:-

Alternative (1): Exchange of Villages commanded in Karnataka with the villages in Andhra Pradesh.

The Chief Secretary, Mysore, said that the question of exchange of villages might not be considered because, apart from other considerations, the people of the area did not want it.

Alternative (2): Transfer of control of the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Head Works and first 27 miles of Canal to the Andhra Pradesh.

The Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, observed that in the larger interests of food production in the country, efficient management of the head works and regulation of supply of water were necessary and that this objective would be best achieved if the control of the head works etc. was vested in Andhra Pradesh. The Ayacut in Andhra Pradesh he said, was nearly 16 times than in Mysore under this project and supply of water to 87,000 acres in Andhra Pradesh in the past had b een inadequate and untimely. Additional Secretary read out extracts from the tour notes of Shri M.S.Sivaraman, Adviser, Programme Adviser, Planning Commission, recorded by him during his visit to Andhra Pradesh in February 1963, relating to Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme. Shri Sivaraman observed:-

> "One of the main reasons for the slow progress of utilisation has been, the uncertainty about the time of commencement of irrigation, about actual supplies and the likely duration of irrigation. The discharge in the irrigation season should be 770 cusecs when the canal enters Andhra Pradesh; but according to the State Engineers the supplies have been unsatisfactory. Insufficient attention to maintenance of the head works and canal in the Mysore area often resulted in breaches and failure of supplies. Some of the specific instances in support are mentioned below as given by the State Engineers".

xx xx xx xx

contd...2

At the request of Chief Secretary,Mysore, it was decided to send a copy of the tour notes of Shri M.S.Sivaraman to the Chief Secretary,Mysore.

The Chief Secretary, Mysore,pointed out that if the position was not satisfactory with the head works under the control of Mysore, the position might be no better if its effective control was transferred to Andhra Pradesh. The Chief Secretary, Mysore said he could not agree that this was an adequate reason for the effective control being transferred to Andhra Pradesh.

Alternative (3): <u>To place the Head works and common portion of Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Canal under the control of Tungabhadra Board</u>.

In regard to this alternative,Chief Secretary, Mysore observed that the Government of Mysore were in favour of the abolition of the Tungabhadra Board and this would be one of the items for discussion in the forthcoming Interstate Conference. He was not, therefore, in favour of vesting the Control of common portion of Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme with the Tungabhadra Board, as the Government of Mysore did not want to add any more work to the Board. He suggested that there could be some official machinery created to ensure proper and timely regulation of water. The Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh,observed that the objective should be not to increase the number of agencies. Additional Secretary, P.W.D. observed that the abolition or otherwise of the Tungabhadra Board, which was a statutory body, depended on the outcome of the deliberations at the Interstate Conference. If the decision of the Government of Mysore to recommend the abolition of the Board was due only to its unsatisfactory working, efforts should be made to remove the present shortcomings rather than abolish the Board, in which case the control of Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Headworks and the first 27 miles of the canal might be vested with the Board as the waters of Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme, also come from Tungabhadra.

It was felt that there should be a joint official machinery which would appreciate the difficulties of the ryots of both the States and ensure equitable and timely distribution of waters from the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme. Chief Secretary, Mysore said that he would place this matter before the Government of Mysore. Until such a machinery was evolved, it was agreed that regulation, closure etc. of water supply should be done in mutual consultation, as otherwise the agriculture programme would be affected, and ryots put to avoidable hardships. It was also agreed that adequate publicity should be given to the dates of opening and closing of the canal so that ryots could plan their operations well ahead.

//EXTRACT//

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
'VIDHANA SOUDHA'
BANGALORE, DATED: 21st-9-1967.

No.PWD 6 BRA 66.

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department.

To

The Chief Engineer(General), Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects(South), Bangalore
The Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects(North), Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer, Investigation of Projects, Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer, ~~XXXX~~, General, Bangalore. /M.S.E.B.
The Deputy Secretary, GAD(Political), Vidhana Soudha',
Bangalore.

Sir,

Sub:-Inter State problems - discussions on certain outstanding issues - Proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-1967 - Issue of final proceedings -

I am directed to forward herewith for necessary action a copy of the proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-1967 in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore, relating to outstanding issues between Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh.

Yours faithfully,

(S.K.Mohan)
Under Secretary to Government,
P.W.D(Technical).

Copy with a copy of the Proceedings is forwarded to:-

1.GAD(Political).
2.General Irrigation. P.W.D.,
3.Minor Irrigation, PWD.
4.Major Irrigation, PWD.
5.Electrical Section. P.W.D.,
6.Power Projects Section. PWD.,
~~7.Spare copies~~

for taking necessary action in so far as the subjects pertaining to them.

(S.K.Mohan)
Under Secretary to Govt.,
P.W.D(Techl.).

Proceedings of the Meeting held at 10.30 A.M. on 28-4-67 in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore to discuss certain outstanding issues between Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh.

I.Representatives of Mysore.

Shrimuts:-

1. K.Narayanaswamy, I.A.S., Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore.
2. Chandappa Patel, I.A.S., Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D.
3. M.C.Antin, Joint Secretary to Government of Mysore, Public Works Department.
4. S.G.Balekundry, Chief Engineer (General) in Mysore, Bangalore.
5. B.M.Shafiulla, Chief Engineer (General), M.S.E.B., Bangal
6. L.T.Aminbhavi, Chief Engineer (Investigation), in Mysore Bangalore.
7. M.Channappa, Deputy Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D. (Irrigation).
8. S.K.Mohan, Under Secretary to Government of Mysore. P.W.D. (Technical).

II.Representatives of Andhra Pradesh.

1. K.N.Anantaram, I.C.S., Chief Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh.
2. A.Krishnaswamy, I.A.S., Special Secretary to Government, P.W.D
3. G.A. Narasimha Rao, Additional Secretary to Government, Public Works Deprtment.
4. P.T.Malla Reddy, Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects.
5. M.Seetharama Shastry, Superintending Engineer, (Designs) Nagarjunasagar Canals
6. K.V.Ram Mohan, In-charge Director, Interstate matters.

At the outset the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh thanked the Government of Mysore for the arrangements made with regard to holding this Meeting at such a short notice. Drawing attention to the drought situation and scarcity conditions prevailing in many parts of our country, xx he desired that all problems relating to the utilisation of waters which go a long way for the improvement of Agricultural Production should be viewed on a national basis. Even though most of the subjects had been discussed previously and some of them had been referred to the Government of India for a ...

Contd..p.2.

BRG

were to be irrigated and that this command of 10,80,000 acres wholly lies in the Mysore State. It was agreed that the Government Order referred to by the Andhra Representative and the relevant papers be made available to the Government of Mysore for examination and Government of Mysore may also make available to Andhra Pradesh for study Gadkari Committee report, if available.

Subject No.4: Final sharing of Tungabhadra Project waters at Nallapuram.

This was discussed in general terms along with the other subjects relating to the Tungabhadra Project.

Subject No.5: Transfer of control of the Rajolibunda Head ... of the First 27 miles to Tungabhadra Board.

It was agreed that the Rajolibunda Diversion scheme Anicut would be inspected in full length by the concerned Chief Engineers and the agreed share of water ensured to the Andhra Pradesh at the State Border in the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Canal.

Subject No.6: Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tungabhadra Board.

The Andhra Pradesh Representative suggested that it was desirable to have the entire control of the release of waters both on Left and Right Banks with the Tungabhadra Board. He also indicated that the question of management and release of interstate waters being under the control of River Boards was under the examination of the Government of India. It was pointed by the Mysore Representative that since the major beneficiary under the Tungabhadra Project was Mysore State and since the Tungabhadra Dam lies in the Mysore State, th control of the Dam for regulation of waters should rest with the Mysore Government and that the Andhra Pradesh share of waters would be assured by Mysore State as is being done in the case of Cauvery Waters to Madras State at K.R. Sagar.

Contd.....P.4.

and the flow to the lower tanks was not abstracted. It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore would inspect all these works and forward a detailed report to the Government for further action in the matter.

Subject No.11: Interstate Waters - Mysore State - Diversion of waters of Jayamangali river at Rampur Anicut to feed Rampur tank.

It was agreed that the two Chief Engineers may inspect and select a site for conducting the gaugings.

Subject No.12: Upper Pennar Project of Andhra Pradesh - Acquisition of submersible lands in Mysore Territory by Mysore State

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh pointed out that his Government had agreed not to store water in the Reservoir beyond 1760, the level which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Government. The Representative from Mysore desired to know as to how the Andhra Pradesh Government would operate and control the Reservoir so as to limit the Reservoir level to 1760 when the present full reservoir level as constructed is 1763. It was agreed by the Andhra Representative that these details would be furnished and that the water in the reservoir would not be stored beyond the level of 1760, which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Government. It was also agreed that the financial implications involved with regard to the compensation payable for the lands submerged, the construction of a bridge, etc., be furnished to the Andhra Pradesh Government for further action in the matter.

Subject: No.13: Utilisation in Tungabhadra Basin - Working Table of Lakkavalli Reservoir - Furnishing by Mysore Government.

It was agreed that the matter would be further examined.

Subject No.14: Interstate matters - Construction of new Irrigation Schemes on Pennar and Jayamangali river of Mysore - Regarding.

Even though the construction of these irrigation works were not covered by 1892 Agreement, it was desired by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that as a General principle the details of such irrigation works which might affect supplies

Subject No.17: Irrigation- Anantapur district- Madakasira Taluk-Raising the waste weir of Madalur tank in Mysore State

It was agreed that if there was any additional submersion the same would be examined and further action taken to see that no damage was caused to lands in Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.18: Irrigation-Major-Upper Krishna Project Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur Taluks.

It was pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the Upper-Krishna Project which was under investigation in the then Hyderabad State contemplated irrigation from the Upper-Krishna to Gadwal and Alampur areas in Mehboobnagar district and that these areas be included in the present Upper-Krishna Project of Mysore State.

It was explained by the Mysore Representative that owing to the Re-organisation of States, Bijapur district which was one of the chronically drought affected areas had come to Mysore State and it was incumbent on Mysore Government to provide irrigation facilities to that area and further since the percentage of irrigation in Mysore State being very low, it may not be possible for Mysore to provide irrigation to areas out side the Mysore State. It was agreed to leave this issue at that stage.

Subject No.19: Rehabilitation in Tungabhadra Project area

It was agreed that the matter would be placed before the Andhra Pradesh Government for consideration.

Subject No.20: Shortage of supplies to spring channels on river in Hagari in Bellary district Mysore State on construction of Bhairavanithippa Project on the river Hagari on Upper reaches in Andhra Pradesh.

It was agreed that the reasons for dimunition of supplies may be further examined and discussed again.

krs.

.....2

No.46/12/60-DW.I
Government of India
Ministry of Irrigation & Power
....

R. & I. SECTION
P. W. & E. D.
No. 12250
Date 29 APR 1968
Section

New Delhi, dated the 27th April, 1968
Vaisakha, 1890(S)

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works and Electricity Department,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore.

PWD (Gl. Irrgn).
No 1752 Date 29/4/68

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the proceedings received from the Government of Andhra Pradesh of the meeting held in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore on 28.4.1967 to discuss certain outstanding issues between the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore and to enquire whether the aforesaid Minutes have since been ratified.

A very early reply is requested.

(Authorised for issue)

(C. R. THAKUR)
Section Officer,
Ministry of Irrigation & Power,
New Delhi.
Tele. No. 32996.

Yours faithfully,

Encl: As above

Sd/-

(S. Narayanaswamy)
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

29/4

1263 1.5.68

Letter No.34352-II/59-104

Hyderabad,
dated, the 26th March, 1968

Government of Andhra Pradesh
Public Works Department
....

From

Shri G.A.Narasimha Rao, BE.MIE,
Additional Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary to Government of India,
Ministry of Irrigation & Power,
New Delhi (with enal.)

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Outstanding issues - Settlement of - Regarding.

Ref: 1) This Government letter No.34352-II/59-84-PW, dated 17-4-63.
2) From the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation & Power, letter No.46/12/60-DW.I, dated 13-10-1967.

.....

I am directed to invite reference to the letters cited on the above subject, and to state that an Interstate Meeting was held on 28.4.1967 at Bangalore, to discuss certain outstanding issues, pending settlement between the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore. I am to forward herewith, for your information and necessary action, a copy of the proceedings of the above Interstate Meeting.

2. I am to request that early steps may kindly be taken to settle the outstanding issues relating to Tungabhadra Project.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-
D.Venkatadri
for Additional Secretary to Government.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING HELD AT 10.30 A.M. ON 28.4.1967 IN THE CHAMBERS OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE TO DISCUSS CERTAIN OUTSTANDING ISSUES BETWEEN GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE AND ANDHRA PRADESH

o.o.o.

I. Representatives of Mysore:

Shriyuths:-

1. K. Narayanaswamy, I.A.S.,
Chief Secretary to Govt. of Mysore.

2. Chandappa Patel, I.A.S.,
Secretary to Govt. of Mysore, P.W.D.

3. M.C. Antin, Joint Secretary to Government of Mysore, Public Works Department.

4. S.G. Balekundry, Chief Engineer, (General) in Mysore, Bangalore.

5. B.M. Shafiulla, Chief Engineer (General), M.S.E.B., Bangalore.

6. L.T. Aminbhavi, Chief Engineer (Investigation) in Mysore, Bangalore.

7. M. Channappa, Deputy Secretary to Govt. of Mysore, P.W.D. (Irrigation).

8. S.K. Mohan, Deputy Secretary to Govt. of Mysore, P.W.D. (Technical).

II. Representatives of Andhra Pradesh:

1. K.N. Anantharaman, I.C.S.,
Chief Secretary to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh.

2. A. Krishnaswamy, I.A.S.,
Special Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

3. G.A. Narasimha Rao, Additional Secretary to Government, P.W.D.,

4. P.T. Malla Reddy, Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects.

5. M. Seetharama Shastry, Superintending Engineer, (Designs), Nagarjunasagar Canals.

6. K.V. Ram Mohan, Incharge Director. Interstate matters.

.-.-.

At the outset, the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh thanked the Government of Mysore for the arrangements made

with regard to holding this Meeting at such a short notice. Drawing attention to the drought situation and scarcity conditions prevailing in many parts of our country, he desired that all problems relating to the utilisation of waters which go a long way for the improvement of Agricultural Production should be viewed on a national basis. Even though most of the subjects had been discussed previously and some of them had been referred to the Government of India for a decision in the matter, he observed that it would be desirable that we could discuss them once again so as to see if we could find some points of agreement, so that, the matters could be amicably settled early.

The Chief Secretary to Govt. of Mysore said that there would be no objection to discussing the subjects referred to Government of India to find out if some solution could be arrived at.

Subject No. 1 : Division of assets and liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal.

It was agreed to defer this problem till an acceptable principle regarding the sharing of cost of interstate canals was enunciated by the Government of India.

Subject No. 2 : Power benefits from Munirabad Power House for Andhra Pradesh

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the power generated at Munirabad was to be generally utilised in the areas lying in Tungabhadra Project and would not be used for supply to the Hyderabad area. The views expressed by the Gadkari Committee were also referred to. It was desired by the Representatives of the Andhra Pradesh Government that the Gadkari Committee's report should be examined. It was

....3/-

agreed that a copy of the Gadkari Committee's report, if available, be sent to the Andhra Pradesh Government.

Subject No. 3: Extention of irrigation from left bank canal to areas in Andhra Pradesh.

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh contended that the Left Bank Canal should be extended beyond the Mysore State so as to serve the areas in Gadwal and Alampur of Mahaboobnagar district. It was also pointed out that the then Government of Hyderabad in an order issued in January 1955 had mentioned the utilisation of only 72 T.M.Cft. of water under the Left Bank Canal. It was explained by the Mysore Representative that according to the sanctioned Project Report of the Left Bank Canal, out of a net command of 10,80,000 acres, 5,80,000 acres were to be irrigated and that this command of 10,80,000 acres wholly lies in the Mysore State. It was agreed that the Government order referred to by the Andhra Pradesh Representative and the relevant papers be made available to the Government of Mysore for examination and Government of Mysore may also make available to Andhra Pradesh for study Gadkari Committee report, if available.

Subject No.4: Final sharing of Tungabhadra Project waters at Mallapuram.

This was discussed in general terms along with the other subject subjects relating to the Tungabhadra Project.

Subject No.5: Transfer of control of the Rajolibunda Head Works of the First 27 miles to Tungabhadra Board.

It was agreed that the R.D.S. aniout would be inppected in full length by the concerned Chief Engineers and the agreed share of water engured to the Andhra Pradesh at the State Border in the R.D.S. Canal.

Subject No.6: Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tungabhadra Board.

The Andhra Pradesh Representative suggested that it was desirable to have the entire control of the release of waters both on Left and Right Bands with the Tungabhadra Board. He also indicated that the question of management and release of interstate waters being under the control of River Boards was under the examination of the Government of India. It was pointed by the Mysore Representative that since the major beneficiary under the Tungabhadra Project was Mysore State and since the Tungabhadra Project-was Dam lies in the Mysore State, the control of the Dam for regulation of waters should rest with the Mysore Government and that the Andhra Pradesh share of waters would be assured by Mysore State as is being done in the case of Cauvery Waters to Madras State at K.R.Sagar.

Subject No.7: Irrigation- Andhra Pradesh - Repairs to Agali anicut - Regarding.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore State would inspect the anicut and suggest within a period of six months necessary remedial measures.

Subject No.8: Irrigation - Mysore State - Construction of an anicut across Chitravathi river near Tirumani and opening new feeder channel to feed 7 tanks - Deficiency in Pukkepatnam tank of Andhra Pradesh.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Andhra Pradesh may write to the Chief Engineer of Mysore and inspect the site and satisfy himself, that

(a) the anicut had not been raised;

(b) the Left Bank Canal had not been increased in capacity; and

(c) the Right Bank Feeder channels have not been constructed.

Subject No.9: Tanks in Hindupur taluk - Lepakshi village - Investigations of supplies - Raising the weir of Nagarkere tank by Mysore.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Tank had been restored to its original capacity and that the restoration works had been carried out with the concurrence of the then Madras State, and therefore it was not necessary to furnish any details to Andhra Pradesh. It was however agreed that the present level of the weir with reference to its level before the restoration be intimated to Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.10: Interstate problems - Anantapur district - Tamadihalli tank - Interception of supplies - Regarding.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that only 13 small Kattes out of the total 31 Kattes are providing facilities for irrigation from wells constructed behind the Kattes and the total area irrigated was only about 49 acres. Since the Kattes have been in existence since long and have been constructed by land-owners as a measure of soil conservation no water is stored by these Kattes. The Representative from Andhra Pradesh desired to know that the regular flow in the streams was not diverted by these Kattes and the flow to the lower tanks was not abstracted. It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore would inspect all these works and forward a detailed Report to the Government for further action in the matter.

Subject No.11: Interstate waters - Mysore State - Diversion of waters of Jayamangali river at Rampur anicut to feed Rampur tank.

It was agreed that the two Chief Engineers may inspect and select a site for conducting the gaugings.

Subject No.12 : Upper Pennar Project of Andhra Pradesh Acquisition of submersible lands in Mysore Territory by Mysore State.

The Ropresentative of Andhra Pradesh pointed out that his Goverrment had agreed not to store water in the Reservoin beyond 1760, the level which had ben previously agreed to by the Mysore Government. The Representative from Mysore desired to know as to how the Andhra Pradesh Government would operate and control the Reservain so as to limit the Reservoir level to 1760 when the present full reservoir level as constructed is 1763. It was agreed by the Andhra Representative that these details would be furnished and that the water in the reservoir would not be stored beyond the level of 1760, which had been previously agreed to by the Mysore Government. It was also agreed that the financial implications involved with regard to the compensation payable for the lands submerged. the construction of a bridge, etc., be furnished to the Andhra Pradesh Government for further action in the matter.

Subject No. 13 : U-tilisation An Tungabhadra Basin - Corking Table of Lakkavalli Reservoir - Furnishing by Mysore Government.

It was agreed that the matter would be further examined.

Subject No. 14 : Interstate matters - Construction of new irrigation schemes on Pennar and Jayemangali river of Mysore - Reg.

Even though the construction of these irrigation works were not covered by 1892 Agreement, it was desired by the Andhra Pradesh Representatige that as a general principle the details of such irrigation works which might effect supplies to the irrigation works in the Andhra Pradesh may be furnished, if there was a no objection. It was agrred that the request would be examined.

....7/-

Subject No. 15 : Interstate prcblems - Construction of a dam across Pennar at Nagalamadike village in Mysore State.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Project was not yet finalised and discussion was therefore premature. It was however pointed by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that since the Project involved submersion of areas in the Andhra Pradesh and since it also affects supplies to the North Pennar Project already constructed lower down the project may be taken up after the Andhra Pradesh was consulted in the matter.

Subject No. 16 : Irrigation - Construction of Gayatri Reservoir across Suvarnamuki river by Mysore which affects supplies to Bhairavanithippa Project in Andhra Pradesh.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Gayathri Reservoir was constructed across the Suvarnavathi River which was a non-scheduled stream and that it was not necessary for the Mysore Government to furnish any particulars to Andhra Pradesh Government. It was explained by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the construction of the Gayathri Reservoir and the Narayanapur anicut lower down, affect supplies to Bhairavanithippa Reservoir in Andhra Pradesh, and therefore it was desired that thedetails of regulation of water at Nanayanapur anicut be furnished to them as agreed to by the two Chief Engineers in 1954. It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Andhra Pradesh Government had constructed the Bhairavanithippa Reservoir for storing water for a level higher than what was originally agreed to without the concurrence of Mysore Government and has not furnished the original Project Report in spite of repeated

.....8/-

request. It was agreed that the matter would be examined again.

Subject:No.17: Irrigation - Anantapur district - Madakasira taluk- Raising the waste weir of Madaluk taluk in Mysore State.

It was agreed that if there was any additional submersion the same would be examined and further action taken to see that no damage was caused to lands in Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.18: Irrigation - Major - Upper Krishna Project - Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur Taluks.

It was pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the Upper Krishna Project which was under investigation in the then Hyderabad State contemplated irrigation from the Upper-Krishna to Gadwal and Alampur areas in Mahboobnagar district and that these areas be included in the present Upper Krishna Project of Mysore State.

It was explained by the Mysore Representative that owing to the Re-organisation of States, Bijapur district which was one of the chronically drought affected areas had come to Mysore State and it was incumbent on Mysore Government to provide irrigation facilities to that area and furthher since the percentage of irrigation in Mysore State being very low, it may not be possible for Mysore to provide irrigation to areas outside the Mysore State.
It was agreed to leave this issue at that stage.

Subject No.19: Rehabilitation in Tungabhadra Project area

It was agreed that the matter would be placed before the Andhra Pradesh Government for consideration.

Subject No.20: Shortage of supplies to spring channels on river in Hagari in Bellary district - Mysore State on construction of Bhairavanithippa Project on the river Hagari on Upper reaches in Andhra Pradesh.

It was agreed that the reasons for dimunition of supplies may be furthher examined and discussed again.

Subject No.21: Supplying copies of files and other documents in respect of Tungabhadra Project as transferr by the Ex.Madras State to Andhra Pradesh in 2 October, 1953.

It was agreed that the matter would be examined by the Andhra Pradesh Government.

Subject No.22: Allotment of Sendi Trees to Andhra Pradesh in 1956-57 and Reimbursement of tree tax.

It was agreed that the matter would be decided early by the Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.23: Transfer of value of machinery purchased from funds meant for the Tungabhadra Project in Raichur district by the Andhra Pradesh Government under section 87 of the State's Re-organisation Act, 1965.

It was agreed that the Andhra Pradesh would verify old records and decide the matter early.

It was also agreed to confirm the Proceedings of the Meeting held on 7.4.1965.

Conclusion: Summing up the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, requested that on confirmation of the Proceedings of this Meeting, Orders of the respective Governments regarding the various decisions arrived at this Meeting may be issued by the respective Governments.

A.KRISHNASWAMI
for CHIEF SECRETARY,
ANDHRA PRADESH GOVERNMENT.

CHANDAPPA PATEL
for CHIEF SECRETARY,
MYSORE GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE ACTION TAKEN ON THE MINUTES OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERSTATE CONFERENCE HELD AT BANGALORE ON 28-4-67.

Sub. No.	Subject.	File No	Action taken.
1.	2.	3.	4.
1.	Division of assets and liablities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal.	PWD 637 TBP 57.	This subject is deferred till an acceptable principle regarding the sharing of cost of interstate canals was enunciated by the Govt. of India.
2.	Power benefit from Munirabad Power House for Andhra Pradesh.	PWD 1 MTB 59	A.P. Govt. have requested for supply of copy of Gadkari Comm. report. The file has been submitted to the Joint Secy; on 27-4-68.
3.	Extension of irrigation from left bank Canal to areas in Andhra Pradesh.	PWD 5 BRA 59	No, action is called for by this Govt.
4.	Final sharing of Tungabhadhra Project waters at Mallapuram.	PWD 32 BRA 59	please peruse action for Sub. no. 2.
5.	Transfer of Control of Rajolibunda Head Works of the First 27 miles to Tungabhadra Board.	PWD 3 MRD 67.	This is being dealt in Major Irrgn. Sec.
6.	Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tungabhadra Board.		
7.	Irrigation -Andhra Pradesh - Repairs to Agali anicut - Regarding.	PWD 38 BRA 63.	Report of C.E.(G) is awaited.
8.	Irrigation -Mysore State - Constrution of an anicut across Chitravathi river near Tirumani and opening new feeder channel to feed 7 tanks . Deficiency in Bukkapatnam tank of Andhra Pradesh.	PWD 19 BRA 59	C.E.(G) has replied to his counter part. Further developments are awaited.
9.	Tanks in Hindupur taluk - Lepakshi village Investigation of supplies Raising the Weir of Nagarkere tank by Mysore.	PWD 18 BRA 59	Final reply has already been given to A.P.

	2.	3.	4.
10.	Interstate Problems - Anantapur district Tamadihalli tank - Inter ception of supplies - Regarding.	PWD 20 BRA 60	Report from the C.E.(G) is awaited. He is taking action in the matter at his level.
11.	Interstate Waters - Mysore State Diversion of waters of Jayamangali river ~~in~~ at Rampur tank.	PWD 16 BRA 59	C.E.(G) is taking necessary action in the matter . Latest Development of the case is awaited.
12.	Uppor Pennar Project of Andhra Pradesh Acquisitionof submer-sible lands in Mysore Territory by Mysore State.	PWD 9 BRA 64.	The matter is under consi-deration in consultation with R.D. Plans and esti-mates for construction of a bridge is awaited from the S.E.. A.P. Govt.have furnished their reply.
13.	Utilisation in Tungabhadra Basin Working Tables of Lakkavalli Reservoir Furnish ing by Mysore Govt.	PWD 7 BRA 66	Information is awaited from the C.E. I (S) ~~is awaited~~.
14.	Interstate matters Construction of new Irrigation Schemes on ~~Rmm~~ Pennar and Jayamangali river of Mysore - Regarding.	PWD 151 GIB 63	—
15.	Interstate Problems Construction of a dam across Pennar at Nagal-maddike Village in Mysore State;	PWD 37 GIP 65	The File has been transfered to General Irrigation Sec. on 8-8-1967.
16.	Irrigation - Construction of Gayatri Reservoir across Suvarna muki river by Mysore which effects supplies to Bhairvanithippa project in Andhra Pradesh.	PWD 4 BRA 60	Additional information has has been called ~~from~~ for from the C.E.(G) and the same is awaited.
17	Irrigation Anantapur district Madakasira Taluk Raising the waste weir of Madalur tank in Mysore State.	PWD 19 BRA 62	Report from the C.E.(G) is awaited.
18.	Irrigation - Major Upper Krishna Project Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur Taluks.	PWD 24 BRA 59	No action is called for . (the file is linked with file No PWD 1 BRA 59 .)
19.	Rehabilitation in Tungabhadra Project area.		
20	Shortage of supplies to Spring Channels on river Hagari in Bellary Dist. Mysore State on construction of Bhairvanithippa Project on the river Hagari on Upper reaches in A.P.	PWD 13 BRA 66	Additional information from the Dy.Commr. Bellary is awaited.
21.	Supplying copies of files and other documents in respect of Tungabhadra Project as Trans-fered by the Ex. Madras State to Andhra Pradesh in Oct.63.	PWD 13 MTB 64	Maps Issued Please see Jumara.
22.	Allotment of Sendhi Trees to A.P. in 56-57 and reimbursement of tree tax.	→	Home Department Excise Br is dealing the subject
23.	Transfer of value on machinery purchased from funds meant for the T.B.P. in Raichur Dist. by the A.P. Govt. under Sec. 87 of the State's Re-organisation Act 56.	→	M.P.C. Dept. is dealing the subject.

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT .. Proceedings of the Meeting held on 28.4.67 to discuss certain Draft Standing orders between the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore — Regarding .....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section

File No.

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the 196 .

Serial No.

No.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India
Ministry of Irrigation
& power
New Delhi.

Date of issue.....

Signed by .....

Sir

I am directed to refer to your letter no 46/12/60-Dw-1 dated 27.4.1968 on the above subject enclosing therein the minutes of the meeting held on 28.4.1967 and to state that the proceedings of the meeting held on 28.4.1967 were approved by this State Govt in consultation with the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh. They have been finalised and signed by the Secretaries of the respective Govts. in token of acceptance.

p.t.o.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 012116—GPB—4,00,000—7-3-63

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 6 BRA 66.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
Dated, Bangalore, 28th May. 1968.

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore*
Public Works & Elecy. Department, Bangalore.

To.

The Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Irrigation and Power,
New Delhi.

Sir,

Subject:- Proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-67 to discuss certain outstanding issues between the Government of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore-- ratification.

I am directed to refer to your letter No.46/12/60-Dw-1, dated 27-4-68, on the above subject enquiring whether the minutes of the meeting have since been ratified and to state that the proceedings of the meeting held on 28-4-1967 were drafted by this State Government in consultation with the Government of Andhra Pradesh. They have been finalised and signed by the Secretaries of the respective Governments in acceptance. Therefore the question of ~~the~~ ratification of the minutes of the meeting does not arise.

Yours faithfully,

O/C

(S.K.MOHAN),
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works & Elecy. Department.

ISSUED
Dated 28.5.68

27/5/68

BRG.25-5-68.

Subject No.21: Supplying copies of files and other documents in respect of Tungabhadra Project as Transfered by the Ex.Madras State to Andhra Pradesh in October 1953.

It was agreed that the matter would be examined by the Andhra Pradesh Government.

Subject No.22: Allotment of Sendi trees to Andhra Pradesh in 1956-57 and reimbursement of tree tax.

It was agreed that the matter would be decided early by the Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.23: Transfer of value of Machinery purchased from funds meant for the Tungabhadra Project in Raichur district by the Andhra Pradesh Government under section 87 of the State's Reorganisation Act 1955.

It was agreed that the Andhra Pradesh would verify old records and decide the matter early.

It was also agreed to confirm the Proceedings of the Meeting held on 7.4.1965.

Conclusion: Summing up the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, requested that on confirmation of the Proceedings of this Meeting, Orders of the respective Governments regarding the various decisions arrived at this Meeting may be issued by the respective Governments.

Sd/-A.Krishnaswami
for Chief Secretary,
Andhra Pradesh Government.

Sd/-Chandappa Patel,
for Chief Secretary,
Mysore Government.

(TRUE COPY)

SECTION OFFICER
R&D (SRL.IRRGN.)

to the irrigation works in the Andhra Pradesh may be furnished, if there was no objection. It was agreed that the request would be examined.

Subject No.15.- Interstate Problems - Construction of a dam across Pennar at Nagalamadikeda Village in Mysore State:-

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Project was not yet finalised and discussion was therefore premature. It was however pointed out by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that since the Project involves sub-mersion of acres areas in the Andhra Pradesh and since it also affects supplies to the North Pennar Project already constructed lower down the Project may be taken up after the Andhra Pradesh was consulted in the matter.

Subject No.16.- Irrigation - Construction of Gayathri Reservoir across Suvarnamuki river by Mysore which affects supplies to Bhairavani-thippa project in Andhra Pradesh.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Gayathri Reservoir was constructed across the Suvarnavathi River which was a non-scheduled stream and that it was not necessary for the Mysore Government to furnish any particulars to Andhra Pradesh Government. It was explained by the Andhra Pradesh Representative that the construction of the Gayathri Reservoir and the Narayanapur anicut lower down, affect supplies to Bhairavanithippa Reservoir in Andhra Pradesh, and therefore it was desired that the details of regulation of water at Narayanapur anicut be furnished to them as agreed to by the two Chief Engineers in 1954. It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the Andhra Pradesh Government had construc-ted the Bhairavanithippa Reservoir for storing water for a level higher than what was originally agreed to without the con-currence of Mysore Government and has not furnished the original Project Report in spite of repeated request. It was agreed that the matter would be examined again.

.....2

*Chand:

Subject No.7: Irrigation - Andhra Pradesh - Repairs to Aguli anicut - Regarding

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Mysore State would inspect the anicut and suggest within a period of six months necessary remedial measures.

Subject No.8: Irrigation - Mysore State - Construction of an anicut across Chitravathi river near Tirumani and opening new feeder channel to feed 7 tanks - Deficiency in Bukkapatnam tank of Andhra Pradesh.

It was agreed that the Chief Engineer of Andhra Pradesh may write to the Chief Engineer of Mysore and inspect the site and satisfy himself; that

1) the anicut had not been raised;
2) the Left Bank canal had not been increased in capacity; and
3) the Right Bank Feeder Channels had not been constructed.

Subject: No.9 Tanks in Hindupur taluk - Lepakshi Village Investigation of supplies - Raising the Weir of Nagarkere tank by Mysore.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the tank had been restored to its original capacity and that the restoration works had been carried out with the concurrence of the then Madras State, and therefore it was not necessary to furnish any details to Andhra Pradesh. It was however agreed that the present level of the weir with reference to its level before the restoration be intimated to Andhra Pradesh.

Subject No.10: Interstate Problems - Anantapur district - Tamaddihalli tank - Interception of supplies - Regarding:

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that only 13 small kattes out of the total 31 Kattes are providing facilities for irrigation from wells constructed behind the Kattes and the total area irrigated was only about 43 acres. Since the Kattes have been in existence since long and have been constructed by land-owners as a measure of soil conservation no water is stored by these Kattes. The Representative from Andhra Pradesh desired to know that the regular flow in the streams was not diverted by these Kattes

Contd.....5

nsk

decision in the matter, he observed that it would be desirable that we could discuss them once again so as to see if we could find some points of agreement, so that, the matters could be amicably settled early

The Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore said that there would be no objection to discussing the subjects referred to Government of India to find out if some solution could be arrived at.

Subject No.1: Division of assets and liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal.

It was agreed to defer this problem till an acceptable principle regarding the sharing of cost of interstate canals was enunciated by the Government of India.

Subject No.2: Power benefits from Munirabad Power House for Andhra Pradesh.

It was pointed out by the Mysore Representative that the power generated at Munirabad was to be generally utilised in the areas lying in Tungabhadra Project and would not be used for supply to the Hyderabad area. The views expressed by the Gadkari Committee were also referred to. It was desired by the Representatives of the Andhra Pradesh Government that the Gadkari Committee's report should be examined. It was agreed that a copy of the Gadkari Committee's report, if available, be sent to the Andhra Pradesh Government.

Subject No.3: Extension of irrigation from left bank Canal to areas in Andhra Pradesh.

The Representative of Andhra Pradesh contended that the Left Bank Canal should be extended beyond the Mysore State so as to serve the areas in Gadwal and Alampur of Mahaboobnagar district. It was also pointed out that the then Government of Hyderabad in an order issued in January 1955 had mentioned the utilisation of only 72 T.M.Cft. of water under the Left Bank Canal. It was explained by the Mysore Representative that according to the sanctioned Project Report of the Left Bank Canal, out of a net command of 10,80,000 acres, 5.80,000 acres

Contd....P..3

Kaksh/-

Proceedings of the Meeting held at 10.30 a.m. on 18-4-67 in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore to discuss certain outstanding issues between Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh.

I.Representatives of Mysore.

Shriyuts.-

1. K.Narayanaswamy, I.A.S., Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore.
2. Chandappa Patel, I.A.S., Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D.
3. M.C.Antin, Joint Secretary to Government of Mysore, Public Works Department.
4. S.G.Balakundry, Chief Engineer (Class I) in Mysore, Bangalore.
5. B.M.Sheffilla, Chief Engineer (General), M.S.I.B., Bangalore.
6. L.L.Aminbhavi, Chief Engineer (Investigation), in Mysore Bangalore.
7. M.Channappa, Deputy Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D. (Irrigation).
8. S.K.Mohan, Under Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D. (Technical).

II.Representatives of Andhra Pradesh.

1. K.V.Anantaram, I.C.S., Chief Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh.
2. A.Krishnaswamy, I.A.S., Special Secretary to Government, P.W.D.
3. G.V. Narasimha Rao, Additional Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.
4. P.T.Malla Reddy, Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects.
5. M.Seetharama Shastry, Superintending Engineer, Nagarjunasagar Canals.
6. K.V.Ram Mohan, In-charge Director, Interstate Projects.

At the outset the Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh thanked the Government of Mysore for the arrangements made with regard to holding this Meeting at such a short notice. Drawing attention to the drought situation and scarcity conditions prevailing in many parts of our country, he explained that all problems relating to the utilisation of waters which are lying waste for the improvement of Agricultural Production should be viewed on a national basis. Even though most of the subjects had been discussed previously and some of them had been referred to the Government of India for ...

... Contd..p.2.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE ACTION TAKEN ON THE MINUTES OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERSTATE CONFERENCE HELD AT BANGALORE ON 28-4-67.

Sub. No.	Subject.	File No.	Action taken.
1.	2.	3.	4.
1.	Division of assets and liablities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal.	PWD 637 TBP 57.	This subject is deferred till an acceptable princi ple regarding the sharing of cost of interstate cnals was enun- ciated by the Govt. of India.
2.	Power benefit from Munirabad Power House for Andhra Pradesh.	PWD 1 MTB 59	A.P. Govt. have requested for supply of copy of Gadkari Comm. report. The file has been submitte d to the Joint Secy. on 27-4-68.
3.	Extension of irrigation from left bank Canal to areas in Andhra Pradesh.	PWD 5 BRA 59	No, action is called for by thi Govt.
4.	Final sharing of Tungabhadhra Project waters at Mallapuram.	PWD 32 BRA 59	Please pebase action for July. No. 2.
5.	Transfer of Control of Rajolibunda Head Works of the First 27 miles to Tunga- bhadra Board.	PWD 3 MRD 67.	This is being dealt in major Irrgn. Sec.
6.	Transfer of control of Munirabad Power House to Tungabhadra Board.		
7.	Irrigation -Andhra Pradesh - Repairs to Agali anicut - Regarding.	PWD 38 BRA 63.	Report of C.E.(G) is awaited.
8.	Irrigation -Mysore State - Construtions of an anicut across Chitravathi river near Tirumani and opening new feeder channel to feed 7 tanks . Deficiency in Bukkapatnam tank of Andhra Pradesh.	PWD 19 BRA 59	C.E.(G) has repli ed to his counter part. Further developments are awaited.
9.	Tanks in Hindupur taluk - Lepakshi village Investigation of supplies Raising the Weir of Nagarkere tank - Mysore.	PWD 18 BRA 59	Final reply has already been given to A.P.

10.	Interstate Problems - Anantapur district Tekadibalii tank - Interception of supplies - Regarding.	PWD 20 DRA 60	Report from the C.E.(G) is awaited. He is taking action in the matter.
11.	Interstate Waters - Mysore State Diversion of waters of Jayamangali river in at Kempur tank.	PWD 16 DRA 59	G.E.(G) is taking necessary action in the matter. Latest Development of the case is awaited.
12.	Upper Pennar Project of Andhra Pradesh Acquisition of submersible lands in Mysore Territory by Mysore State.	PWD 9 DRA 64.	The matter is under consideration in consultation with R.D. Plans and estimates for construction of a bridge is awaited from the S.E. A.P. Govt. have furnished their reply.
13.	Utilisation in Tungabhadra Basin Working Tables of Lakkavalli Reservoir Furnishing by Mysore Govt.	PWD 7 DRA 66	Information is awaited from the C.E. I (S) is awaited.
14.	Interstate matters Construction of new Irrigation Schemes on Penner and Jayamangali river of Mysore - Regarding.	PWD 151 GLD 63	
15.	Interstate Problems Construction of a dam across Pennar at Gogalmaddike Village in Mysore State;	PWD 37 CDP 65	The file has been transferred to General Irrigation Sec [illegible].
16.	Irrigation - Construction of Gayatri Reservoir across Suvarnamuki river by Mysore which effects supplies to Dhairvanithippa project in Andhra Pradesh.	PWD 4 DRA 60	Additional information has been called from for from the C.E.(G) and the same is awaited.
17	Irrigation Anantapur district Madakasira Taluk Raising the waste weir of Madalur tank in Mysore State.	PWD 19 DRA 62	Report from the C.E.(G) is awaited.
18.	Irrigation - Major Upper Krishna Project Extension of benefits to Gadwal and Alampur Taluks.	PWD 24 DRA 59	No action is called for. (the file is linked with file No PWD 1 DRA 59).
19.	Rehabilitation in Tungabhadra Project area.		
20	Shortage of supplies to Spring Channels on river Hagari in Bellary Dist. Mysore State on construction of Dhairvanithippa Project on the river Hagari on Upper reaches in A.P.	PWD 13 DRA 66	Additional information from the Dy.Collr. Bellary is awaited.
21.	Supplying copies of files and other documents in respect of Tungabhadra Project as Transfered by the Ex. Madras State to Andhra Pradesh in Oct.63.	PWD 13 MPB 64	

Allotment of Sendhi Trees.... ——→ Home Department Excise Branch is dealing the subject

of value on machinery ... ——→ D.P.C. Dept. is dealing with the subject.

were to be irrigated and that this command of 10,80,000 acres wholly lies in the Mysore State. It was agreed that that the Government order referred to by the Andhra Representative and the relevant papers be made available to the Govt. of Mysore for examination.

SUBJECT NO.4:- FINAL SHARING OF T.B.P.WATERS AT MALLAPURAM:

This was discussed in general terms along with the other subjects relating to the Tungabhadra Project.

SUBJECT NO.5:- TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF THE RAJOLIBUNDA HEAD WORKS OF THE FIRST 27 MILES TO TUNGABHADRA BOARD:

It was agreed that the R.D.S.Anicut would be inspected in full length by the concerned Chief Engineers and the agreed share of water ensured to the Andhra Pradesh at the State Border in the R.D.S.Canal.

SUBJECT NO.6:- TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF MUNIRABAD POWER HOUSE TO TUNGABHADRA BOARD:

The Andhra Pradesh Representative suggested that it was desirable to have the entire control of the release of waters both on Left and Right Bank with the Tungabhadra Board. He also indicated that the question of management and release of inter-state waters being under the control of River Boards was under the examination of the Government of India. It was pointed by the Mysore Representative that since the major beneficiary under the Tungabhadra Project was Mysore State and since the Tungabhadra Dam is in the Mysore State, the control of the Dam for regulation of waters should rest with the Mysore Government and that the Andhra Pradesh share of waters would be assured by Mysore State as is being done in the case of Cauvery Waters to Madras State at K.R.Sagar.

....Contd

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

NO. PWD 6 BRA 66.

Mysore Government of Secretari
Vidhana Soudha,

Dated, Bangalore.27th April 1967.

From

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department.

To.

Sir

Sub:- Inter-State Meeting between the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.- Subjects for discussions.

Ref:- Govt. Letter No. PWD 6 BRA 66 dated 24-4-1967.

I am directed to state that the Meeting of the Officers of the Andhra Pradesh and Mysore will be held on 28th April 1967 at 10-30 A.M. in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore.

You are requested to attend the meeting with all necessary particulars.

Yours faithfully,

(S.K. Mohan)
Under Secretary to Government,
P.W.D. (Technical)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

NO. PWD 6 BRA 66. Mysore Government Secretariat.
Vidhana Soudha,

Dated, Bangalore.27th April 1967.

From

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department.

To. The Chief Engineer, (General), Bangalore/ The Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Bangalore,/ The Chief Engineer, M.D.B.B./ The Chief Engineer, Investigation of Projects, Bangalore.

Sir,

....

Sub:- Inter-State Meeting between the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.- Subjects for discussions.

Ref:- Govt. Letter No. PWD 6 BRA 66 dated 22-4-1967.

I am directed to state that the Meeting of the Officers of the Andhra Pradesh and Mysore will be held on 28th April 1967 at 10-30 A.M. in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore.

You are requested to attend the meeting with all necessary particulars.

Yours faithfully,

(S.K. Nayar)
Under Secretary to Government,
P.W.D. (Technical)

Issued
27-4-67 ....

(9)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
T. 514
27 APR 1967
PWD & ELEC. DEPT.

N. Secy. PWD.
No 2788 Date 27/4/68

No.GAD 13 PZC 63. General Administration Department,
(Political)

Dated: 26th April, 1967.

U.O.NOTE.

The Inster-State meeting between Officers of the Government of Mysore and Government of Andhra Pradesh on 28-4-1967 will be held in the Chambers of the Chief Secretary at 10-30 A.M. Kindly make it convenient to attend the meeting and request all the concerned Officers of your Department to be present at the meeting.

(B.R.Deen Dayal)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
General Administration Department,
(General)

Secretary to Govt.,
P.W.D.

(10a)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

IMMEDIATE

No.GAD 2951 GA 67

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 27th April 1967.

FROM

The Chief
/*Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Bangalore.

To

The Under Secretary,
Mysore Legislature,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore.



Sir,

Sub:- Interstate Meeting at Bangalore - Reservation of accommodation at the Legislators Home for Officers from Andhra Pradesh.

.....

In confirmation of telephone conversation, the Private Secretary to the Chief Minister had with you today the 27th April, 1967, I write to request you kindly to reserve accommodation for 6 officers from Andhra Pradesh attending the Inter-State Meeting in Bangalore from the evening of 27th April, 1967 to the 29th April, 1967, subject to payment of the prescribed charges. The Chief Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh and the Secretary to Government P.W.D. are amongst the 6 officers referred above.

U.S(T)
S/D G Lutam

(GM 27/4/67)

Yours faithfully,

(G.P.MOSES)
Under Secretary to Government,
General Administration Department,
General-A & State Protocol Officer.

Kas.

Copy to the Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department(I), Bangalore.

Minutes of the Meeting held in the chambers of the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore at 10-30 A.M. on 28th APRIL, 1967.

***

Present:

The Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore,
The Chief Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh
The Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D
The Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh, PWD
The Joint Secretary, PWD, Mysore,
The Addl.Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, P.W.D.
The C.E.(G) Mysore,
Sri Mallareddy, Andhra Pradesh,
The C.E.Inv.of Projects, Government of Mysore,

Expansion of Irrigation on the Left Bank Canal to areas in Andhra Pradesh:

To an enquiry by the P.W.D. Secretary that since Mysore State is utilising water to irrigate 8.0 to 8.5 lakhs acres of are irrigated would it not be helpful if the whole issue is left to Mysore and in turn to get the assured water supply, the Secretary Andhra Pradesh argued that this principle would not be sound because if the same analogy is applied Rajolibanda diversion ~~xhx~~ scheme would have to be handed over to Andhra Pradesh.

The Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh stressed the need to have common gaugings since the primary need is to satisfy the ryots which is very difficult unless the facts and figures are known.

The Secretary, P.W.D. (Mysore) said that the Government of Mysore have not received any complaint in writing about the short supply and the reason for the short supply would be more due to the vents to which the Secretary, Andhra Pradesh that it might be on certain occasions slightly due to the lapses on the part of officers or general repairs.

interests of ryots on ooth the sides and to find out the solution within six months. They may also make recommendation with regard to the finamcial implications, the area of submergence etc.

Nagaragere Tank:

To a question by the Chief Engineer (General) as to why the Andhra Pradesh is against raising the capacity of the tank, the Secretary, PWD, AP. said that they are interested in getting the assured water supply. The Secretary, A.P. said that they have received complaints from the ryots that the anicut has been raised by 1' and that the discharges have been increased from 64 cusecs to 144 cusecs and requested the Secretary, PWD, Mysore to examine the matter in person. The Secretary denied that the Anicut has been raised and it was agreed that Sri Mallareddy may visit the site who may be given all the assistance. ~~and~~ ~~ex~~

Nagaragere: Chief Secretary, A.P. said that a Joint Inspection had been conducted by the Superintending Engineers of two states on 15.9.1959 and subsequently by the Chief Engineers of two States in November 1959 on which a report has been sent to Mysore which was not agreed by the Chief Engineer, Mysore. He requested that at least in collecting the data we could agree and they may draw their own inferences. The Secretary, PWD agreed to provide details about the levels to Andhra Pradesh.

Tammadahalli etc. The Secretary, P.W.D., Mysore said that it is a very minor issue and is running for a very long time. Only 13 kattas are working out of 31 kattas and they are situated in ~~xxxx~~ private lands and Government have no right to break them.

Mr.Reddy of Andhra Pradesh replied that in the previous joint inspection note it is stated as diversion

general principle they require to know the details about the storage etc. which may be supplied. The Secretary, P.W. said that their request will be examined.

Nagalamadike:- The project report is not yet ready, and as soon it is ready it would be sent.

Gayathri and Narayanpur Anicut:- To the request of Secretary, A.P. for a daily gauging report the Jt.Secretary, PWD, Mysore replied that whatever applies to Bhiravanthippa would also apply to Narayanpur anicut, and that we have requested for details which we have not ~~xxxxixxx~~ been supplied. The F.R.L. has been increased by 5' and more land would come under submergence.

Mr.Reddy, A.P. said that certain quantity of water should be let down from Narayanpur. Unless the A.P. have daily gaugings, they cannot find out.

The Secretary, PWD, AP. said that they would examine the matter and would give the required information.

Raising the wasteweir of Mudalu: The C.E.(G) said that it is out of 1892 agreement, the Chief Secretary, A.P. argued that in the general interest the lower reaches should know the particulars about the upper reaches to which the Secretary, PWD said it would be examined.

Item No.17 - The Secretary, P.W.D., A.P. said that by raising the M.W.L. if it is going to effect submergence etc., it would be examined and a report would be sent.

Item No.18 - Gadwal & Alampur - Deferred.

Item No.19 - Rehabilitation in Tungabhadra area: To a request by the Secretary, P.W.D. that the cost of rehabilitation of villages affected by the T.B.Project should be met by the Board including those of approach roads etc., the

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING HELD IN THE CHAMBERS OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MYSORE ON 28-4-67 AT 10-30 AM. TO CONSIDER THE INTER-STATE MATTERS.

At the outset the Chief Secretary to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh stated that Items 1-6 have been discussed several times in the past, but without any conslusion. Govt. of India's decision on these items awaited.

ITEM No.2: Control of Power House.(Munirabad Power House).

--

The Govt. of India have appointed Gadgary committee for the power produced at Tungabhadra.

Control of water let into power Canal.

--

The Secretary, P.W.D. Mysore, stated that.

The Tungabhadra Project is intended to irrigate 8 to 8.5 lakh acres of land on both sides. The S.R.C. Act has also brought R.D.S. into the Mysore State; but the Andhra Pradesh Govt. is entitled to certain benefits, from this. As a matter of fact the utilisation of Cauvery water is controlled by both Mysore and Madras Govts So similar arrangements can be made in regard to Tungabhadra Project also.

LOW LEVEL CANAL.

The discharges in this canal of 18.26 cusecs at the point where the power canal ends was discussed. The delegates expressed the view that there should be a Central control over power generation with well set rules to look into all these problems.

The delegates were of the view that if the main problems are settled all the six points will be settled.

The Andhra Pradesh representatives stated that Andhra Pradesh is entitled to use 72 TMCFT. of water as per the G.O. of January 1955 upto the border of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh from the T.B.P.

It was decided that both the States should put-forth their efforts to find out this.

Repairs to Hagari channel.

Providing Scouring sluices to the channel and desilting.

This subject was discussed and it was decided that joint inspection should be made by both the States. The Chief Engineer (General), Mysore, was requested to give a report on this.

Tirumani Anicut across Chitravathy, Bagepally Taluk.

--

The question of whether Joint guagings across Tirumani Anecut should be conducted or not came up for discussion and it was explained that the idea of joint gaugings arises only when Govt. of Mysore takes up the construction of this Anecut.

Tanks in Hindupur Taluk.

--

1) Nagargere Tank. The Andhra Pradesh Representative stated that there were 31 Sagoli Kattes under these tanks .

A joint inspection was conducted by two States Superintending Engineers in 1959 and later by Chief Engineers. It was opined that there should an examination of six kattes.

Tamadi Halla.

It was agreed that ~~xxxxx~~ this should be examined later.

Rampur Tank.

It was decided that the two Chief Engineers of the States should meet and collect the guagings.

UPPER PENNAR

Lakkavalli Reservoir. The agreement of 1944 was recalled which contemplates control of quantity of water not exceeding 57 TMCFT. It was suggested that working tables are essential for this. In case of difference of opinion it should be referred to Govt. of India.

~~(Meeting adjourned to 1.00 P.M.)~~.

Construction of new Irrigation schemes on River Pennar.

The Andhra Pradesh Representative explained that there is little utilisation ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ of water on this river and that things of minor nature will not affect the Irrigation projects down below.

Narayanpur Anecut. It was opined that what applies to Bhairavanithippa project also apply to this Narayanpur Anecut. Since F.R.L. has been increased by 5' and more so the submersion, and the area to be acquired will be more.

Construction of any project xxxxx will not affect the BHairavanithippa Project.

Rehabilitation in T.B.P.Project.

Secretary, PWD, Mysore, explained that what all amenities were given to the villages prior to shifting should also be provided while rehabilitating them. For this purpose certain standards have been laid down.

Bhairavanithippa Reservoir

It was stated that the physical factor of this project is such that all the springs depend on the sub-soil water. The question of desilting was deferred to get the suggestion of the Andhra Pradesh Govt.

Transfer of value of mcahinery purchased from Funds.

Chief Secretary, Govt. of Mysore, suggested to take up this point afterwards and meanwhile to search for the connected files.